H2NKLEB1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 15 Cr. 769 (AJN) V. 5 YURI LEBEDEV and TREVON GROSS, 6 Defendants. Jury Trial -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. February 23, 2017 9 9:10 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. ALISON J. NATHAN, 12 District Judge 13 And A Jury 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: EUN YOUNG CHOI 17 DANIEL S. NOBLE WON S. SHIN MICHAEL CHANG-FRIEDEN, Paralegal 18 EMILY GRANT, Paralegal Assistant United States Attorneys 19 20 CREIZMAN, PLLC Attorneys for Defendant Yuri Lebedev 21 BY: ERIC M. CREIZMAN MELISSA MADRIGAL 22 JONATHAN MICHAELSON, Paralegal 23 KROVATIN KLINGEMAN, LLC Attorneys for Defendant Trevon Gross 24 BY: KRISTEN M. SANTILLO BY: HENRY E. KLINGEMAN 25

1 (In open court; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Good morning. 3 COUNSEL: Good morning, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Matters to take up? 5 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, briefly. If your Honor 6 recalls, in our WhatsApp deliberations and conference, there 7 was an issue that arose with regard to statements that Mr. Gross made in a conversation with Anthony Murgio regarding 8 9 certain groups within Lakewood. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. CHOI: We have a proposed redacted version that we 12 shared with defense counsel. What we would propose, I think, 13 makes the point that we would like to make, but is neutral with 14 regard to referencing specific ethnic groups. 15 THE COURT: Okay. I'll take a look. MS. CHOI: If the Court would indulge me on relevance, 16 17 once you have a moment, of why we think this is material 18 evidence. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 19 20 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, the defense opened with a 21 theory that this was a small credit union for which Mr. Gross 22 was trying to grow, and one of the many things that was 23 important to Mr. Gross was growth of the membership, the number 24 of people who actually joined the credit union. This is 25

excellent evidence, in the government's opinion, that, in fact,

that wasn't true. Mr. Gross wasn't -- his priority concern was not growing the membership of the credit union. In fact, he was very concerned about one particular group of individuals in this case, the Jewish population, taking over control of the credit union, so that he wanted to go after another group.

And I think the redactions that we propose makes neutral and hides the fact of what sort of group of people they are. It just makes clear that there is one group of people that he does not want into the credit union, because they will take over the credit union, but there are other people that they are willing to enter in. And I think it's an important point because it emphasizes the fallacy of this assumption that with regard to good faith, that Mr. Gross was working and trying to do everything he could to grow this credit union. In fact, he cared deeply about maintaining control over the credit union, and even though there was an ample group of individuals who could add assets and open share accounts, he did not want that to happen.

So that's why we would propose this. It eliminates all reference to the types of groups. We got rid of the -- if you recall, there was a discussion about I can salsa in reference to the Latino population, which is the group that Mr. Gross preferred over the Jewish population of Lakewood. We eliminated all of that. It could be any -- it makes clear that there are two groups of people, one he prefers and one he does

not. It could be any group of people. It could be older people, younger people. I think it makes neutral what group of people it is.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, the relevance here is, I think, zero, and the prejudice is extraordinary, and I think that it should be excluded altogether. The redactions only suggest that there is some sort of ethnic population that is targeted here, and it's totally -- it has zero relevance to --

THE COURT: Why does it suggest an ethnic population?

MS. SANTILLO: I think if you read it in context,

that's what it -- "They run Lakewood."

THE COURT: I wonder if we could get rid of that line,
"They run Lakewood" line, just because I think that might cause
someone to speculate in the way Ms. Santillo is suggesting.

I'm not sure otherwise it's there. Just so we're talking about
the same thing, what do you say?

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. If we just got rid of the portion on line just to be clear for the record, PK3906, just the part that says "They run Lakewood," we'd be happy with that.

THE COURT: So it would say, "So many blank people here crazy," next line "Yes," next line, "It's the craziest thing. I know a possible approach for membership, but then they could take over. We can speak about it." Next line,

"They will take over. We need to go after blank population," and then that's the end.

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor, no reference to salsa.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, the phrase population still suggests that it's going after a specific targeted group, and I think that the fact that they are trying to add members from any population goes against whatever inference it is that the government is trying to offer. They're trying to get members. So what they're saying doesn't even make logical sense.

THE COURT: Well, I disagree with you, with this redaction, that there is some — it could literally mean anything. I don't think it causes one to speculate as to an ethnic population as opposed to, who knows, a specific geography or the like. I agree with you that with the "They run Lakewood," that that might cause people to speculate, but I'm not seeing it without that.

MS. SANTILLO: I think there is a lot of suggestive things, this kind of people here crazy, population.

THE COURT: That's just talking about people and population.

MS. SANTILLO: Yeah.

THE COURT: I understand the government's argument for relevance. And with the redactions we've discussed, I don't see the prejudice. So, with the additional redaction, I will allow it.

1 MS. CHOI: Thank you, your Honor.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ NOBLE: Just a couple of other things to note, your Honor.

I conferred with Mr. Klingeman regarding the evidence regarding the payment of legal fees.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. NOBLE: And I think we're going to be able to come to some resolution. We're going to work out some alternative language that would be acceptable to the defense, but still get in the evidence that these payments occurred. So the parties are going to work on that.

I don't expect that evidence to come in until late next week, but more likely, early the following week, so I think we have a little time to work that out, and I will work that out with Mr. Klingeman.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NOBLE: I spoke with Ms. Santillo regarding a briefing schedule relating to the issue of failing to turn over the email accounts. We would propose that the government file a letter by Sunday, and Ms. Santillo would file her letter by Thursday. Again, this is evidence that we don't expect will come in until the following week. If the Court is prepared, we can address it at the charge conference on Friday or we could even bring it up first thing Monday morning after your Honor has had a chance to read the letters.

THE COURT: So tell me, one more time, the schedule. 1 The government will file its letter by 2 MR. NOBLE: 3 this Sunday, and Ms. Santillo would file her letter by next 4 Thursday. 5 THE COURT: Let me just look at the calendar. You file Sunday and Thursday, and then the charge conference is 6 7 That's what you're suggesting, we discuss it on Friday. 8 Friday? 9 MR. NOBLE: We could discuss it on Friday or we could 10 discuss it first thing the following Monday morning. THE COURT: That strikes me as excessive on your end 11 and crunched on my end, just given the schedule. So how about 12 13 Saturday and Wednesday? 14 MR. NOBLE: That's fine. We're trying to accommodate Ms. Santillo because she's going to be doing all of the 15 witnesses on Wednesday. It's fine for the government, we're 16 17 happy to file by Saturday, but I don't know if Ms. Santillo --18 she requested a little more time. THE COURT: Well, by 11:59 on Wednesday and then -- if 19 20 you need that much time to brief it, I need time to look at it, 21 and I have a full calendar on Thursday and Friday. 22 MR. NOBLE: Yes, your Honor. We'll file it by 23 Saturday. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 What else?

MR. NOBLE: Just one other issue that came up yesterday during Mr. Creizman's cross-examination of Mr. Curry. He cross-examined him on this firm, Dollar Associates. It turns out Dollar Associates is not a law firm, as Mr. Creizman represented and tried to get the witness to recall the name of. I don't think that we are requesting any kind of curative instruction, but we just wanted to alert your Honor to the fact that Dollar Associates is a consulting firm run by a former NCUA official, it's not, in fact, a law firm. So that could have been misleading to the jury.

THE COURT: So there is no application?

MR. NOBLE: No, no application to that. We just wanted to alert your Honor to that fact.

Also, the bigger picture, the bigger point is, we have concerns that counsel might be trying to backdoor in an advice-of-counsel defense. We have conferred with Mr. Creizman about this, and we're going to kind of closely monitor it, but there may be issues because, to the extent they argue that Mr. Lebedev was relying on advice of counsel, either directly from an attorney or through Anthony Murgio, we would have an issue with that because it raises some of the privilege concerns in this case. The government has not seen all of the email correspondence between Anthony Murgio and his attorneys because of the privilege, including some that may have included Mr. Lebedev. And to the extent counsel is trying to put forth

an argument to the jury that Mr. Lebedev was acting in good faith because he was relying on the fact that Anthony Murgio had consulted with an attorney about various issues, we may want to attempt to pierce that privilege and force a waiver, so that we can understand what representations were being made to the attorneys, what advice the attorneys were giving, because it could be relevant in addressing this argument from counsel that Mr. Lebedev was acting in good faith based on advice of counsel, either directly or indirectly through Anthony Murgio, because we have concerns, there's evidence that suggests that Anthony Murgio and others were not completely forthright with the attorneys that they were consulting with.

For instance, their chat communications in which Anthony Murgio tells others that they're not going to disclose to one attorney the fact that they had paid the money to Trevon Gross and his church, they were going to withhold that from an attorney when they were seeking advice from that attorney about how to proceed with the HOPE FCU situation. So that's just one example where Murgio, Lebedev, others could have been either making misrepresentations to the attorneys or withholding relevant information in order to get some kind of legal cover for the conduct that they were engaging in.

MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, I'd just like to address both points.

First of all, with respect to the law firm, number

one, there was no intent to deceive or misrepresent anything to the jury. In Government Exhibit 3522-005, which includes communications between a Brian McDonough of the NCUA and other NCUA people in which Clayton Curry was copied, there's a reference to, "I have communicated" — this is from Brian McDonough — "I have communicated with an attorney representing board members who were asked to vacate their seats based on our examined board issue in September. For some reason, they have retained an attorney based in Alabama to review this matter."

Clayton Curry also told the government, in Government Exhibit 3522-001, that he had received a letter from an attorney about the three Collectables members. And in other communications, in WhatsApp communications that Murgio -- Anthony Murgio had with members of his group, he said -- and Michael Murgio -- they said that they were retaining two different firms, one of which was Dollar Associates. Dollar Associates is based in Alabama. So to the extent that everyone seemed to refer to them as law firms, that is where -- there's no question that that is the firm that wrote the letter. So there's no intent to mislead a jury about whether it was a law firm or not.

THE COURT: Well, did you think they were a law firm yesterday?

MR. CREIZMAN: I did, actually, until Mr. Noble brought it to my attention yesterday that they, in fact, were

not a law firm, they were a consulting firm for NCUA matters, for credit union matters.

But the point is that everyone considered them a law firm. The only point of all of this was that, from Mr. Lebedev's perspective, who had seen Anthony Murgio write, "We're retaining these guys, look at their credentials," okay, and one of the people was the former NCUA chairman, and Mr. Curry apparently thought that this person was a lawyer, and --

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure about that, but he was confused by your assertion or suggestion that this was a law firm, that Dollar Associates was a law firm.

Now, you're saying you got that from the 3500 material, but that might be because you made an assumption that, I don't know, maybe there's another lawyer from Alabama, or maybe you're right, that he made the mistake. In any event, I take you at your word that you didn't know yesterday, when you suggested it, that Dollar Associates is not a law firm.

MR. CREIZMAN: Right. And I pieced it together from various sources is what I'm pointing out. The purpose of the cross-examination wasn't to establish that there was a law firm, so much as what people who worked for Anthony Murgio or who worked with Anthony Murgio on the HOPE FCU believed was going on in terms of communications with the NCUA and -- that this was being handled by Anthony Murgio and Michael Murgio

with the assistance of -- in this case, it wasn't a lawyer, but certainly professionals who have experience. That's what goes to the second point.

I'm not asserting an advice-of-counsel defense. I don't believe that Mr. Lebedev was privy to any attorney-client communications. And I think that this is a pattern that even the government would acknowledge, that Murgio had told other people, such as Jen Wotherspoon, that there was a lawyer in Texas that says that it's okay to set up an association that could deal in Bitcoins, and you don't need a money transmitter license, and then he's telling people in the group that, A, we've retained this attorney, this law firm, Perkins Cowhey, which I believe is a law firm, and Dollar Associates, which apparently is not. But the point is that this is what's being communicated online, and the people who are kind of part of the group are not really — other than Anthony and Michael, they're not communicating with these lawyers. All they know is that someone's talking about talking to lawyers. That's all it is.

So there's no advice-of-counsel defense, but it does go to Mr. Lebedev's state of mind in terms of good faith, that obviously this is what I'm being told, I'm being told they're handling it with lawyers. That doesn't give Mr. Lebedev the right to make intentional misrepresentations or — and maybe it doesn't absolve him in some way of being careless or reckless, but my point is, this is a criminal case, and intent is

critical here. So if the government wants to sift through 1 attorney-client communications of Anthony Murgio and Michael 2 3 Murgio, I'm pretty sure that Yuri Lebedev is not in those 4 communications and is not making representations to attorneys. 5 That's not the purpose of why we brought any of this stuff up. 6 THE COURT: So let me just make sure I have what you 7 want to do with it, and then I'll get the government's view. So you're not saying Mr. Lebedev sought legal advice 8 9 himself? 10 MR. CREIZMAN: Exactly. 11 THE COURT: And acted pursuant to that advice? 12 MR. CREIZMAN: Absolutely right. 13 THE COURT: Or that in seeking legal advice, he acted 14 and, therefore, was acting in good faith? 15 MR. CREIZMAN: That's right. THE COURT: But you are saying that to the extent that 16 17 Mr. Murgio led him to believe that Mr. Murgio sought legal advice --18 19 MR. CREIZMAN: Correct. 20 THE COURT: -- and based on that advice, Mr. Lebedev 21 understood himself to be acting in good faith? 22 MR. CREIZMAN: Correct. Understood that this was not 23 a -- this was a legitimate organization, this was a legitimate 24 effort to try to gain seats to get back their board control of

the HOPE Federal Credit Union, that this was not some sort of

25

effort to deceive the NCUA in any way.

THE COURT: The idea is that while if Mr. Lebedev did that directly from an attorney, that would be an invocation of an advice-of-counsel defense, but getting that same information through a nonattorney intermediary somehow means it's not an advice-of-counsel defense?

MR. CREIZMAN: No, I wouldn't even say that. If
Anthony Murgio says, look, our lawyer says that it's okay to
lie about where you live or something along those lines, this
is what this lawyer told me, no, that would approach
advice-of-counsel defense. All I am just trying to point out
is that Anthony Murgio and Michael Murgio were representing to
the group that they were dealing with lawyers, that they were
acting with lawyers, that they were communicating with the
NCUA, and strategizing with the insistence of lawyers, not that
any particular statement or any particular communication was
based on legal advice or anything along those lines. It's just
more of a general idea that the people who are most invested in
this business, which were Anthony Murgio and Michael Murgio,
were actively seeking counsel and people who pretend -- seemed
to be counsel, like Dollar Associates.

That's essentially it. I don't think that comes near to the realm of advice of counsel, because I'm not suggesting that Yuri was told this is okay to do, and we've checked it out with lawyers, but it's more like, you know, just an

understanding of the general business. It's not like Anthony Murgio is saying, hey, let's communicate lies to the NCUA, it was more of a, we're working with attorneys, we're doing what we have to do. And they never said that this particular communication was approved by an attorney or anything else. I'm just saying that it would impact, I think, on Mr. Lebedev's overall view of the situation that was going on and their efforts, and it probably would impact on his -- I guess his feeling the need to investigate what exactly is happening over here, what they're doing, and how closely he needs to be involved in this process.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand the distinction.

Mr. Noble?

MR. NOBLE: Judge, it does approach an advice-of-counsel defense, an indirect advice-of-counsel defense. Our main issue with this is that if Yuri Lebedev was copied on any communications, privileged communications, between Anthony Murgio and the lawyers in which misrepresentations were made to the lawyers, that evidence would tend to undermine any defense the mere fact that Anthony Murgio was consulting lawyers somehow implies that Yuri Lebedev was acting in good faith.

The problem with that is, we just don't know because we don't have access -- we haven't seen all of the communications between Murgio and the attorneys, because of the

privilege issue. What we want to do, which we will do, is go back and see, from our privilege log and speaking with the taint AUSA, if Yuri Lebedev was copied on any of these emails communications with attorneys, in which case we may want to pierce the privilege or seek to pierce the privilege, so that we can see whether or not Lebedev was on any email communications that contain misrepresentations, because, otherwise, we do have a Rule 403 argument to exclude this evidence and this line of cross-examination, this line of argument to the jury, that somehow Yuri Lebedev was acting in good faith based upon the mere fact that Anthony Murgio told him that he was consulting with lawyers about all this stuff. Because it does imply that somehow lawyers are giving a blessing to everything that they're doing.

Whether you call it a pure advice-of-counsel defense or an indirect advice-of-counsel defense, that's the value of this evidence to the defense, and we think it's wholly unfair if they are making misrepresentations, and Mr. Lebedev is aware of misrepresentations to lawyers, to be able to permit the defense to argue to the jury he did nothing wrong, he acted in good faith based upon the mere fact that they were consulting lawyers, if the jury doesn't get to hear the full story that they're actually lying to the lawyers about what they're doing.

Part of our problem and the reason we're raising this is just that we want to flag it for your Honor. It could be an

improper line of cross-examination, argument to the jury. We don't know the full story because we haven't seen all the privileged communications, what exactly it was that Anthony Murgio was telling the lawyers, what Mr. Lebedev knew Anthony Murgio was telling to the lawyers. We have hints of it in some of the chat messages that are not privileged, but we don't have the full picture yet.

MR. CREIZMAN: I would say that to the extent that Yuri Lebedev was copied on any communications with Perkins Cowhey, Dollar Associates, or any of the firms that Anthony Murgio represented he was talking to, certainly we waive the privilege as to those communications because the point is not even an indirect advice of counsel, it's, again, a more generalized, these are the people in charge, these are the guys who are handling things. It's not that everything has been blessed by an attorney. It's more that Yuri Lebedev's degree of care, in terms of reviewing documents and being on guard as to whether some illegality is going on, that is where this all goes to.

And I think that that's something that Jen Wotherspoon testified about, and I think that Rico Hill, at least in some of his communications and meetings with the government, said that he thought that lawyers were involved, that Anthony had represented that to him. Yuri doesn't get a pass just because — if he makes a misrepresentation, but you can be

careless and not looking at a representation and signing onto a representation, and that doesn't make you guilty of a crime, that doesn't give you the necessary intent or even willful blindness unless there is really willful blindness. And if you are being told that lawyers are in the mix and not with respect to any particular document, just that that's what they're looking at, then I would say that's a factor for the jury to consider.

THE COURT: I think maybe you don't disagree with Mr. Noble.

MR. CREIZMAN: Never. I never disagree with him.

THE COURT: What would you propose to do? So you want to say Mr. Lebedev has been given this information that lawyers are involved and Mr. Murgio's consulting lawyers in a way that would suggest to the jury not that a lawyer has given him specific advice to do what he does, but it's relevant to an argument of the lack of good faith, right?

MR. CREIZMAN: That's right.

THE COURT: That's your argument.

If with the waiver that you have just indicated -- and I haven't heard Mr. Noble yet as to if there are other people's privilege involved as well. But if, in fact, Mr. Lebedev is cc'd on some significant number or some number of communications between the Murgios and the lawyers or Dollar Associates, such that he might be copied on misrepresentations

to them or the like, it is your position, then, that this could come in to rebut the good-faith argument that you're making?

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes. Yes, I would think that's fair. I would think that's fair.

MR. NOBLE: Those would be coconspirator statements in furtherance of the conspiracy, we would argue.

THE COURT: So are you in vigorous agreement?

MR. NOBLE: I think we've come to a common ground. I think in terms of our next steps is we'll go back and look at the privilege log and see if there are any additional communications that the government hasn't seen that Mr. Lebedev may have been copied on.

THE COURT: On the theory that you have now been given a waiver with respect to Mr. Lebedev's communications -- I'm not sure what that means.

MR. NOBLE: Yeah, I think that the communications are still privileged, and I think we would have to have your Honor review them in camera. We'd have to come up with a way to either seek waiver from other individuals, probably Murgio, on those emails to see if we can actually read them. We could also submit them to your Honor for review, in camera review, to determine whether or not it appears that there is — I think the crime-fraud exception would apply if they're lying to attorneys in order to further the conspiracy to try to get legal cover for what they're doing.

So we may have arguments that we can pierce the privilege on crime fraud, otherwise we can seek waiver, but I think Mr. Lebedev himself just waiving privilege is not

THE COURT: I think we just need a path forward that's realistic in this time frame.

sufficient for the government to get access to those emails.

When would this come up?

MR. NOBLE: Do you intend to cross Mr. Hill?

MR. CREIZMAN: The answer is that there may be a WhatsApp communication in which Murgio mentions we're going — look who we're hiring or something like that, that would be the extent of it. So that would really be it. I don't think there is anything — to the extent that Mr. Hill said anything in his proffers with the government about, Murgio told me that everything was okay because he had talked to a lawyer, Murgio represented to people that he had talked to regulators, and everything was okay, so I might cross—examine him on that. On any particular advice that was given, I don't think Murgio ever said that any particular communication was advised by a lawyer or any —

THE COURT: I guess Dollar Associates, is there a privilege issue since they're not lawyers?

MR. CREIZMAN: No. And, in fact, in order to restore my good name to this very Court, I would like Mr. Noble to check and see if any communications with Dollar Associates are

on the government's privilege log, because if they are, then someone might owe me an apology.

MR. NOBLE: I'm not sure if there are any, but we will check.

THE COURT: So the specific question, Mr. Noble, is: In light of what Mr. Creizman has just said might happen with respect to Mr. Hill, do you have concerns?

MR. NOBLE: Well, first of all, to the extent that he elicits hearsay from Mr. Hill about what Anthony Murgio told him, we would have a hearsay objection.

To the extent your Honor admits the testimony from Mr. Hill that Anthony Murgio told me he consulted a lawyer, we would request a limiting instruction that obviously that's not coming in for its truth, it's coming in for, I guess, the purpose of state of mind, but along the lines — I don't think we would have any, other than the potential hearsay objections, to the line of cross-examination that Mr. Creizman has outlined.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NOBLE: We would reserve the right to possibly request later on some kind of instruction to the jury that it should disregard this line if we later find out evidence that a lot of this is based on misrepresentations.

THE COURT: Well, I would think you could put on the evidence. I don't know that it would lead to instructing them.

That is to say, unless there's some deeply misleading, but if it's just a contested factual question, then you would have the opportunity to present counter evidence.

MR. NOBLE: Yes. We just want to reserve our right to make an application in that regard, depending on your Honor's rulings on privilege issues and what we find.

THE COURT: I would never stop an application.

MR. NOBLE: Okay.

THE COURT: We do have all of our jurors. They're so responsible; it is truly admirable. One had missed a train by about ten seconds, and so contacted Ms. Nunez to say she wouldn't be here until about 9:40. She's here, so she just needs a few minutes to settle in. So, unless there's anything else we need to take up, I propose everybody just take two minutes, and then we'll bring out the jury.

MR. NOBLE: Thank you, Judge.

MR. KLINGEMAN: If I could let you know what's happening with Mr. Hill. The government the night before last provided to me, who will be handling Mr. Hill, a list of the exhibits that the government intends to show to Mr. Hill. I have reviewed that list, and I don't have any objections.

Late last night, or should I say early this morning, the government supplemented that list with some more exhibits. I'm going to need some time today, lunch, whatever, to look at them, but I don't anticipate any objections.

The government also provided to me a stipulation concerning the admissibility of audio recordings and transcripts corresponding to those audio recordings. I'm prepared to sign the stipulation when it's given to me, I'm prepared to consent to the admissibility of these audio recordings and the playing of those audio recordings to the jury today.

I'm also stipulating to the use of the transcripts. I did tell the government that I will be listening carefully, as we all will, and if we pick up any stray errors in the transcripts, we can correct them, but one thing I don't know is the Court's practice with respect to transcripts going in evidence and to the jury. And, obviously, I defer to the Court on that, but if the transcripts are going to the jury, then I want to reserve the right to correct any of them as we're listening in court today.

THE COURT: I've always managed to get agreement between the parties on this question. So why don't you see if there's agreement.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Will do.

THE COURT: And then if there's not --

MR. KLINGEMAN: Finally, the government's offering these so-called WhatsApp chats, and, again, I have no objection to their use and publication to the jury today. So the only thing I'm going to try to do sometime while Mr. Hill is on

direct is review the second set of exhibits. Otherwise, we're 1 2 good to go. THE COURT: And we have another witness first? 3 4 MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. I'm sorry. I just want 5 to get clarity. Your Honor said that, in your experience, the 6 parties have agreed as to whether or not transcripts go back 7 with the jury or not? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MS. CHOI: I just wanted to make sure I had heard that 10 correctly. 11 THE COURT: Yes. People have different views. 12 MS. CHOI: Right. 13 THE COURT: And I haven't had to resolve different 14 views in that regard. 15 MS. CHOI: Understood. THE COURT: I'm going to take one minute. And, thank 16 17 you, Mr. Klingeman, for the report. I appreciate the efforts. 18 We'll take a minute, and I will come back in one minute, and 19 then we'll bring in the jury. 20 (Recess) 21 MR. SHIN: Judge, just as the jury is coming out, a 22 reminder that we're planning to put on the Bank of America 23 witness. 24 THE COURT: What's the witness' name?

Andrew Levy.

MR. SHIN:

25

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 486 Filed 04/07/17 Page 25 of 254 H2NKLEB1

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Shin. MR. SHIN: So we'd ask the Court to provide that instruction we had discussed yesterday. THE COURT: I will. MR. SHIN: Thank you. THE COURT: About how long for the direct? MR. SHIN: Five to ten minutes. MR. KLINGEMAN: I've got about three hours of cross. THE COURT: Excellent. (Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present) THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 2 3 JURY MEMBERS: Good morning. 4 THE COURT: Please take your seats. 5 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. 6 you again for your attention and diligence. I note there was a 7 train issue this morning, which means a slightly late start, but I'm very grateful for everyone's continuing efforts to be 8 9 here on time. It's really helping us keep this process moving. 10 As happened last week, there is a scheduling issue, 11 and so rather than returning immediately to Mr. Hill's direct 12 examination, we're going to interrupt that now, and the 13 government will call a different witness, and we will do the 14 direct, cross, and redirect of that witness and then return to Mr. Hill. 15 16 Mr. Shin. 17 Thank you, your Honor. The government MR. SHIN: 18 calls Andrew Levy to the stand. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Levy may come toward. 20 ANDREW LEVY, 21 called as a witness by the Government, 2.2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 23 THE COURT: Please be seated, and once you are seated, 24 please pull yourself up close to the microphone, and state and 25 spell your name for the record.

4

1 THE WITNESS: Andrew Levy, A-n-d-r-e-w, L-e-v-y.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

3 MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor.

- DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. SHIN: 5
- 6 Mr. Levy, good morning. 0.
- 7 Good morning. Α.
- Where do you work? 8 Q.
- 9 Α. Bank of America.
- 10 And how long have you worked there?
- 11 For a little over ten years.
- 12 And during those ten years, have you had the same job the
- 13 entire time?
- 14 Α. No.
- Could you please describe for the jury the different jobs 15 Q.
- you've had at Bank of America? 16
- 17 I started as a senior account manager in customer
- 18 assistance, then I moved to the risk detection unit as a senior
- 19 credit analyst, and then into my current role, about six and a
- 20 half years ago, working in legal order processing.
- 21 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, if we could just adjust the
- 22 microphone?
- 23 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 24 Thank you, Ms. Choi.
- 25 And, Mr. Levy, in your current role in the legal order

2

processing department, what's your title?

- Operations analyst bank officer. Α.
- 3 What do you do in that role?
- 4 I'm the custodian of records for the Northeast region of Α.
- 5 the country. I testify on behalf of the bank whenever bank
- 6 documents are subpoenaed.
- 7 And approximately how many times have you testified prior
- 8 to today?
- 9 Roughly about 600. Α.
- 10 Have you ever testified on the topic of wire transfers? Ο.
- 11 Α. Yes, I have.
- 12 And about how many times have you testified on that
- 13 subject?
- 14 Probably ten to a dozen.
- Now, in connection with testifying about wire transfers 15 Q.
- previously, have you gained knowledge necessary for that 16
- 17 testimony?
- A. Both through training -- mandatory training at the bank, as 18
- well as developing some contacts within the bank who I've had 19
- 20 to discuss wires with, people that work with wires on a regular
- 21 basis within the bank.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, at this time the government
- 23 offers Exhibit 864, which the parties have agreed, pursuant to
- 24 stipulation, is an admissible bank record.
- 25 No objection. MR. KLINGEMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

864 is admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 864 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, may we publish to the jury?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could just page through

that for Mr. Levy's benefit.

- 9 BY MR. SHIN:
- 10 Mr. Levy, do you recognize this exhibit?
- 11 Α. Yes, I do.
- 12 Ο. What is it?
- 13 They are copies of wire transfers from a Bank of America Α.
- 14 account.
- How many copies of wire transfers are in this exhibit? 15 Q.
- There are two separate wire transfers. 16 Α.
- 17 What is a wire transfer? Ο.
- 18 A. A wire transfer is basically an electronic way of sending
- 19 money from one person to another, from one bank to another.
- 20 Q. And these two documents, generally, what are these sorts of
- 21 documents called here that we're looking at?
- 22 The Bank of America name is a full tran report. It can
- also be called a Fedwire. 23
- 24 What is the purpose of this document?
- 25 It's basically a set of instructions for how to move the

- money from one entity to another.
- Q. Are wire transfer instructions like these available to 2 3 customers on request?
- A. Yes. 4

7

8

9

10

21

- 5 Q. So just to be clear, the documents we're looking at, what entity created these documents? 6
 - A. Bank of America.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could turn to page 4 of the document. And if you could zoom in roughly the top third of the page.
- 11 Q. Mr. Levy, is this one of the two wire transfers in this 12 exhibit?
- Yes, it is. 13 Α.
- 14 What is the date and amount of this wire transfer? Q.
- 15 Α. The date was May 9th of 2014 and in the amount of \$15,000.
- If you could just explain for the jury what entities are 16
- 17 involved in this wire transfer?
- 18 A. Sure. You basically had two banks and two customers. Bank of America was one of the banks, and their customer, our 19 20 customer, was Currency Enthusiasts, and the other entity was
- 22 MR. SHIN: Sorry, could you return to that zoom-in,

PNC Bank, whose customer was Hope Cathedral.

- 23 please, Ms. Grant.
- 24 Q. So, just to be clear, the Bank of America customer in this 25 case is who?

- Currency Enthusiasts. Α.
- And that's indicated on the left side of the exhibit; is 2 Q.
- 3 that right?

- That's correct, three lines down on the left side. 4 Α.
- 5 The recipient of this wire transfer is whom? 0.
- Hope Cathedral. 6 Α.
- 7 Who is its Bank? Q.
- Hope Cathedral's bank was PNC. 8 Α.
- 9 So, Mr. Levy, if you look a few lines down from Hope
- 10 Cathedral, do you see the field there that says, "Orig to Benef
- Info"? 11
- 12 Α. I do.
- 13 What is that field? 0.
- 14 That's basically like a memo line on the check to indicate
- from -- the sending customer would have that line entered and 15
- just a way to denotate what the money was for, what the wire 16
- 17 was for.
- 18 And what is the entry in that field here?
- This says "Donation." 19 Α.
- 20 That information is provided by whom in this transaction? 0.
- 21 By the customer, Currency Enthusiasts.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could zoom out, please.
- 23 And on that same page, if you could zoom into that
- 24 bottom section.
- 25 Thank you.

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 486 Filed 04/07/17 Page 32 of 254

H2NKLEB1

Levy - Direct

- Mr. Levy, if you look at the section there labeled "3100"? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.

5

- 3 What is the sending bank indicated in that section? 0.
- The sending bank is Bank of America NYC. 4 Α.
 - And where is it located? Ο.
- 6 New York City. Α.
- 7 Q. Now, do you see there in that same section, there's a term,
- 8 "ABA number"?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 O. What does that mean?
- A. ABA stands for American Bankers Association. It can also 11
- be referred to as a routing number. It's basically a bank's 12
- 13 identifier, so other banks know where to send money to, that it
- 14 is specifically going to go to that bank.
- 15 (Continued on next page)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- BY MR. SHIN: 1
- 2 And the specific number here ending in 9593, do you
- 3 recognize that number?
- I do. 4 Α.
- 5 What is it? 0.
- This is Bank of America's unique routing number for our 6
- 7 account with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.
- So the fact that that number is listed here in these 8
- 9 instructions, what, if anything, does that tell you about this
- 10 wire transfer?
- 11 That this particular wire transfer went through the Federal
- 12 Reserve Bank of New York.
- 13 Q. And when you say it went through the Federal Reserve Bank
- 14 of New York, what is the New York Federal Reserve Bank's role
- in this transaction? 15
- They're basically the middleman, the entity that took money 16
- 17 out of Bank of America's account, Currency Enthusiasts, and put
- 18 it into the PNC account of Hope Cathedral.
- Where is the Federal Reserve Bank of New York located? 19 Q.
- 20 A. Manhattan.
- 21 MR. SHIN: If you could turn to page 1 of the
- 22 document, please? If you could zoom in to the top portion as
- 23 you had previously?
- 24 Q. Mr. Levy, what is the date and amount of this wire
- 25 transfer?

Levy - Direct

- This was May 21st of 2014 in the amount of \$15,000. 1
- Are the customers and banks involved in this transaction 2 Q.
- 3 the same as what we saw previously?
- A. Yes, they are. 4
- 5 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could zoom out and zoom
- in to the bottom half. 6
- 7 Q. Mr. Levy, again directing your attention to the
- 3100 section. 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 So again, what is the sending bank on this wire transfer?
- 11 Α. Sending bank was Bank of America NYC.
- 12 That's located where?
- 13 Α. In New York City.
- 14 And is the ABA number for this wire transfer the same one Q.
- 15 that we saw earlier ending in 9593?
- 16 Yes, it is. Α.
- 17 What does that tell you about that wire transfer?
- 18 This particular wire transfer went through the Federal
- Reserve Bank of New York. 19
- 20 MR. SHIN: No further questions, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Shin.
- 22 Mr. Creizman.
- 23 No questions, your Honor. MR. CREIZMAN:
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Klingeman.
- 25 Thank you, your Honor. MR. KLINGEMAN:

Levy - Cross

- 1 THE COURT: Cross examination of Mr. Levy on behalf of Mr. Gross. Mr. Klingeman, when you're ready. 2
- 3 MR. KLINGEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- BY MR. KLINGEMAN: 5
- Good morning, Mr. Levy. 6
- 7 Good morning. Α.
- 8 Welcome. My name is Henry Klingeman and I represent Trevon 9 Gross.
- 10 Just by way of reference, if you need to look at 11 Exhibit 864 at any point, just let me know, but I presume, just 12 having looked at it 45 seconds ago, you can bear with me.
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 Q. You described the memo line as an explanation of -- to use your words -- "what the wire is for". 15
- 16 Α. Correct.
- 17 Okay. And in the memo line for these two wire transfers, the purpose given is "donation"? 18
- 19 Α. Correct.
- 20 And the beneficiary of the donation is the Hope Cathedral?
- 21 Α. Correct.
- 22 Let me ask you some questions about New York's connection,
- geographical connection to this pair of wire transfers, okay? 23
- 24 Α. All right.
- 25 You indicated that the Federal Reserve Bank of New York,

- which is a few blocks from here, played some role in this?
- 2 Α. Yes.

- 3 But the Bank of America customer in this case opened the
- account in Florida, correct? 4
- Their address is in Florida, yes. 5 Α.
- The address is in Florida. 6 0.
- 7 Α. Correct.
- And the Bank of America customer in Florida was sending the 8 Q.
- 9 money -- or sending the direction from Florida, right?
- 10 I'm not sure where they actually originated the wire
- transfer from. 11
- 12 Okay. But the customer is located in Florida, according to
- 13 the address in the records that you just talked about.
- 14 Correct. Α.
- And the money was directed to a beneficiary, Hope 15 Q.
- 16 Cathedral, located in New Jersey.
- 17 Α. Correct.
- 18 The money, the wire transfer, was not directed by the
- 19 customer in Florida to New York, right?
- 20 No, not they themselves, no. Α.
- 21 That happened because that's the way Bank of America Q.
- 22 processed this particular wire transfer.
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 There's no indication in the Government's Exhibit 864 that
- 25 the recipient, Hope Cathedral, was notified that the money had

Levy - Cross

- passed through New York, correct? 1
 - That's correct. Α.
- 3 I think you indicated, and you can correct me if I'm wrong,
- 4 that a Bank of America customer, the Florida customer for
- 5 example, could visit Bank of America and look at the wire
- 6 transfer records if that person wanted to, correct?
- 7 MR. SHIN: Objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Misstates? Is that the objection? 8
- 9 MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 BY MR. KLINGEMAN:
- 12 Why don't you tell us -- do you recall what I'm referring
- 13 to?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Please, help me and help the jury.
- 16 What do you want me to explain? Α.
- 17 What did you say to counsel earlier about that? Ο.
- He asked if a Bank of America customer could ask for that 18
- 19 information from the bank, and my answer was "yes".
- 20 Okay. By visiting a branch, for example? 0.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Or perhaps making an online inquiry? Q.
- 23 I'm not sure if they could do it online or not. Α.
- 24 Or over the telephone? Ο.
- 25 Again, not sure. Α.

- Levy Cross
- 1 But certainly, a Bank of America customer could go to a
- Bank of America branch and ask for this information. 2
- 3 That's correct.
- Any indication in the exhibit that you were shown, 4 Q.
- 5 Government's 864, that the Bank of America customer in Florida
- ever did that? 6
- 7 Α. No.
- How about a non-Bank of America customer? 8
- 9 No, the non-Bank of America customer could not request
- 10 documents for a Bank of America account.
- 11 So if a non-Bank of America customer went to a Bank of
- 12 America branch and said 'I want to see the wire transfer
- 13 records', Bank of America would say 'no'.
- 14 That's correct. Α.
- 15 Q. 'None of your business'.
- 16 Essentially. Α.
- 17 And Hope Cathedral, according to the record that you have 0.
- in front of you, is a customer of PNC Bank in New Jersey. 18
- 19 According to this record, yes. Α.
- 20 And not a customer of Bank of America. 0.
- 21 I'm not sure if she is or not. Α.
- 22 You don't know all the customers of Bank of America?
- 23 I can't say I do. Α.
- 24 Of course not. But there's no indication in the records
- 25 that you've looked at that Hope Cathedral is a Bank of America

Levy - Redirect

1 customer.

8

- Not from this record, no. 2 Α.
- 3 Q. And assuming for the sake of my question that Hope
- 4 Cathedral were not a Bank of America customer, Hope Cathedral
- 5 could not go to Bank of America and say 'hey, let me see the
- 6 wire transfer records', right?
- 7 A. That would be correct.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: Thank you, your Honor. No further questions.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, may I just briefly?
- 12 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. SHIN: 14
- Q. Mr. Levy, you recall the last few questions about whether a 15
- non-Bank of America customer could ask Bank of America for wire 16
- 17 instruction records?
- 18 A. Yes.
- In your experience, can a Bank of America customer who 19
- 20 receives a wire transfer go to Bank of America and ask for
- 21 these instructions?
- 22 Α. Yes, they could.
- 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection, relevance.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, I think --
- 25 THE COURT: I'll overrule. You can ask a follow-up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. SHIN:

Do you have any reason to believe that that availability to a receiving customer is any different at any other bank?

A. No.

MR. SHIN: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Klingeman?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Nothing. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Creizman?

MR. CREIZMAN: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Levy, you're excused. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: You may call Mr. Hill back to the stand.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, right before we do that, we'd like to publish one exhibit. And just so counsel can have an opportunity to review it, it's Government's Exhibit 800-H, which the parties have stipulated are wire transfer records belonging to PNC Bank.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I object, your Honor. I object.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I object to the timing of this.

THE COURT: Sustained. Not discussed. We'll stick with the plan. You can raise it with me at the break.

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, the government calls Ricardo Hill.

- 1 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hill, I will swear you in 2 again.
- 3 RICARDO HILL,
- 4 recalled as a witness by the Government,
- 5 having been previously sworn, testified as follows:
- 6 THE COURT: Mr. Shin, you may resume your direct 7 examination of Mr. Hill.
- MR. SHIN: 8 Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- BY MR. SHIN: 10
- 11 Good morning, Mr. Hill.
- 12 Α. Good morning.
- 13 Q. Mr. Hill, I believe where we left off yesterday, you were
- 14 describing your criminal history?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And I believe the last bit of that topic we covered was you 16
- served some time in prison for two burglary convictions; is 17
- that correct? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 And about when were you released?
- 21 In January of 2006. Α.
- 22 So in addition to the crimes and juvenile offenses that you
- 23 described yesterday, have you ever committed other crimes for
- 24 which you have not been arrested?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- Hill Direct
- Could you describe those for the jury, please? 1
- I helped friends of mine obtain small quantities of 2 Α. Sure.
- 3 cocaine, molly, and marijuana. I also paid what I owed in
- 4 And I also stole a bag of marijuana from a guy in my
- 5 neighborhood.
- So in addition to what you just described with respect to 6
- 7 narcotics, have you personally used narcotics?
- I've used marijuana throughout my life, and I also 8 A. Yes.
- 9 tried cocaine while in high school.
- 10 Now, returning to your arrest in this case, could you 0.
- 11 remind the jury when you were arrested?
- In October of 2016. 12 Α.
- 13 So after your arrest, what did you decide to do afterward? 0.
- 14 Cooperate with the government. Α.
- 15 Q. And then did you meet with FBI agents and prosecutors to be
- interviewed by the government? 16
- 17 Yes, I did. Α.
- 18 Q. About how many times have you met with the government to
- 19 date?
- 20 About 12 to 15 times. Α.
- 21 During these meetings, did you admit to the crimes you
- 22 committed in connection with Coin.mx and HOPE FCU?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Did you tell the government about the people you committed
- 25 those crimes with?

Α. Yes.

- Have you pled quilty to those crimes? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, I have. Α.
- Could you describe to the jury what crimes you've pled 4 Q.
- quilty to? 5
- 6 I pled quilty to bank fraud and wire fraud and conspiracy
- 7 to do so, I pled guilty to making false statements to federal
- regularities, I also pled guilty to obstructing the examination 8
- 9 of federal regularities, I also pled quilty to -- I'm sorry, I
- 10 can't remember them all.
- 11 Q. Did you plead quilty to offenses related to operating
- 12 Coin.mx?
- 13 A. Yes, conspiracy to operate an unlicensed money transmitting
- 14 business, and also operating an unlicensed money transmitting
- 15 business.
- Did you plead quilty to any crimes in connection with the 16
- 17 payments to Mr. Gross that you described yesterday?
- A. Yes, conspiracy to make corrupt payments to a bank official 18
- and making corrupt payments to a bank official. 19
- 20 Thank you, Mr. Hill. Ο.
- 21 Now, in conjunction with your guilty plea, did you
- 22 enter into an agreement with the government?
- 23 Α. Yes, I did.
- 24 Do you know what that agreement is called? 0.
- 25 A cooperation agreement. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could bring up, just for the witness and the Court and counsel, 3502-15? Maybe if you could zoom out so you can see a page at a time. If you could just page through that for Mr. Hill's benefit.

- BY MR. SHIN:
- What is this document? Ο.
 - A. My cooperation agreement.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could turn to the last 8 9 Thank you. page.
 - On what date did you enter into this agreement, Mr. Hill?
- 11 Α. January 17th of 2017.
- 12 Did you plead quilty to the crimes you listed earlier on 13 that date?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could take that down now, and if you could please bring up 3502-26, just for the witness 16 17 and the Court and counsel. If you could just page through 18 that.
- Mr. Hill, what is this document? 19
- 20 This is an amended cooperation agreement.
- 21 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could turn to the last 22 page, please.
- BY MR. SHIN: 23
- 24 On what date did you enter into this agreement?
- 25 On February 7th of 2017. Α.

- Hill Direct
- Now, on that date, did you go back into court and agree to 1
- 2 be bound by this amended cooperation agreement?
- 3 Yes, I did. Α.
- 4 Mr. Hill, what was amended between the first agreement and Q.
- 5 the second agreement?
- Crimes that I was not arrested for that I disclosed to the 6 7 government.
- What particular crimes that you disclosed to the government 8 9 were changed in the agreement?
- 10 Helping my friends obtain small quantities of cocaine, 11 marijuana, and molly, also paying individuals that I owed money
- 12 to in cocaine, and also stealing a bag of marijuana from a guy
- 13 in my neighborhood.
- 14 Q. Now, had you disclosed those particular crimes to the
- 15 government prior to entering into the original cooperation
- 16 agreement?
- 17 No, I didn't. Α.
- 18 Why not? Q.
- When asked about my past crimes, I didn't remember, I 19
- 20 forgot about those items I disclosed later.
- 21 Q. Was there anything about the way the questions were posed
- 22 to you that led to your not remembering those items?
- 23 A. Yes. I remember being asked if I was a drug dealer, and I
- 24 answered "no", that I wasn't, so therefore, I didn't consider
- 25 the crimes that I disclosed later.

- Just to be clear, you subsequently disclosed those to the 1 2 government?
- 3 Yes. Α.
- Now, do you have an understanding of the effect of 4 Q.
- 5 including these additional items in this amended cooperation
- agreement? 6
- 7 The government would not prosecute me for the crimes
- that I disclosed that I had not been arrested for, and also, 8
- 9 the judge would consider those crimes during my sentencing.
- 10 Q. Mr. Hill, you listed the various crimes you pled to
- 11 previously.
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 What is the highest possible sentence you face as a result 0.
- of pleading guilty to those crimes? 14
- 125 years. 15 Α.
- Have you been sentenced yet? 16 0.
- 17 No, I have not. Α.
- 18 Now, under the cooperation agreement, are you required to
- do certain things? 19
- 20 Α. Yes, I am.
- 21 Could you describe those for the jury, please? Q.
- 22 I'm required to testify truthfully, to disclose all
- 23 information and activities about myself and others pertaining
- 24 to this case, I'm required to cooperate with the government and
- 25 meet with them whenever they request my presence, to commit no

- more crimes whatsoever. 1
- Q. Now, under the cooperation agreement, are you also required 2
- 3 to file amended tax returns for certain years and to pay past
- taxes and penalties? 4
- Yes, I am. 5 Α.
- Why is that? 6 0.
- 7 I disclosed to the government a number of years that I did
- not file taxes completely. 8
- 9 Q. Now, you alluded to the fact that one of the requirements
- 10 of the cooperation agreement is that you testify truthfully
- 11 here today.
- 12 Yes, that's correct.
- 13 So just to be clear, are you testifying here today because Ο.
- 14 you are required to do so under the cooperation agreement?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 MR. CREIZMAN: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 18 MR. CREIZMAN: Bolstering.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 20 MR. CREIZMAN: Bolstering.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 Q. Now, if you comply with the requirements of the cooperation
- 23 agreement, what's your understanding of what the government
- 24 will do?

25

The government will write a 5K letter to the judge.

- What judge is that? 1
- 2 Judge Nathan. Α.
- 3 And do you know what proceeding that would be in connection
- 4 with?
- 5 That would be in connection to my sentencing.
- 6 What's your understanding of the information that the
- 7 government would include if it were to write that letter?
- A. All relevant conduct and criminal conduct that I disclose 8
- 9 according to this case and my past, and of course the details
- 10 of my cooperating.
- 11 Q. So sitting here today, do you know for sure whether the
- 12 government will write that letter to the judge?
- 13 No, I do not. Α.
- 14 If the government were to write that letter, would the
- 15 government recommend a particular sentence for you?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 So do you know for certain right now whether your sentence
- will, in fact, be reduced? 18
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Has the government made any promises to you about what your
- 21 sentence will be?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 So is it still possible for you to be sentenced up to 125
- 24 years in prison?
- 25 Yes. Α.

- To your understanding of the cooperation agreement, does 1 your sentence in your case depend in any way on the outcome of 2
- 3 this trial?

- A. No, it does not. 4
- Q. If the government were to find out that you testified 5
- falsely during this trial, what is your understanding of what 6 7 would happen?
 - MR. CREIZMAN: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Same objection? Is it the same objection?
- 10 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 12
- 13 THE COURT: You may answer.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I would not receive a 5K letter, and I
- also can be charged with perjury and/or obstruction of justice. 15
- BY MR. SHIN: 16
- 17 Q. Mr. Hill, you testified yesterday that you worked for
- 18 Anthony Murgio at Coin.mx, correct?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 How did you first meet Mr. Murgio?
- 21 I worked at a restaurant called 101 Restaurant that he
- 22 owned previously.
- 23 Just briefly, what did you do there?
- I began as a server there, and I eventually became the 24
- 25 general manager at the restaurant.

- What period of time was this? 1
- From the end of 2010 to the Summer of 2013. 2 Α.
- 3 Why did you stop working at the restaurant?
- The ownership changed, and I eventually resigned. 4 Α.
- 5 Now, while you were working at this restaurant, did you
- 6 become aware of any legal problems that Anthony Murgio was
- 7 facing?
- 8 A. Yes. While working at the restaurant, I witnessed him
- 9 being arrested for a tax issue.
- 10 Ο. Where was he arrested?
- 11 Α. At 101 Restaurant.
- 12 At the restaurant where you worked?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Did you subsequently try to find out more about Murgio's
- 15 arrest?
- 16 Yes. I looked it up on Google. Α.
- 17 Were you able to find more information about it? Q.
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Did that information indicate what the problems were? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What were they? Q.
- 22 Α. That he didn't pay --
- 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 25 Hearsay now. Not the previous MR. KLINGEMAN:

- 1 questions.
- THE COURT: Understood. Sustained. 2
- 3 BY MR. SHIN:
- 4 Could you describe for the jury what you did to find this
- 5 additional information?
- I Googled "Anthony Murgio arrested". 6
- 7 Was that difficult to do?
- Not at all. 8 Α.
- Q. Now, do you recall testifying yesterday that Yuri Lebedev 9
- 10 also worked for Coin.mx and was also on the board with you at
- HOPE Federal Credit Union? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 How did you first come in contact with Mr. Lebedev? 0.
- 14 Via emails while working at Coin.mx. Α.
- 15 Q. Did you come to meet Mr. Lebedev in person?
- 16 Eventually, yes. Α.
- 17 Could you just describe how it was that you met him? Ο.
- 18 I met him twice; once around Halloween of 2014, and then
- again in November of 2014. 19
- 20 Mr. Hill, when did you work at Coin.mx?
- 21 Α. From 2014 to 2015.
- 22 Did you complete any training when you started working
- 23 there?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Could you just briefly describe that training?

- Hill Direct
- The training was about the back end of Coin.mx, how to 1
- manage the account creation, how to manage transfers, 2
- 3 withdrawals, deposits, and how to use the customer support chat
- 4 area.

- 5 How was that training delivered to you?
- I was given a link to follow. All the training was on the 6
- 7 website, and you just go through all the information.
 - You said it was on the website. What website was it on?
- 9 Collectpma.com. Α.
- 10 It was not on the Coin.mx website? Ο.
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Q. Were these training materials publicly accessible?
- 13 Α. No.
- How was it that you were able to access it? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. I was given the link from my boss, Anthony Murgio.
- What were your duties and responsibilities at Coin.mx? 16 0.
- 17 I managed the back end, I approved deposit and withdrawals Α.
- 18 and Bitcoins transactions, I helped the chat support agents
- with questions that they couldn't answer themselves. 19
- 20 How much did you earn while working at Coin.mx?
- 21 About \$2,000 a month. Α.
- 22 In addition to Anthony Murgio and Yuri Lebedev, who you've
- 23 already mentioned, did you work with any other people at
- 24 Coin.mx?
- 25 I worked with Jen Wotherspoon, and eventually Jose Yes.

- We also had a few chat support agents; Eric, JD. 1 Freundt.
- Did you work with any individuals in other functions at 2
- 3 Coin.mx, in other departments of Coin.mx?
- In other departments? No. 4 Α.
- 5 So when you encountered technical problems, did you contact
- or work with any people? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Who were those people? 8 Q.
- 9 Our developers at Coin.mx and Yuri Lebedev.
- 10 Do you remember the names of any of those people in Ο.
- addition to Yuri Lebedev? 11
- 12 I don't remember. I don't even remember like email
- 13 addresses. We would send out problems and issues to the
- 14 emails.
- 15 Q. Do you know where they were located, the technical people?
- 16 Α. In Russia.
- 17 Did you work with any individuals from an accounting
- 18 department?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Do you remember their names? 0.
- I remember Eva. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. Now, did Anthony Murgio answer to anyone with respect to
- 23 Coin.mx?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Who was that? Q.

- Α. His name was Vlad.
- Do you know anything else about this person named Vlad? 2 Q.
- 3 Only that he spoke with a Russian accent. Α.
- 4 How is it that you know that Anthony Murgio answered to Q.
- 5 Vlad?

- 6 I've been in the office with Murgio while on the conference
- 7 call, and I can hear him barking orders at Anthony, and Anthony
- accepted those, so that's what led me to believe that he 8
- 9 answered to Vlad.
- 10 What role did Yuri Lebedev have at Coin.mx?
- 11 He was a top-level tech quy, if our developers had an issue
- 12 that they couldn't fix, we would attach Yuri to the email, and
- 13 he would direct them to fixing the issue or do it himself.
- 14 Now, when you first started at Coin.mx, where did you work? Q.
- 15 Α. From home on my laptop.
- You didn't work in an office? 16 0.
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 Did Coin.mx have any offices at that time when you started?
- 19 They had an office in Orlando, Florida where a couple Α. Yes.
- 20 of the chat support agents worked at.
- 21 Now, did there come a time when Coin.mx opened any
- additional offices? 22
- 23 We eventually opened an office in Tallahassee,
- 24 Florida where I then began working out of.
- 25 And about when was that?

- In July of 2014. Α.
- Now, Mr. Hill, could customers purchase Bitcoins on 2
- 3 Coin.mx?

- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 Q. And were there any initial steps they had to take in order
- to do so? 6
- 7 A. Yes. You had to, one, create an account with the general
- information, you had to be a part of the Collectables Club 8
- 9 association, and you would sign a membership agreement, and
- 10 then you would have to use a debit card or credit card to
- 11 deposit funds on Coin.mx. And once that was approved, you can
- 12 then transfer -- you could then exchange your USD that you
- 13 deposited for Bitcoin.
- 14 You referred to the Collectables Club in your answer. Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- What is the Collectables Club? 16 0.
- 17 Collectables Club was the parent company of Coin.mx.
- 18 Q. Did you hear that phrase "parent company of Coin.mx" from
- 19 anyone?
- 20 Yes, Anthony Murgio. Α.
- 21 Now, in connection with your work at Coin.mx, did you ever
- 22 work with selling stamps?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 Ο. Antiques?
- 25 Α. No.

- Any other kind of memorabilia?
- 2 Α. No.

- 3 Did you ever attend meetings of the Collectables Club? 0.
- 4 Α. No.
- 5 Did you ever, for example, pack up memorabilia to ship out
- 6 to customers?
- 7 Α. No.
- So you described earlier that once a customer created a 8
- 9 Coin.mx account, they could then use their debit or credit
- 10 cards; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Do you know how Coin.mx processed credit and debit card
- 13 transactions?
- I don't know how, but we used the processer from another 14
- country. 15
- 16 Do you remember what that other country was?
- 17 It was in Azerbaijan.
- 18 Q. How is it that you know that there was another country,
- 19 Azerbaijan, that was involved?
- 20 A. Well, dealing with customers that had complaints about the
- 21 charge on their credit card showing up from an outside country,
- 22 I then learned where our processer was.
- 23 Did Coin.mx have an office in Azerbaijan?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Now, in general, do you know what the Coin.mx customers

- were using the Bitcoins for once they purchased them?
- 2 Yes. Α.

- 3 Could you explain that to the jury, please?
- 4 Well, I learned that some customers used Bitcoin to Α.
- 5 purchase products from Black Market sites. I also learned that
- 6 some customers used Bitcoins to purchase from merchants who
- 7 accepted Bitcoin, and I also learned that some customers used
- 8 Bitcoin to pay for Ransomware when their files were encrypted.
- 9 Q. Of those examples you cited, was any one of those more
- 10 common than the others?
- 11 We had a ton of customers who would pay for
- 12 Ransomware when their files were encrypted.
- 13 Now, while you were working at Coin.mx, did you become
- 14 aware of Coin.mx bank accounts being closed down?
- Yes, a couple of times. 15 Α.
- Who did you learn that from? 16 0.
- 17 Anthony Murgio. Α.
- 18 What did he say about it?
- That our accounts were closed because there was customers 19
- 20 attempting to make deposits, and the "what the deposit was for
- 21 feel", they were to purchase Bitcoins.
- 22 Q. Now, Mr. Hill, you testified earlier that you were involved
- 23 in wire fraud and bank fraud?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And generally speaking, what was the conduct underlying the

8

wire fraud and the bank fraud you were involved with?

- A. We would have three-way calls with our customers and their 2
- 3 bank in an effort to increase the limits that they can spend
- 4 with Coin.mx, but prior to patching in the bank on the call we
- 5 would let the customers know not to mention Bitcoin. And then,
- 6 of course, when we have the bank official on the line, I
- 7 wouldn't tell them that the customers were purchasing Bitcoin.
 - So you omitted telling the banks about Bitcoin?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Did you affirmatively tell them anything in the
- 11 alternative?
- 12 Yes. I would let them know that the customers were
- 13 purchasing products and services from us, or paying for
- 14 membership fees, collectibles, and memorabilia.
- Did you instruct the customers similarly? 15 Q.
- 16 Absolutely. Α.
- 17 And when you say you were calling the banks, what banks are
- 18 we talking about?
- General banks; Bank of America, Chase, any bank that a 19
- 20 customer had a bank card or debit card from. There was really
- 21 no limit to what banks we were calling.
- 22 You said bank card, debit card, also credit cards?
- 23 Credit cards, yes. Α.
- 24 Now, you testified that this was in connection with
- 25 increasing customers' limits at Coin.mx so they could spend

more?

- 2 Yes. Α.
- 3 Were there any other reasons for making these calls?
- We also made those three-way calls with the customers 4 Α.
- 5 to their bank to rescind chargebacks. A number of customers
- 6 would initiate a chargeback when they didn't recognize the
- 7 charge on their bank statement.
- Who at Coin.mx was involved in making these calls? 8
- 9 Myself, Jen Wotherspoon, and eventually Jose Freundt.
- 10 began working with us later.
- 11 Q. And about how often would you make these sorts of calls
- 12 collectively?
- 13 A. At least five to ten times a day.
- 14 Q. Now, the approach that you described and what you told the
- 15 credit card companies, did you learn how to do that from
- 16 anyone?
- 17 A. Yes. Anthony Murgio gave us a script so we wouldn't
- 18 mention Bitcoin.
- 19 Q. Did he ever explain to you why you should use that
- 20 approach?
- 21 Yes. Banks don't like Bitcoin and wouldn't allow their
- 22 customers to use their debit cards or credit cards on our
- 23 website.
- 24 Q. Now, Mr. Hill, did there come a time when Anthony Murgio
- 25 contacted you about taking over a credit union?

Α. Yes.

1

4

8

11

- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please publish for 2 3 the jury Government's Exhibit 1089 in evidence?
 - Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? Q.
- Yes, I do. 5 Α.

Credit Union.

- Just generally, what is it? 6
- 7 It's an email from Anthony Murgio to myself about HOPE
- 9 Could you describe the content of the email, please?
- 10 He asked me would I be interested in being on the board of directors of a credit union, and I let him know I definitely
- 12 was.
- 13 Why were you interested? 0.
- 14 Α. Why was I interested?
- 15 Q. Mm-hmm.
- I knew that having access to the credit union would extend 16
- 17 the -- would extend our platform in a way that it would make it
- 18 easier for our customers at Coin.mx to make deposits and
- 19 withdrawals, as we were having issues with their bank cards and
- 20 credit cards.
- 21 Had you always wanted to be on the board of a credit union?
- 22 I hadn't ever thought about being on the board of a credit
- 23 union.
- 24 When Anthony asked you to serve on the board of a credit
- 25 union, do you know if he was aware of your criminal history?

Α. Yes.

1

- How do you know that? Q.
- 3 I knew him for a long time, and at the restaurant he --
- 4 we've had talks about my past.
- 5 Including your criminal history?
- Α. Yes. 6
- 7 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, you can take that down. 8 you.
- 9 Q. So what did Anthony Murgio tell you, if anything, about why 10 he wanted to take over a credit union?
- 11 A. It would extend the functionality of our platform, and it
- 12 would -- again, it would make it easier for our customers to
- 13 make deposits and withdrawals. We were having issues with a
- 14 lot of bank cards and debit cards that would just not go
- 15 through, and this would irradicate that issue.
- Q. So Mr. Hill, in your answer you used "our" and "us" and 16
- "we" as various points. Who are you referring to in your 17
- 18 answer?
- 19 A. Coin.mx.
- 20 Did you learn from Mr. Murgio that he had a particular
- 21 credit union in mind?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Ο. What was it?
- 24 HOPE Federal Credit Union. Α.
- 25 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please publish for

- the jury 1099 in evidence?
- Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, I do. Α.
- Is it correct that this is an email exchange between you 4 Q.
- and Anthony Murgio? 5
- A. Yes, it is an email from Anthony to myself. 6
- 7 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight in the
- 8 bottom email the paragraph starting with "I went to New
- 9 Jersey". Yes, there you go.
- 10 Q. Mr. Hill, if you could just read that paragraph for the
- 11 jury, please.
- 12 A. "I went to New Jersey to meet with them. Very nice guys.
- 13 Passover church. We came to an agreement that we would be able
- to have control over the credit union." 14
- 15 Q. Now, did Anthony Murgio offer to pay you anything for
- 16 serving on the board?
- 17 Yes, 5,000 a year. I would receive like \$416 a month.
- 18 Q. Now, did you subsequently learn how Murgio planned to take
- over the credit union? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Before we get into the plan, how did you learn of the plan? Q.
- 22 A. He let me know, myself and others, other friends and
- 23 colleagues of his that he invited to be on the board.
- 24 Did he inform you and others in person or in some other
- 25 setting?

- Hill Direct
- Over a conference call that we were all on. 1
- Was there one call or more than one call? 2 Q.
- 3 There was multiple calls. Α.
- 4 Who were the other individuals on these calls that you Q.
- 5 referred to as the "proposed board members"?
- Myself, Jose Freundt, Tim Ellrich, Yuri Lebedev, Kevin 6 Α.
- 7 Tomasso, Chad Leo, Kendra Pannitti.
- What, if anything, did you all have in common? 8
- 9 We were all friends or colleagues of Anthony Murgio.
- 10 So in general terms, what was the plan? Ο.
- 11 The plan was for us to make payments to Trevon Gross and
- 12 Hope Cathedral, and in turn take full control of the credit
- 13 union.
- 14 So if we just take that one step at a time. You referred
- 15 to "payments".
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Could you describe what the plan of payments were?
- 18 Yeah. The payments would be sent in intervals over the Α.
- 19 next six to eight months.
- 20 Do you recall what the total payments was that was planned?
- 21 Yes. 150 to 200K. Α.
- 22 To be clear, to whom would the payments be made? Q.
- 23 To Trevon Gross and Hope Cathedral. Α.
- 24 That's the church? Ο.
- 25 That's the church, yes. Α.

- Hill Direct
- 1 Now, so let's talk about the other half of this plan.
- 2 is it that Murgio would actually get control of the credit
- 3 union?
- 4 Trevon would ensure that we were nominated to be voted on Α.
- 5 the board, and then we would have the vote, and in turn,
- eventually have complete control of the credit union. 6
- 7 Q. And who would select the individual board members that
- would be nominated? 8
- 9 Who would select? Α.
- 10 Who picked you and the other proposed board members?
- 11 Α. Trevon Gross.
- 12 Who actually chose who the people were that would serve on
- 13 the board?
- Anthony Murgio. 14 Α.
- And then who was involved, under this plan, who would be 15 Q.
- involved in actually getting you and these other people onto 16
- 17 the board?
- 18 Trevon Gross. Α.
- Now, under this plan, after you and the other friends of 19
- 20 Murgio were elected to the board, what was going to happen to
- 21 the existing board members?
- They would eventually resign. 22 Α.
- 23 Was there a timeframe that was discussed? 0.
- 24 It was two to three months later. Α.
- 25 Was there ever any discussion of why they would resign two

- to three months later rather than immediately? 1
- The NCUA who regulates the credit unions were having 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 our annual -- the annual examination, and they would usually
- 4 return a month later to report their findings. Trevon let us
- know that it wouldn't look good for them to return a month 5
- later and see a whole new board. 6
- 7 Q. Now, do you recall testifying earlier about how Anthony
- Murgio instructed you not to mention Bitcoin to credit card 8
- 9 companies, banks --
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 -- because they don't like Bitcoin?
- 12 Α. Correct.
- 13 Now, during these conference calls that you had with Murgio Ο.
- 14 and the other proposed board members, did Murgio ever say to
- 15 you 'Don't mention Bitcoin to anyone at HOPE Federal Credit
- Union!? 16
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 Q. Did he ever say 'Don't mention Coin.mx to anyone at HOPE
- Federal Credit Union'? 19
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 At any point during your involvement with HOPE Federal
- 22 Credit Union, did Murgio ever tell you 'Don't mention Bitcoin
- 23 or Coin.mx to anyone from HOPE Federal Credit Union'?
- 24 Α. No, not at all.
- 25 What were the eligibility requirements for becoming a

- member of HOPE Federal Credit Union? 1
- 2 You had to either live, work, or worship in Lakewood,
- 3 New Jersey.
- 4 So at the time you were approached by Anthony Murgio and Q.
- 5 had these discussions with him and the other proposed board
- 6 members, where were you living?
- 7 In Tallahassee, Florida.
- 8 Q. And where were you working?
- 9 In Tallahassee, Florida. Α.
- 10 Did you worship in Lakewood, New Jersey? Q.
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Q. Had you ever even been to New Jersey prior to that?
- 13 Α. No.
- 14 Do you know where the other proposed board members lived? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes, most of them.
- 16 Let's go through them. Where did Jose Freundt live? 0.
- 17 In Tallahassee, Florida. Α.
- 18 Q. Kevin Tomasso?
- 19 In Tallahassee, Florida. Α.
- 20 Yuri Lebedev? Ο.
- 21 In Jacksonville, Florida. Α.
- 22 Ο. Tim Ellrich?
- 23 He used to live in Tallahassee, Florida, but I learned that
- 24 he moved to Tennessee.
- 25 Chad Leo? Q.

- In Jacksonville, Florida. Α.
- And Kendra Pannitti? 2 Q.
- 3 I did not know where Kendra lived. Α.
- And so at this time, where was the Collectables Club, the 4 Q.
- 5 so-called parent company of Coin.mx, where was the Collectables
- Club based? 6
- 7 In Tallahassee, Florida.
- 8 Did it have any presence in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 9 Α. No.
- 10 Did it have an office in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Did it have any employees who worked there?
- 13 Α. No.
- So when you learned the plan to take over the credit union 14
- 15 from Anthony Murgio, did it seem like a legitimate business
- 16 transaction to you?
- 17 No, it did not. Α.
- 18 Why not? Q.
- 19 Making payments to an individual in a church to take over a
- 20 credit union, I mean, that was obviously not a legitimate
- 21 business deal.
- 22 Q. Was there anything else about this plan that led you to
- 23 believe that it wasn't a legitimate business deal?
- 24 A. First, to be members of the credit union, it was stated you
- 25 had to live, work, or worship in Lakewood, New Jersey; we did

- 1 neither.
- Q. Now, did you ultimately accept Anthony Murgio's offer to 2
- 3 join the board of HOPE FCU?
- Α. Yes. 4
- 5 So leading up to becoming a board member, did you provide
- any information? 6
- 7 Yes, I did. Α.
- What information was that? 8 Q.
- 9 My resume, my contact information, and a copy of my
- 10 Florida's driver's license.
- 11 I'm sorry. A copy of what driver's license?
- 12 My Florida driver's license.
- 13 To whom did you provide that information?
- 14 To Trevon Gross. Α.
- Do you know whether similar information was provided by the 15 Q.
- other proposed board members? 16
- 17 Yes. All of us had to submit the same info.
- 18 Q. To whom?
- 19 To Trevon Gross. Α.
- 20 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please publish for
- 21 the jury 1110 in evidence?
- 22 Mr. Hill, what's happening in this email? Q.
- 23 This is an email from Anthony to all of us about the info
- 24 that we needed to have on file.
- 25 Could you please read in the first paragraph. Could you

- please read starting with "if you have any"? Could you read those two sentences?
- 3 A. "If you have any previous banking or financial sector
- 4 experience, please make sure that is on the resume. If you
- 5 have any financial degree, please also include that on the
- 6 resume."
- 7 | Q. Did you have any previous banking experience?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you have any previous financial sector experience?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 | Q. Is it correct that one of your prior jobs was working at a
- 12 | tax preparation business?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What was your role there?
- 15 A. I just managed the incoming clients, just getting them
- 16 | signed up and prepared to go to meet with a tax preparer.
- 17 | Q. Were you a tax preparer?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you have a financial degree?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 | Q. Now, Mr. Hill, do you see toward the bottom of the email it
- 22 | says "Chairman Jose, Secretary Rico, and Treasurer Yuri"?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. What does that mean there, "Secretary Rico"?
- 25 A. I would be the secretary of the board of directors.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- And what would your responsibilities be as secretary?
- I would take the minutes at the board meetings and also Α. make sure that everybody was prepared for the meetings.

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up 1155-B in evidence? If you could flip down to the second page, please? Actually, if you could flip to the next page, as well.

- So you see kind of at the bottom of 2 and the top of 3, you see the list of individuals?
- Yes. Yes, I do. Α.

MR. SHIN: If you could come up to the prior page, Ms. Grant?

If you could just review what Jose Freundt wrote in the middle of that page, and when you're ready to answer, if you could describe, what was it that Jose Freundt urgently wanted?

Well, let me just ask it this way. In the second sentence of that paragraph, do you see there where it says, "The one with particular urgency is the membership application"?

- Α. Yes.
- 20 Did you complete that form in connection with becoming a 21 board member?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Do you recall what kind of information you provided in that 24 membership application?
- 25 Just my general info and along with account beneficiary.

- What do you mean when you say "general info"? 1
 - My name, my address, my contact information. Α.
- 3 MR. SHIN: If you could scroll up one, please?
- 4 first page, please?
- 5 Q. Do you see at the bottom there, Chad Leo writes -- could
- you just read what Chad Leo writes at the bottom? 6
- 7 "Trevon, I've refaxed my membership application.
- Please confirm when you have a moment." 8
- 9 MR. SHIN: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 10 Q. Now, before becoming a board member, did you, Anthony
- 11 Murgio, and the other proposed board members ever have
- 12 discussions with Trevon Gross?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 One, or more than one? Q.
- More than one. 15 Α.
- What was discussed during these discussions? 16
- 17 Well, we were all introduced to Trevon, and Anthony Murgio
- 18 would talk about our plan for the credit union and why we
- wanted to have it. 19
- 20 Q. Let's break that down. So you said you were introduced to
- 21 Trevon?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 So just to be clear, was this a group call? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes, a conference call.
- 25 So how were you introduced to Trevon?

- Hill Direct
- Each of us, each of us introduced our ourselves to him and 1 also let him know our relationship to Anthony Murgio.
- 3 Was there any discussion of your professional backgrounds?
- No. 4 Α.

- Going through your resumes? 5
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 Now, you also alluded to other information, other parts of
- this discussion. 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Could you please just explain what was discussed after you
- 11 introduced yourselves?
- 12 Just our plan going forward, and why we wanted a credit
- 13 union, and how it would extend the functionality of Coin.mx.
- Who was saying this on these calls? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. Anthony Murgio.
- Was there any discussion of the Collectables Club during 16
- 17 this call?
- 18 Yes. Α.
- 19 And again, was Anthony Murgio speaking? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What did he say about the Collectables Club? Q.
- 22 Just who we were, like we were -- Collectables Club is an
- 23 association, and we have a platform that our members can buy,
- 24 sell, and trade digital currency and digital assets.
- 25 You testified that there was more than one call.

Yes.

Α.

- Besides what you've already testified to, were there any 2 Q.
- 3 other topics discussed during any of these calls?
- Any other topics? 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Yes. Besides introducing yourselves and explaining what
- Collectables Club was. 6
- 7 Just getting prepared for the board meeting where we would
- 8 be voted in on.
- 9 Q. So apart from these calls with you, Murgio, the proposed
- 10 board members, and Trevon Gross, were you ever interviewed by
- 11 anyone from HOPE FCU as part of the process of becoming a board
- 12 member?
- 13 A. No, I wasn't.
- 14 Q. Did Trevon Gross or anyone else from HOPE FCU ever ask you
- 15 for your qualifications to serve as a board member?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Did you ever travel to New Jersey to visit HOPE FCU prior
- 18 to becoming a board member?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Do you recall when the election was for you and the other
- 21 board members?
- 22 It was in June of 2014.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could put up 1188-B in
- 24 evidence.
- 25 If you could just read the bottom email under "Gentlemen

and Lady"?

1

- "Tomorrow is the annual meeting for the CU. All board 2 Α.
- 3 members will be elected at this time. I will be setting up a
- Google hangout so we can all be there virtually. If you 4
- 5 attend, please let me know if you have any questions."
- 6 Okay. And this is an email written by Anthony Murgio? 0.
- 7 Α. Yes.
- On June 20th? 8 Q.
 - If you could just read into the record --
- 10 THE COURT: Just a moment. You asked a question and
- 11 there was no response.
- 12 MR. SHIN: I'm sorry, your Honor. I forgot what the
- 13 last --
- 14 THE COURT: You said, "On June 20th?"
- 15 Right. MR. SHIN:
- BY MR. SHIN: 16
- 17 So was this email exchange on June 20th?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Thank you, your Honor. MR. SHIN:
- 20 Could you read into the record, Mr. Hill, who the
- 21 recipients of this email are?
- 22 A. Yes. Yuri Lebedev, Jose Freundt, myself, Tim Ellrich, Chad
- 23 Leo, Trevon Gross, Michael Murgio, Kendra Pannitti, and Kevin
- 24 Tomasso.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

7

8

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up

- 1188-C in evidence. 2
- 3 Is this the June 20th email?
- Yes, it is. 4 Α.
- 5 Could you please read the top email -- first, who wrote the
- top email there? 6
 - It's from Trevon Gross to all of us.
 - Could you please read what he wrote?
- 9 "Please log into your It's Me 247 account and cast your 10 vote. Voting ends at midnight tonight. If you need your 11 password reset please let me know. User name is your account
- 12 number."
- 13 MR. SHIN: You can take that down.
- Q. Could you just generally describe for the jury what the 14 15 voting process was for this board election?
- We would log into our accounts on It's Me 247, which 16
- 17 was the customer's version of logging into your bank account at
- 18 HOPE FCU, and we select the tab to vote for the upcoming
- 19 election, and then we all voted for each other, as you could
- 20 not vote for yourself.
- 21 Q. And by this point, in order to vote, had you already had an
- 22 account opened in your name?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Was that true of the other proposed board members, as well? 0.
- 25 All of us, you had to have an account, an active Α. Yes.

- 11								
	2 2 2 2 1 1 2 +	$\sim \pm$	LIODE		+ ~	~ 1 ~ ~ ~	_	TT0+0
	account	аL	ПОРЬ	1 しし	LO	Diace	a	vole.

- Who opened those accounts for you? Q.
- Anthony Murgio. Α.
 - Anthony Murgio actually opened them? Q.
- A. No, he funded them. Our accounts were created by Trevon 5 6 Gross.
 - Q. When you say "he funded them", what do you mean. When you say "Anthony Murgio funded them", what do you mean?
 - A. They had to be active accounts, therefore, they had to have a balance on them, so Collectables Club and Anthony Murgio funded our accounts.

(Continued on next page)

13

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. SHIN:

- Again, just to be clear, because I think maybe there was a 2
- 3 little confusion there, who actually opened -- who created the
- 4 accounts for you?
- 5 Trevon Gross created the accounts.
- Did you actually vote? 6 0.
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you attend the board meeting the next day?
- 9 Via conference call, yes.
- 10 Could you just describe how it was that -- you just said
- 11 "Via conference call." Can you just describe mechanically what
- 12 happened for your participation in the board meeting?
- 13 A. Myself and the proposed board members would all get on the
- 14 conference call first, and then we were patched in to Trevon
- Gross and the meeting. 15
- When you say you and the other proposed board members would 16
- 17 get on the conference call first --
- 18 A. Yes.
- -- who was on that first conference call? 19
- 20 Myself, Anthony Murgio, Tim Ellrich, Yuri Lebedev, Jose
- 21 Freundt, Kendra Pannitti, Kevin Tomasso. I don't remember if
- 22 Michael Murgio was on that call initially.
- 23 And then you made reference to patching in? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 What was your group of people on the call then patching

into?

1

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- To a conference that was Trevon and the board members, the 2 3 current board members.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up Government Exhibit -- actually, before we do that:
- Q. Could you just generally describe what happened during the 6 7 board meeting?
 - A. Yes. Trevon called the meeting to order, they went over their monthly reports, and then it eventually got to the election. Trevon recounted -- I mean gave an account of the election results, and we were all named as board members.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up 6086 in evidence.
- 14 Are these the June 21st, 2014 board minutes of HOPE FCU?
- 15 Α. Yes.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight the list of attendees at the top.
 - Q. Could you tell, from your participation on the call, who was present from the HOPE FCU side?
 - A. No, I couldn't tell on their call, except Trevon, he was the only one speaking, or those who gave the reports, but I don't remember what individuals gave what report.
 - MR. SHIN: You can zoom out, please.
- 24 And if you could turn to page 2, please. If you could 25 zoom in on the "Board of Elections" section, please.

- Could you just read that section into evidence, please?
- "The slate of new board members introduced themselves 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 with a brief bio via visual conference (See attached).
- 4 Election of board members annual meeting notice and new board
- 5 of director ballot were previously presented to all members
- 6 online. Based on the electronic voting tally, the current
- 7 board recommends that the slate of new board members of HOPE
- FCU board be closed, the new slate of HOPE FCU board of 8
- 9 directors be approved, and by common consent, direct the
- 10 secretary to" east "one vote to elect all the new board
- 11 members. Resolution passed."
- 12 I think you said "secretary to east one." Is it possible
- 13 that that says to cast one?
- 14 To cast, yes. It just looks weird here. Α.
- 15 Q. It's blurry.
- 16 Α. Yeah.
- 17 MR. SHIN: You can zoom out, please.
- 18 If you could go to the attachment, please, Ms. Grant.
- 19 If you could zoom in on that.
- 20 Q. Do you see there a list of people that says, "The following
- 21 members are recommended for election of a," and then it has a
- 22 list?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Is it your understanding that these were the individuals
- 25 who were voted on and elected as members of the board?

- Α. Yes.

- If you could just read -- I'll highlight certain ones. 2
- What's the name next to 01? 3
- 4 Trevon Gross. Α.
- 5 08? Q.
- Ricardo Hill, myself. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. 10?
- Yuri Lebedev. 8 Α.
- 9 Is Anthony Murgio listed anywhere on this as someone who Q.
- was elected? 10
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 He wasn't proposed to be a board member?
- 13 Correct, he wasn't. Α.
- 14 Q. Why not?
- 15 Α. Why not?
- Did Anthony Murgio ever tell you why he wasn't going to 16
- 17 serve on the board?
- 18 A. No.
- Did you have an understanding of why he wouldn't serve on 19
- 20 the board?
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: Objection.
- 22 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection; foundation.
- THE COURT: Just answer directly the question, which 23
- 24 is a yes-or-no question: Did you have any understanding of why
- 25 he wouldn't serve on the board?

Hill - Direct

- THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 1
- MR. SHIN: You can take that down, please, Ms. Grant. 2
- 3 If you could please put up 1191 in evidence.
- 4 BY MR. SHIN:
- 5 Who is this email from?
- It's from Anthony. 6 Α.
- 7 You received this email?
- 8 Α. Yes, I did.
- 9 And Yuri Lebedev is also a recipient of this email? 0.
- 10 Α. Yes, he is.
- 11 And you will see here that actually says, "bcc:
- 12 Cohen, Mark Francis." Do you see that?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. When you received this email, were you aware that this was
- 15 being sent to those people?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Could you please read the first paragraph under "Hello,
- all." 18
- "You are officially board members. The discussed pay will 19
- 20 start monthly. Your first payment will be August 1st for all
- 21 of July. Once again, if you are interested in having a
- 22 full-time position to help increase CU revenue, we can figure
- 23 something out. Please get in contact with me. Looking forward
- 24 to business and personal membership growth. Regards, Anthony."
- 25 MR. SHIN: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.

Hill - Direct

- 1 Q. After the meeting -- after the vote and then the meeting
- 2 | that you called into of the HOPE FCU board, what was your
- 3 understanding of whether you were then a board member?
- 4 A. I was a board member.
- 5 Q. After that board meeting that we just looked at the minutes
- 6 of --

- 7 | A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- did Trevon Gross treat you as a board member?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 MR. SHIN: I'm sorry, Ms. Grant, would you mind just
- 11 | putting that up one more second, the one we were just looking
- 12 | at, 1191. Thank you.
- 13 Q. So we looked at that bcc line, Shoula Cohen and Mark
- 14 | Francis. Do you recognize those names?
- 15 | A. Yes, I do.
- 16 | Q. And do you recognize that domain, kapitalinc.com?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Who are those people?
- 19 A. They are employees at Kapcharge.
- 20 | Q. And Kapital Inc., does that have something to do with
- 21 | Kapcharge?
- 22 | A. Yes. That's just a corporate email. Kapcharge is the same
- 23 company as Kapital Inc.
- 24 | Q. We'll return to that later.
- MR. SHIN: You can take that down.

H2NKLEB3 Hill - Direct THE COURT: Mr. Shin, let's take our mid-morning break here. MR. SHIN: Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we'll take about a ten-minute break. Enjoy your break. (Continued on next page)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Any matters to take up? No?

MS. CHOI: Not from the government.

THE COURT: All right. We'll return in about eight minutes, and I will check in and make sure there are no matters. If not, we'll get the jury. Thank you. Enjoy your break.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Anything to take up, counsel?

MR. NOBLE: I think we do have an issue, your Honor, that's come to our attention.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, as you know, the parties have been working over the course of the last few months on stipulations that would, with regard to certain business records, preserve the ability for the defense to assert, under relevance grounds or 403 grounds, that they shouldn't be admitted, but otherwise be admitted as evidence.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. CHOI: As an accommodation to defense counsel, I asked, many moons ago, if there are specific witnesses for which they would need, in addition to the stipulation, a witness because they would want to cross-examine, and as an accommodation, the Bank of America witness was one that Ms. Santillo had identified to us as someone they would like to

make their point.

I just had a conversation with defense counsel in which Mr. Klingeman indicated that he would object to the publishing of documents for which the parties had stipulated were business records and object to their being published to the jury without having a witness from the entity present. We made this accommodation —

THE COURT: For Bank of America?

MS. CHOI: No, for PNC Bank, which are the records that are the corresponding records from Hope Cathedral.

Your Honor, we made those arrangements with regard to Bank of America because they asked for it weeks ago. We've planned around the fact that we would have stipulations that would lay the foundation to allow us to publish and argue about these exhibits to the jury. I understand that Mr. Klingeman now wants a witness. And what we would like to know is whether or not there are other documents for which they have already stipulated that there is a foundation for them to be entered into as business records for which they want a witness.

The reason why this is an issue is, we have let PNC know we didn't need them as a witness on reliance of defense counsel's representations. It's not easy for us to get witnesses available, and I think there really isn't a basis for which they can argue that we are not allowed to publish exhibits for which they have stipulated there is admissible

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ground to the witnesses. That would include not only bank documents and business records that we've already stipulated to, but there's also stipulations regarding IRS documents, Department of Homeland Security documents, and other documents.

And I think if your Honor -- I don't think there's a basis for this objection from defense counsel. If your Honor were to rule in defense's favor, I think there has to be some sort of accommodation to the government in order to try to get these witnesses. It's very hard to line them up. These are witnesses that we have --

THE COURT: Okay, okay. I get it.

Mr. Klingeman?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Microphone.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, our agreement to the applicability of the rules of evidence to the government's exhibits extended to noncontroversial matters, such as establishing something -- the basic foundation of a business record or authenticity and other foundational matters, and that stands.

THE COURT: Reserving your right to --

MR. KLINGEMAN: Reserving our right --

THE COURT: Let me finish my sentence.

I'm sorry, your Honor. MR. KLINGEMAN:

THE COURT: Reserving your right to make other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidentiary objections?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And otherwise indicating if you wanted a witness present?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes. And the latter is of the utmost importance because that is a condition that I placed on these stipulations in conversations with the government from jump street.

Here's my concern: If the government, with no notice, as there wasn't any this morning, intends to publish a document to the jury and nakedly offer it without any highlighting, without any scrolling, without any explanation, I --

THE COURT: Well, let's just backtrack. So this was the document -- I can't recall the number, but it's a PNC Bank record?

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. It would be several PNC Bank records, but the specific one that we were referencing was the wire transfer documents that would have been the inverse of what the Bank of America witness had testified to, and it would have been in response to Mr. Klingeman's line of cross.

THE COURT: I get it. I get it.

So this was a document you were aware of?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Oh, sure.

THE COURT: And you had specifically requested a Bank of America, but not a PNC witness; is that correct?

```
1
               MR. KLINGEMAN: We -- no -- yes.
               THE COURT: Well, is it no or yes?
 2
 3
               MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm not sure I understand the
 4
      question.
 5
               THE COURT: Well, they had a Bank of America witness
      here. I understand that's because you requested a Bank of
6
 7
      America witness?
 8
               MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes.
               THE COURT: You didn't make a comparable request with
9
10
      respect to the PNC witness?
11
               MR. KLINGEMAN: Not up to this time.
12
               THE COURT: Well, we'll get to that.
13
               What was your understanding of what you were
14
      stipulating to with respect to the PNC documents?
15
               MR. KLINGEMAN: That they were business records, and
      that we weren't going to object on foundational grounds.
16
17
               THE COURT: But that you could make other evidentiary
18
      objections?
               MR. KLINGEMAN: And insist on the attendance of a
19
20
      witness.
21
               THE COURT: So you don't have other evidentiary
22
      objections?
23
               MR. KLINGEMAN: Well --
24
               THE COURT: For example, to the document that they
25
      tried to publish today.
```

25

I do, in terms of 403, which we 1 MR. KLINGEMAN: preserve with respect to every stipulated exhibit. 2 3 THE COURT: I'm just asking if you have an objection. 4 MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes, yes. 5 THE COURT: You have a 403 objection to the PNC 6 document? 7 MR. KLINGEMAN: Yes. THE COURT: And what's that? 8 9 MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm assuming, in response to my 10 cross-examination of the witness this morning, the government 11 is going to purport to offer this exhibit and then highlight 12 portions of it, including information concerning the wire, and 13 arque to the jury perhaps that somehow Mr. Gross had knowledge 14 of the contents of this document or could have had knowledge of 15 the contents of this document if he had gone to PNC, as we discussed with the Bank of America witness, and asked for the 16 17 records and then reviewed them. 18 THE COURT: When did you ask for the Bank of America 19 witness to be present? 20 MR. KLINGEMAN: Pretrial. Sometime pretrial. 21 THE COURT: And what was your understanding of the 22 time? 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: We never made an agreement.

trial, it's fluid. We have no idea what's coming next.

Let me get to the point, your Honor: This evidence is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be offered by the government to try to establish venue.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Not jurisdiction, venue.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Not Commerce Clause -- not meeting the Commerce Clause, venue.

THE COURT: Right. And that's why you wanted the Bank of America witness here, right?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Right, because we want to make clear to the jury in terms of venue and to the Court in terms of Rule 29 in terms of venue that the defendant on trial has to have some knowledge.

THE COURT: I understand. And you'll make your arguments, and these were previewed in the earlier motions.

MR. KLINGEMAN: And in the absence of a witness, the PNC document is going to be used by the government to establish the defendant's knowledge.

THE COURT: What I'm not going to allow is some gamesmanship around who you indicated you needed here and who you gave the impression you did not need here. So I'm guarding against that. Who do you want here -- with respect to stipulations that you've made, custodial stipulations, who do you want here as custodian?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I don't know. I want a PNC Bank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witness in response to the specific issue being presented to the jury. I can't possibly forecast -- the government has marked, your Honor, literally thousands of exhibits. tell anyone at this point --

THE COURT: Look, you knew --

MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm trying --

THE COURT: You knew venue was an issue, you're making it an issue. You knew enough to know that you wanted the Bank of America witness here, so they had notice and arranged for it. It seems to me you, therefore, knew that the PNC documents, or ought to have known, would be comparably relevant, and you either failed to or chose not to request a PNC witness here.

Now, I'm going to see if it can be accommodated without affecting the trial, but it's troublesome.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Well, I object to the Court's attribution of gamesmanship or any other ill intent on behalf of defense. We have struggled to accommodate the schedule of the Court and to be mindful of the Court's admonitions to us, and I reject that, I object to it. I have worked hard to honor the Court's direction, I've worked hard with the government, and there's no gamesmanship here, your Honor. I'm simply reacting to evidence as it's coming in before the jury or offered before the jury.

THE COURT: You, nonetheless, will have to live with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stipulations that you've made --

MR. KLINGEMAN: I do.

THE COURT: -- if what you failed to do is identify witnesses that you need here, and they can't be brought here.

Who else, other than a PNC witness?

MR. KLINGEMAN: I don't know.

these documents and these stipulations.

THE COURT: Well, you will work hard to think who else you might need, so that they can be requested in time.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Of course. We have been working hard every second.

THE COURT: So by tomorrow, you will let us know.

You'll work, Ms. Choi, on getting a PNC witness?

MS. CHOI: Yes, your Honor. I just want to note for the record that there are -- we had sent a series of stipulations early, sort of in anticipation of early 3500 production to the defense. We then had some revisions. Ms. Santillo has been working hard. I don't want to give the misimpression that this is -- I just want to note that I understand Ms. Santillo's been working very hard to get through

There are still two of note that she has not executed, or reexecuted, the IRS stipulation as to IRS records and the DHS stipulation as to DHS records. They had already agreed to this. If they're now going to ask that we get witnesses, I'd also like a representation from counsel tomorrow about which of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those witnesses we also need to prepare and anyone that's listed in any of the bank or business record or any of the stipulations, because this is going to -- it took us weeks -it's still taking us time to try to get a lot of these witnesses lined up, it's a lot of effort, and we need to start working on that immediately.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I couldn't agree more. And here's my The Court has essentially accused me of gamesmanship. problem: So why am I not in a position of now saying I want a witness for all of these documents? Because if I don't, and I make a miscalculation as to who I need, then I'm going to be told I'm engaged in gamesmanship.

I don't want a PNC Bank witness because I wanted one two weeks ago.

THE COURT: Mr. Klingeman, first of all, I didn't accuse you. I said I'm concerned about it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Well, the Court expressed concern. take that very seriously.

THE COURT: And I'm concerned. I'm judging your body language, you didn't stand up and say, your Honor, we made a mistake, now I see the need, the necessity for a PNC witness for this, and I want to raise that, and I'm going to talk to the government and hope it can be worked out, we need to figure out an accommodation, okay? That's not how you presented this.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I had no chance to do any of that.

First of all, I don't believe I made a mistake. I'm trying is to react in realtime to evidence that's coming before the Court, but I hope I've established some kind of record with the Court that we don't engage in gamesmanship, and I have to be very defensive about that. I have a client here who's on trial, and when the Court makes even an indirect assertion like that, it cuts right to my responsibilities as an attorney.

I don't want to say to the government in the next 24 hours bring me a witness for every single group of documents you have. I don't want this trial to go on for another six months. I just want some ability to be able to react to what they're doing.

THE COURT: Then, Mr. Klingeman, your response to me should have been: I understand the concern, not I don't know who I'll need, but I understand the concern, we're going to work to think through this hard, and more, and again. And I assure you it was not an effort to prohibit the government, or mislead with respect to my stipulation, or any of those things. It's not what I heard, and it's not what I'm reading from you, and so I'm going to make sure that we can proceed.

And I have said repeatedly that I'm grateful for everyone working through the stipulations. This trial is a long time coming, a long time coming, everybody's had as much time as they've requested to prepare. I get that it's a lot of documents. I have provided resources with respect to every

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

request. At some point you simply have to make these decisions and move on. I haven't said you can't request the witnesses. I, too, have to react to what I'm seeing and safeguard this process.

I have not accused you of gamesmanship. I have said I'm concerned about it. And at some point, we just have to get through, we have to get through.

So, yes, there are a lot of documents, yes, there are a lot of stipulations. It's time to make what it sounds like were understood previously as final decisions about witnesses, it's time to make predictions about what you need. something unexpected comes up, if something you couldn't have foreseen comes up, or that was incredibly difficult to foresee, and an accommodation be made, we'll do it.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, every time something challenging has come up, we have been able to work it out. And if I had been given an opportunity -- while my attention is totally focused on the critical witnesses on the stand, if I had, nonetheless, been given an opportunity to reflect on this, I'm sure I could come up with an accommodation. The problem is, the government stood up spontaneously at the end of the Bank of America's testimony and offered this document. I objected. Your Honor sustained the objection.

> THE COURT: Right.

MR. KLINGEMAN: And then, as I'm literally leaving the

1 cou

court to attend to my cross-examination, the government comes at me with the very demand that the Court is now imposing on me, that I identify every single witness from now on I want brought to the case.

Here's the thing: I don't want a PNC Bank witness.

If we can get a stipulation that if a PNC Bank witness were to testify, her testimony would be consistent with what the Bank of America witness said, that's fine, then I don't need a witness. But I just need a few minutes to think about it, talk to the government, and accommodate the Court. And, instead, I'm being put on the spot where the government is in my face, your Honor hasn't accused me directly of gamesmanship, but has used the term in connection with my behavior, and I'm at a loss. I'm trying to do the best I can without doing injury to the Court's schedule and the jury's time.

THE COURT: Well, it seems as though this has been productive because you've suggested a possible stipulation that might be worked out. So let's keep pursuing that process, but you have parameters for thinking it through, thinking through what the requests are, thinking through what's needed and what's possible.

What else?

MS. CHOI: That's it, your Honor. We'll work with Ms. Santillo and Mr. Klingeman to see what other witnesses they would like by tomorrow, so that we can make efforts. I presume

that the Court would accommodate if we would have to put that 1 2 in the middle of the defense case or whatever need be, it is 3 what it is. But if we can reach stipulations, so be it, that would be fantastic. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 6 MS. CHOI: Not from the government, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. Let's get our jury. 8 9 MR. SHIN: Shall we bring in the witness, your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Oh, we should. 11 Mr. Shin, you have a lovely demeanor. You're very 12 careful. If you could put your foot on the gas a little bit. 13 There are some pauses which extends the time, but also you lose 14 interest of the jury. 15 MR. SHIN: Understood, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Foot on the gas. 17 MR. SHIN: I'll do my best. Thank you. 18 (Continued on next page) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Hill - Direct

- 1 (Jury present)
- 2 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. You may take your
- 3 seats.

4

5

- Thank you, members of the jury, for your continued attention.
 - Mr. Shin, you can proceed with direct.
- 7 Thank you, your Honor. MR. SHIN:
- 8 BY MR. SHIN:
- 9 Q. Mr. Hill, you testified earlier that under this arrangement
- for you to become a board member, you were to receive payments? 10
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 And they were to be paid on a monthly basis?
- 13 That's correct. Α.
- 14 Did you, in fact, ever receive such payments?
- Yes. For about five months, from June/July to November. 15 Α.
- 16 Who paid you? Q.
- 17 Collectables Club. Α.
- 18 Q. Not HOPE FCU?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Do you know if the other new board members received any
- 21 such payments?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 How do you know that? 0.
- 24 I was in charge of arranging those payments to be made by
- providing the HOPE FCU account numbers of our board members to 25

3

- Eva, who would have made those payments to our accounts.
- This Eva that you're referencing, who is that again? 2 Q.
 - She handled our account in Coin.mx and Collectables Club.
- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please publish for 5 the jury 2144 in evidence.
- 6 Q. Mr. Hill, if you could describe this email, who it's from 7 and to, and what date it is?
- This email is dated July 30th of 2014, from Yuri Lebedev to 8 9 myself.
- 10 Just looking at the overall email, it appears to be an 11 email chain; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

- 13 Q. Now, if you could -- if I could direct your attention to 14 that bottom portion of that email, your email at the bottom.
- 15 Could you read that, please?
- "Hi all. This is to notify you that \$417 will be credited 16 to your HOPE FCU checking account. Please let us know if you 17 have any questions." 18
 - If you could read the top email, please?
- 20 THE COURT: Can you just confirm, did this come in 21 today?
- 22 MR. SHIN: I believe this came in --
- 23 THE COURT: Take it down for a moment, Ms. Grant.
- 24 I don't have it on my admitted list.
- 25 I'm sorry, your Honor. That was my MR. SHIN:

Hill - Direct

- 1 mistake. I'll lay the appropriate foundation, your Honor.
- 2 Ms. Grant, if you could please publish this just to
- 3 the witness, and to the Court, and to counsel.
- BY MR. SHIN: 4
- Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill? 5
- 6 Α. Yes.

7

8

- Could you, just in general terms, describe what it is? Q.
- An email from Yuri Lebedev to myself on June 30th, 2014. Α.
 - MR. SHIN: Your Honor, the government offers
- 10 Exhibit 2144 into evidence.
- 11 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 12 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 2144 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2144 received in evidence) 15
- 16 MR. SHIN: If you could publish that for the jury,
- 17 please, Ms. Grant.
- 18 If you could read Mr. Lebedev's response at the very top?
- "Rico, I talked to Trevon, and he helped me log in. Also, 19
- 20 I don't know if you processed the request for \$417 into my HOPE
- 21 account yet, but I am attaching an agreement where I am billing
- 22 for that \$417 via my consulting company. So if it's not too
- 23 late, I need to submit a bill that way. Thanks, Yuri."
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could turn to the
- 25 attachment, please.

Hill - Direct

- 1 | Q. Mr. Hill, could you read just the section under
- 2 | "Agreement"?
- 3 A. Yes. "This agreement is entered into by and between HOPE
- 4 | Federal Credit Union and Intelligent VR and is issued under the
- 5 | independent contractor agreement."

signature block at the bottom.

6 Q. Thank you.

- 7 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight the
- 9 Q. Who signed on behalf of which entities, Mr. Hill?
- 10 A. For HOPE Federal Credit Union, it's signed by Jose Freundt,
- 11 and for Intelligent VR, it's signed by Yuri Lebedev.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you would put up, just for
- 13 | the witness, Exhibit 2265.
- 14 Q. Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. Yes. It's an email from Yuri Lebedev to myself.
- 16 | Q. Is it dated August 28th of 2014?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 MR. SHIN: The government offers Exhibit 2265 into
- 19 | evidence.
- 20 | THE COURT: Without objection?
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 22 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 24 2265 is admitted.
- 25 (Government's Exhibit 2265 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: May we publish, your Honor?

2 THE COURT: You may.

- 3 BY MR. SHIN:
- 4 Could you please read the top portion of that email from
- Mr. Lebedev? 5
- 6 "Thank you, Rico. For \$417 payment, when you send the bill
- 7 to Eva, could you use my Intelligent VR company? Last month I
- contacted her, and she was able to reroute. Thank you again. 8
- 9 Regards, Yuri."
- 10 MR. SHIN: Thank you, Ms. Grant. If you could take
- 11 that down.
- 12 Q. Mr. Hill, after the June election that we discussed earlier
- 13 today --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- was Trevon Gross still a board member of HOPE FCU?
- 16 Yes, he was. Α.
- 17 In fact, did he have a particular position on the board? 0.
- 18 Α. He was the chairman.
- Now, do you know whether, after the June election, Gross 19
- 20 received payments for his role at HOPE FCU?
- 21 Yes, he did. Α.
- 22 What were those payments for? Q.
- 23 It was for consulting for \$3,000 a month. Α.
- 24 Ο. Who paid Mr. Gross those consulting payments?
- 25 Collectables Club as well as Kapcharge. Α.

8

9

H2NKLEB3 Hill - Direct

- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up, for 1 the witness only, Exhibit 2153. 2
- 3 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document?
- 4 It's an email from Eva to myself with Anthony Murgio 5 attached.
 - The government offers 2153 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 7 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 2153 is admitted.
- 11 (Government's Exhibit 2153 received in evidence)
- 12 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 13 THE COURT: You may.
- 14 BY MR. SHIN:
- 15 Q. Now, directing your attention to the bottom of the email
- chain, Mr. Hill --16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- could you please read what you wrote, the line under,
- "Hi Eva." Or, actually, starting with "Hi Eva." 19
- 20 "Hi Eva. We need to send Trevon Gross the following
- 21 payment: \$1,500 consultancy fee for July and August, \$250
- 22 rental fee for July and August, total to ACH Trevon Gross,
- 23 \$3,500. Can we please get this to him by tomorrow?"
- 24 Q. And further up the chain, is there a reference to certain
- 25 agreements?

Hill - Direct

ичиигро

- A. Yes, there is.
- 2 Q. What agreements are those?
- 3 A. The consultancy agreement and the rental agreement.
- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up, just
- 5 | for the witness, 1354-A.
- 6 Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- 7 A. Yes. It's an email from myself to Anthony Murgio.
- 8 | Q. What's it regarding?
- 9 A. It's about the lease agreement.
- 10 | MR. SHIN: The government offers 1354-A into evidence.
- 11 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 12 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 13 | THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 | 1354-A is admitted.
- 15 (Government's Exhibit 1354-A received in evidence)
- MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 17 Could we take a look at the attachment, please.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Hill, what is this agreement?
- 19 A. This is the rental agreement.
- 20 | O. Who is it between?
- 21 A. Between Hope Cathedral.
- 22 | Q. And?
- 23 A. And HOPE FCU.
- 24 | Q. So Hope Cathedral is the landlord, and HOPE FCU is the
- 25 | tenant?

Yes. Α.

- 2 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please turn to page 5
- 3 of the attachment, the signature block. Or the notice block, I
- 4 should say, of page 5.
- 5 Q. Who are the persons indicated there for receiving notice
- 6 regarding the lease?
- 7 The landlord, Loretta Larkins, and tenant, Ricardo Hill.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could just go back to the 8
- 9 first page of the agreement again, please. If you could zoom
- 10 in under "Term."
- 11 What is the term there? What are the beginning and end
- 12 dates?
- 13 Beginning July 1st of 2014 and ending June 30th of 2016. Α.
- 14 So what's your understanding of whether there was a Q.
- 15 preexisting lease or new lease that was executed?
- 16 It was a new lease.
- 17 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish, just for
- the witness, 1354-B. 18
- 19 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? Q.
- 20 Yes. An email from Anthony Murgio to myself. Α.
- 21 MR. SHIN: The government offers 1354-B into evidence.
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 1354-B is admitted.

2

3

4

5

6

(Government's Exhibit 1354-B received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Could we please publish that to the jury?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SHIN: Thank you.

BY MR. SHIN:

- What's the subject line here, Mr. Hill?
- 7 "Rental Agreement." Α.
- What did you write to Mr. Murgio? 8 Q.
- 9 "Cool. Just elect sign for Collectables Club." Α.
- 10 Mr. Hill, if I could direct your attention to the bottom
- 11 email where you write. What did you write initially?
- 12 "Filled out and sent to Trevon with the info he
- 13 provided."
- 14 Q. And then how did Anthony Murgio respond?
- 15 Α. Anthony responded, "Cool. Just elect sign for
- Collectables." 16
- 17 Do you mean what he meant by "elect sign"?
- 18 I don't remember, but I think it's about using our -- using
- the electronic signature. 19
- 20 So you believe "elect" means electronic?
- 21 Yes. We would use RightSignature to sign things
- 22 electronically.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please show the
- 24 witness 2158.
- 25 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this?

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 486 Filed 04/07/17 Page 107 of 254

H2NKLEB3 Hill - Direct

This is an email from myself to Trevon. Q. And there is an attachment? Is there an attachment listed in the header? A. Yes. MR. SHIN: The government offers 2158 into evidence. MR. CREIZMAN: No objection. MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection. THE COURT: Thank you. 2158 is admitted. (Government's Exhibit 2158 received in evidence) MR. SHIN: May we publish? THE COURT: You may. (Continued on next page)

- BY MR. SHIN:
- Q. Mr. Hill, could you read what you wrote in the initial 2
- 3 email at the bottom?
- I post the right signature link and write "for landlord go 4
- 5 to sign".

- What's the date on which you sent that email? 6
- 7 On September 29th, 2014.
- And what did Mr. Gross respond? 8 Q.
- 9 "This is not working. Please just send me clean PDF and I Α.
- 10 will manually sign it. Thanks."
- 11 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if we could just flip through
- 12 the attachment.
- 13 Is that a PDF of the lease agreement? Ο.
- 14 Yes. Α.
- 15 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, you can take that down.
- Ms. Grant, could you please just show the witness 1332? 16
- 17 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? Q.
- 18 A. Yes. This is an email on October 3rd from Anthony Murgio
- 19 to myself and Trevon.
- 20 MR. SHIN: Government offers 1322 into evidence.
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 22 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 1322 is admitted.
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 1322 received in evidence)
- 25 MR. SHIN: May we publish?

- 1 THE COURT: You may.
- BY MR. SHIN: 2
- Q. Mr. Hill, if you could just read that email please into the 3
- 4 record?
- 5 "RICO. We need to get Trevon pay \$1,500 for August and 3K
- for September. This needs to come out of our funds from HOPE. 6
- 7 The 1,500 from August is coming from Kap and 3K for September
- will be split 1,500 and 1,500 from Collectables and Kapcharge. 8
- 9 Please speak with Trevon to figure out the best way to do this,
- 10 and then I'll notify Kap and we can notify Eva from
- Collectables." 11
- 12 I think you actually did the translation on the way --
- 13 "Kap" is "Kapcharge". Α.
- 14 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if we could show just the
- 15 witness 1658?
- Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill? 16
- 17 This is an email from Trevon to Anthony Murgio and
- 18 myself.
- The government offers 1658 into evidence. 19 MR. SHIN:
- 20 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 21 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 1658 is admitted.
- 23 (Government's Exhibit 1658 received in evidence)
- 24 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

- BY MR. SHIN:
- Mr. Hill, do you see how the bottom of this chain is the 2
- 3 same email we just looked at previously?
- 4 Yes. Α.

- 5 Could you then read what Anthony Murgio responded?
- "Gentlemen. What is the status on this? I hate this 6 Α.
- 7 hanging over my head. I want to make sure Trevon gets paid out
- his consultant fees for all he has done. Thanks." 8
- 9 Could you please read Trevon Gross' response on top?
- 10 "Ricardo was handling everything. Now that he has clear
- 11 instructions, it should all be completed tomorrow."
- 12 Q. Mr. Hill, who provided you those instructions that he's
- 13 referencing?
- 14 A. Trevon.
- 15 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could show the witness
- 16 2175?
- 17 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document?
- Yes. This is the email from Trevon to myself. 18 Α.
- 19 And it's dated October 7, 2014? Q.
- 20 Α. Correct.
- 21 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2175 into evidence.
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 2175 is admitted.
- 25 (Government's Exhibit 2175 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Could we publish?

THE COURT: You may.

- BY MR. SHIN:
- 4 Q. Mr. Hill, if you could read the bottom email from Trevon
- 5 Gross?

1

2

- "One payment was moved from Collectables Club to HOPE 6
- 7 account. The other two should be moved from Kapcharge to
- Collectables Club, then to 5170." 8
- 9 Then could you read your response, please? Ο.
- 10 "To be clear, I only need to move 3K from Kap to
- Collectables Club, then from Collectables Club to 5170?" 11
- 12 And what was Trevon Gross' response?
- 13 "Yes. Thanks." Α.
- 14 Q. Could you explain for the jury what's happening here? What
- is Trevon Gross saying? What's your understanding of what 15
- Trevon Gross was saying at the bottom of the initial email? 16
- 17 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection, speculation.
- 18 THE COURT: You can rephrase.
- BY MR. SHIN: 19
- 20 Q. Mr. Hill, did you understand Trevon Gross' instructions in
- 21 the bottom email?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 And in particular, after he answered your clarifying
- question, did you understand the instructions that were posed? 24
- 25 Α. Yes.

- So what was Mr. Gross telling you to do in this email? 1
- 2 He was telling me what payment to move from Collectables Α.
- 3 Club to the HOPE account, and what payments to move from
- 4 Kapcharge to Collectables Club, then to the HOPE account.
- 5 What is 5170? Ο.
- 5170 is his HOPE account. 6 Α.
- 7 Trevon Gross' HOPE account? Q.
 - Α. Yes.

8

9

- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please show the witness 2177?
- 11 Do you recognize this document?
- This is an email from -- well, it's a chain email, 12
- 13 one from Trevon to myself, the other from Trevon to an employee
- 14 at Kapcharge, Christine.
- 15 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2177 into evidence.
- 16 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 17 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 2177 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2177 received in evidence) 19
- 20 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 21 THE COURT: You may.
- 22 BY MR. SHIN:
- 23 Mr. Hill, the email, the second email in the chain from
- 24 Trevon Gross to Christine Corida, October 8, 2014 --
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Hill Direct
- I'm sorry. Actually, let's go to the one just below from 1
- Christine Corida. Could you please read what she wrote there? 2
- 3 "Hi. Please advise when the entries below will be posted.
- 4 I will be sending today's entries later on this morning.
- 5 please advise in regards to the \$3,000. Thank you."
- 6 What was Trevon Gross' response? 0.
- 7 "Ricardo, these were not done yesterday? Also, you should
- create an invoice from Collectables Club to Kapcharge for 8
- 9 consultant services to justify the \$3,000. Make it from
- Anthony since he stated it. Please advise." 10
- 11 So just to be clear, this email was sent from Trevon Gross
- 12 The one you just read, who was the recipient of that
- 13 email?
- 14 Christine. Α.
- 15 Q. But he actually addressed the email to whom?
- 16 Α. Myself.
- 17 And then is the top email him forwarding that statement to 0.
- 18 you, forwarding the email chain to you?
- That's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please show the
- 21 witness 2183?
- 22 Do you recognize this document?
- 23 This is an email from myself to Christine at
- 24 Kapcharge. It has an attachment.
- 25 Government offers 2183 into evidence. MR. SHIN:

- MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 2 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 2183 is admitted.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 2183 received in evidence)
- 5 BY MR. SHIN:

- 6 Q. Could you just read the text of the email, the top of the
- 7 | email that you write to Christine at Capital Inc.?
- 8 A. I can't see --
- 9 Q. Do you see --
- 10 | A. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. Please. If you could read that text?
- 12 A. "Just a notice that all postings are complete."
- 13 | Q. I'm sorry. The top email?
- 14 A. "Invoice attached."
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if we could look at the
- 16 | attachment, please?
- 17 Q. What is this document, Mr. Hill?
- 18 A. This is an invoice to Kapcharge for consulting fees.
- 19 Q. Consulting fees for whom?
- 20 A. For Trevon in the amount of \$3,000.
- 21 | Q. It's for what time period?
- 22 | A. For October of 2014.
- 23 | 0. Who created this invoice?
- 24 | A. I did.
- 25 Q. And on whose instructions?

2

6

7

9

- Α. Trevon's.
- Did anyone else also instruct you on making this invoice? Q.
- 3 Anthony Murgio. Α.
- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 1324-C, please.
- 5 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document?
 - This is an email from Anthony Murgio to myself with Α. Yes. others attached from Kapcharge.
- MR. SHIN: Government offers 1324-C into evidence. 8
 - MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 1324-C is admitted.
- 12 (Government's Exhibit 1324-C received in evidence)
- 13 BY MR. SHIN:
- 14 Q. Could you read the second email down from Christine Corida?
- What did she write beginning with "I understand"? 15
- I'm sorry. I can't see it yet. "I understand, but which 16
- 17 months are being billed? This is very important."
- 18 And what did Anthony Murgio respond? 0.
- "August and September." 19 Α.
- 20 Do you understand what this conversation is in reference Ο.
- 21 to?
- 22 A. Yes. Christine mentioned that their portion of the
- 23 consulting fees should have only been 1,500 a month.
- 24 is telling her to recharge 3,000 for this one because it was
- done for two months, August and September. 25

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2180 for the witness, please. 1

- Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill? Q.
- 3 This is an email from me to Anthony Murgio with
- 4 Kapcharge attached. It has a couple of attachments.
- 5 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2180 into evidence,
- 6 please.

- 7 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 2180 is admitted.
- 10 (Government's Exhibit 2180 received in evidence)
- 11 MR. SHIN: May we publish to the jury?
- THE COURT: You may. 12
- 13 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we look at the first
- 14 attachment?
- BY MR. SHIN: 15
- What is this document? 16
- 17 This is an invoice to Kapcharge for consultant fees for
- Trevon. 18
- 19 For what period? Q.
- 20 For the month of September, 2014.
- 21 Q. In what amount?
- 22 A. For \$1,500.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if we could look at the next
- 24 attachment, please?
- 25 What is this, Mr. Hill? Q.

- Hill Direct
- Also an invoice to Kapcharge for consulting fees for 1
- Trevon. It's for August of 2014 for \$1,500. 2
- 3 Who made these invoices?
- 4 Α. I made them.

- And on whose instructions? Ο.
- Trevon and Anthony Murgio. 6 Α.
- 7 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2189 for the witness, please?
- Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? 8
- 9 Yes. This is an email from Trevon to myself. Α.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2189 into evidence.
- 11 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 12 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 2189 is admitted.
- 14 (Government's Exhibit 2189 received in evidence)
- BY MR. SHIN: 15
- What's the date of this email? 16
- October 15, 2014. 17 Α.
- 18 Could you please read what Trevon Gross wrote in the
- initial email at the bottom? 19
- 20 "Hey, Ricardo. Did you ever get that invoice over to
- 21 Kapcharge for the 3K?"
- 22 Q. How did you reply?
- 23 "Yes, I sure did." Α.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please show the
- 25 witness 2280?

- Do you recognize this document? 1
- This is an email from Anthony Murgio to myself and 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Trevon.
- 4 On November 9th of 2014? 0.
- 5 Α. Yes.
- MR. SHIN: Government offers 2280 into evidence. 6
- 7 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 8 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 2280 is admitted.
- 10 (Government's Exhibit 2280 received in evidence)
- 11 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 12 THE COURT: You may.
- 13 BY MR. SHIN:
- 14 Mr. Hill, what's the subject line of this email?
- 15 Α. "Trevon pay".
- And could you please read what Anthony Murgio writes to you 16
- 17 and Mr. Gross?
- "Rico. Trevon is to be paid 3K a month from Collectables. 18
- Collectables needs to charge Kap 1,500 a month to compensate 19
- 20 for half. Please invoice Kap for consultant from Collectables
- 21 Club then pay Trevon."
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up 2227
- 24 for the witness?
- 25 Do you recognize this document?

9

- 1 Α. Yes. This is an email from myself to Kevin from Kapcharge.
- It has an attachment on November 10th, 2014. 2
- 3 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2227 into evidence.
 - MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection. 5
- THE COURT: Thank you. 2227 is admitted. 6
- 7 (Government's Exhibit 2227 received in evidence)
- 8 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
 - THE COURT: You may.
- 10 BY MR. SHIN:
- 11 Who is Kevin -- who is the recipient of this email, Kevin?
- 12 Kevin from Kapcharge. He's an employee at Kapcharge.
- 13 And could you please read what you wrote to Kevin? 0.
- 14 "Invoice attached for consulting for Trevon." Α.
- Just for the record, Kevin's last name is? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Pepe.
- 17 MR. SHIN: Could we look at the attachment, please,
- Ms. Grant? 18
- 19 What is this document, Mr. Hill?
- 20 This is an invoice to Kapcharge for consulting for Trevon
- 21 for the month of November in the amount of \$1,500.
- 22 Did you make this document? Ο.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please show the
- 25 witness 1326-C?

- Do you recognize this document?
- 2 This is an email chain between myself, Anthony Α. Yes.
- 3 Murgio, and Eva.
- 4 Is there an attachment? Q.
- 5 Yes, there is. Α.
- The email chain is dated November 14, 2014? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
 - MR. SHIN: Government offers 1326-C into evidence.
- 9 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 10 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 1326-C is admitted.
- 12 (Government's Exhibit 1326-C received in evidence)
- 13 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 14 THE COURT: You may.
- BY MR. SHIN: 15
- Mr. Hill, near the bottom of the first page, Eva writes an 16
- 17 email. What is she asking for in that email?
- 18 "Hello. Do you now have the agreement with Trevon?" Α.
- What does Anthony Murgio respond? 19 Q.
- 20 "What agreement do you need? Showing that he is Α.
- 21 consulting?"
- 22 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight just kind
- 23 of the top half of the email from here on?
- 24 Ο. What does Eva respond?
- 25 "Is it possible to provide me with it?"

- And what does Anthony Murgio respond?
- 2 "Sure. We can make one. Rico, please create and have Α.
- 3 Trevon sign."
- 4 What's your response to that? Q.
- 5 "Sure. I need Collectables Club letterhead." Α.
- What does Anthony Murgio respond? 6 0.
- 7 "Yo, just go on collectpma.com." Α.
- And is your response there at the very top "see attached"? 8 Q.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we look at the attachment?
- 11 0. What is this document, Mr. Hill?
- 12 This is the consulting agreement between Collectables Club
- 13 and Trevon.
- 14 What's the date on this document? Q.
- 15 Α. August 1st, 2014.
- Do you recall when the date of this email chain was that we 16
- 17 were looking at at the beginning of this document?
- 18 Α. In November.
- Was it November 14 of 2014? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 But was this actually created on August 1st, 2014? Q.
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 Was there a written consulting agreement prior to your
- 24 making this agreement around this time of November, 2014?
- 25 Was there already one? Α.

- Q. Correct.
- 2 Α. No.

- 3 Did Trevon Gross actually sign that document? 0.
- I don't remember, but he could have asked me to do so. 4 Α.
- 5 don't know if he signed it or I signed it for him.
- 6 Were there occasions where you signed for Trevon Gross? 0.
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And when you did so, would you do so at his direction? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Did you do that here? Q.
- 11 Α. Yes, I believe so.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if we could show the witness
- 13 1326-B?
- 14 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- Yes. 15 Α. This is an email chain from Eva to myself and Anthony
- 16 Murgio.
- 17 MR. SHIN: Government offers 1326-B into evidence.
- 18 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection. 19
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 1326-B is admitted.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 1326-B received in evidence)
- 22 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 23 THE COURT: You may.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could focus on the top
- 25 half.

4

Α.

BY MR. SHIN:

- If you could just explain what this email from Eva -- what 2
- 3 is she telling you and Anthony Murgio? She wants me -- she's trying to add Trevon's bank account
- 5 to Collectables Club's payee on their HOPE account. She could 6 not do it by herself and was asking was I able to find out how 7 to do this. She also was asking to add the following Collectables Club account to our HOPE account in order to 8
- 9 transfer funds to the HOPE account for Collectables Club.
- 10 Okav. Let's break that down a little bit. So what is the 6437 HOPE account? 11
- 6437 is Collectables Club's account at HOPE FCU. 12
- 13 And when she said, "Please add Trevon's bank account to the 0. 14 6437 HOPE account," what is she asking you to do?
- 15 She wants me to add Trevon's bank account as a payee to 6437 so she can move funds from our -- from Collectables Club 16 17 HOPE account 6437 to Trevon's bank account, what she's asking
- me to add. 18
- 19 So then in this next paragraph, when she asks to you add 20 the following Collectables Club account in order to transfer 21 funds into 6437 HOPE, what is she asking you to do there?
- 22 She's asking me to add one of Collectables Club's outside 23 bank accounts, that routing and account number, and this will 24 allow her to transfer funds from an outside bank account into the Collectables Club account at HOPE FCU. 25

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Just for the record, what is the subject line of this 1 email? 2
- "Funds for Trevon". 3 Α.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 1330-A just for the witness, please?
 - Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
 - This is an email from myself to Eva and Anthony on November 24th, 2014.
 - MR. SHIN: Government offers 1330-A into evidence.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 11 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 1330-A is admitted.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 1330-A received in evidence)
- 14 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- 15 THE COURT: You may.
- BY MR. SHIN: 16
- 17 Could you please read what you wrote under "Hi all"?
- 18 "Eva, we need to pay Trevon 6K. The wire instructions are
- below. Future credit to Collectables Club at HOPE. 19
- 20 received I'll transfer funds to Trevon's account."
- 21 Q. We don't need to read all the account information 22 underneath.
- 23 Could you just explain, is this a multistep process, 24 again describing that first paragraph?
- 25 I need Eva to credit funds to Collectables Club at Yes.

- Hill Direct
- When those funds are received, I can then transfer them 1 HOPE.
- from Collectables' account at HOPE FCU to Trevon's account at 2
- 3 HOPE FCU.
- 4 What's the subject of this email? Q.
- 5 "Trevon pay". Α.
- Mr. Hill, we've looked at now numerous emails and invoices 6
- 7 and other documents relating to the payment of consulting fees
- to Mr. Gross; is that correct? 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 Did you attend any board meetings of HOPE FCU after you
- 11 were elected to the board?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was there discussion during these board meetings of paying
- Trevon Gross, the chairman of the board, consulting fees? 14
- 15 Α. No.
- 16 MR. SHIN: You can take that down. Thank vou.
- 17 Mr. Hill, after you became a board member of HOPE Federal
- 18 Credit Union in June of 2014, did you have any involvement in
- running the credit union? 19
- 20 Α. Yes, I did.
- 21 Just in general terms, what involvement did you have? Q.
- 22 I also managed the back end of the credit union via CU
- 23 Base, I helped with all account creation for new members to
- 24 open accounts at HOPE FCU, I also processed ACH transactions
- 25 for Kapcharge, who also had an account at HOPE FCU.

the board?

4

5

6

7

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Hill - Direct

- 1 What was the software program that you used to manage the back end? 2
- 3 It's called CU Base.
 - In fact, did you start preparing to have this role in Q. running the credit union before you were actually elected to
 - Yes. I began training to learn the backend software.

8 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we show the witness 2143, 9 please?

- Do you recognize this document?
- 11 There's an email chain from Trevon to myself, Jose, 12 Tim, Yuri, with Anthony Murgio copied on June 17th, 2014.

MR. SHIN: Government offers 2143 into evidence. 13

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. 2143 is admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 2143 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: May we publish?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. SHIN:

- Q. Mr. Hill, looking at Trevon Gross' email at the bottom, could you just right under the names, what it is that he wrote?
- "Here is your login for our back office software. addition, you should have received an invitation from

25 logmein.com to have access to our remote machine in the CU.

- 1 The user name, once you are on the machine, is GDS. The password is the standard one we always use." 2
- 3 What is the date that that email was sent to you?
- June 17th of 2014. 4 Α.
- 5 Was that before or after you were elected a board member?
- Α. Before. 6
- 7 Q. Before? Okay.
- 8 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight just the 9 user names below?
- 10 I'm not going to ask you to read those. Are those the 11 credentials that you used to log into the backend software?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Just to be clear, who were the other individuals who were 0. 14 given access here?
- Tim, Eric, Yuri Lebedev, and Jose Freundt. 15 Α.
- And do you see how Anthony Murgio is copied on this email? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Was he given access?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 So after you were given access to the system -- which I
- 21 believe you called CU Base?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Q. -- did Trevon Gross still have access to the backend
- 24 system?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Now, did you have an understanding whether there was any 1
- difference between what access you had and what access Trevon 2
- 3 Gross had?
- Yes. Trevon's login was superior to all of ours. 4 Α.
- 5 And so what does that mean, that it's superior?
- That he has master access. Basically, he controls who 6 Α.
- 7 logged in, who was given passwords, or who can't log in.
- So would that give his access any ability with respect to 8
- 9 your and these other people's access?
- 10 If at any time he wanted to revoke our access, he
- 11 could be the only one to do so.
- 12 THE COURT: I invite the jury to take a standing break
- 13 with me.
- 14 (Pause)
- 15 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up 1173,
- which is already in evidence? 16
- 17 BY MR. SHIN:
- 18 Q. Mr. Hill, let's take a look at the bottom email. Could you
- please read that paragraph that Anthony Murgio wrote? 19
- 20 "Trevon. Rico will be facilitating the transition and the
- 21 training for the credit union. Rico is very organized so I
- 22 would like you to both get together on the steps that need to
- 23 be taken over the next month or so for a smooth transition."
- 24 MR. SHIN: Could we look at the next email up,
- 25 Ms. Grant?

- How did Trevon Gross respond?
- "Okay. Rico, looking forward to working with you. You 2 Α.
- 3 should have everything to log in and see the system. Let me
- know your availability for later today, Wednesday, or Thursday. 4
- 5 Thanks."

- 6 Q. Let's take a look at the next email up. If you could read
- 7 that, please?
- I have made it through the first five training 8 "Hi.
- 9 I'll be free after 6:00 p.m. Eastern or early
- 10 tomorrow before 10:00 a.m. I'm currently on the ACH process
- training." 11
- 12 MR. SHIN: You can zoom out, Ms. Grant.
- 13 What is this reference to training here? 0.
- 14 A. How to enter transactions via -- how to enter ACH
- transactions to certain accounts. 15
- Q. Let me start more broadly. There's a reference here to 16
- 17 "first five training modules". Could you describe what
- 18 training you participated in around this time?
- It was overall training of the CU Base, the backend 19 A. Oh.
- 20 software for HOPE Federal Credit Union, from account creation,
- 21 to approving the deposit or withdrawals, to changing passwords
- 22 for customers of the credit union, to ensuring debit cards were
- 23 mailed to the correct address; just an overall training of the
- 24 whole CU Base.
- 25 Did you also, around this time, receive any training on

- compliance-related issues?
- 2 Α. Yes.

- 3 Could you describe what those were?
- It was an online training, basically like a Q and A, for 4
- 5 bank regulations. You read through the material, and at the
- end there were questions, and you had to pass with a certain 6
- 7 score to complete the training.
- Q. Do you remember what topics were covered in those 8
- 9 compliance trainings?
- 10 A. Yes, I remember most. OFAC, BSA, SAR. I don't remember
- 11 them all.
- 12 Q. You just actually gave several acronyms. Do you remember
- 13 what any of those stand for?
- 14 A. Yeah, a few. BSA is Bank Secrecy Act. SAR is Suspicious
- 15 Activity Report. I don't remember what OFAC is. I can't
- remember all of them. 16
- 17 Q. You made a reference to these being online trainings?
- 18 A. Yes. It was on online training module where it read
- 19 through each of the acronyms I mentioned, and then they asked
- 20 questions afterwards to make sure that you read the material.
- 21 Q. Do you remember what format, what form these questions were
- 22 in?
- 23 The questions were in multiple choice.
- 24 Were there many of them? Do you recall the number of
- 25 questions?

- Hill Direct
- It wasn't a lot. It took 20, 25 minutes to complete the 1 2 whole thing.
- 3 20, 25 minutes for each training or all of them?
- For all of them. 4 Α.
- 5 So on all of those compliance topics it took 25 minutes to
- 6 train?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Would you describe those trainings as detailed? 8
- 9 It lists the acronyms and told what it stood for and 10 what needs to be done in certain situations.
- 11 Q. Did you feel like you had a full understanding of all those
- 12 compliance issues after taking the 25 minutes worth of
- 13 training?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 MR. SHIN: I'm sorry. Could we put that back up,
- 16 please, Ms. Grant? Thank you.
- 17 There is a reference here in your email, Mr. Hill, after
- 18 you say you've made it through the training modules.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And you say you'll be free after 6:00 p.m. or early
- 21 tomorrow before 10:00?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Did you have a subsequent discussion with Mr. Gross about
- 24 these trainings?
- 25 He asked if we went through it and completed it, and Yes.

- Hill Direct
- if we passed, you had to have a certain passing score, I mean 1 answering the questions correctly, for actually to complete it.
- 3 Q. Was there followup discussion about the substance of the
- 4 trainings?
- 5 Α. No.

- For example, did you ask followup questions of him about 6
- 7 OFAC or BSA or SARs?
- No. I just made sure I passed it and that was it. 8
- 9 Q. Did he ask you any additional followup questions to make
- 10 sure you understood those topics?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 There's a reference here to "I'm currently on the ACH
- 13 processing training. "What was that training. Could you
- 14 describe what that training was like?
- It was how to manually enter transactions via ACH to a 15
- specific account. 16
- 17 Was the format similar to the format you described earlier
- 18 for the other trainings?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 So after that training, do you feel like you had a thorough
- 21 understanding of what ACH was?
- 22 A. No, not at all.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please put up 1174
- 24 in evidence?
- 25 You see this is an email from Trevon Gross. Who are the

- individuals who are receiving this email? 1
- Myself, Anthony Murgio, Yuri Lebedev, and Jose. 2 Α.
- 3 MR. SHIN: If you could zoom out, please, Ms. Grant?
- 4 Just generally, what's being provided here? Q.
- 5 Information about the credit union; the founding date, the branch location, the phone number. 6
- 7 MR. SHIN: If we could actually zoom in on the bottom half, please? 8
- 9 Q. Do you see there under "wires to HOPE FCU" there's a word 10 Alloya?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Q. What is Alloya?
- 13 Alloya is a corporate credit union. Α.
- 14 What relationship was there between Alloya and HOPE FCU? Q.
- 15 Α. Alloya was HOPE's corporate credit union.
- What did Alloya do for HOPE FCU? 16 0.
- 17 I don't know. I never understood that relationship. Α.
- 18 Do you recall any terms being used to describe what Alloya
- was other than "corporate credit union"? 19
- 20 Yes. Alloya was a settlement bank for HOPE or an
- 21 originations bank for HOPE.
- 22 Do you understand what those terms mean? Ο.
- No, I don't. 23 Α.
- So sitting here today, do you have an understanding of what 24
- 25 exactly Alloya did for HOPE FCU?

- Α. No, I really don't.
- Did Trevon Gross ever explain that to you? 2 Q.
- 3 A. He may have made an attempt to explain it, but I never
- 4 understood.

- 5 Q. You were the one who was responsible for running the
- 6 day-to-day operations, or you had a role in running the
- 7 day-to-day operations of HOPE FCU?
- A. Yes. 8
- 9 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 10 MR. CREIZMAN: Objection, form.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain. Little bit of
- 12 room, but --
- 13 Thank you, your Honor. MR. SHIN:
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. SHIN:
- Q. Mr. Hill, do you recall testifying earlier that you managed 16
- 17 the back end --
- 18 Α. Yes.
- -- of HOPE FCU? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Was that a day-to-day job or a once-in-a-while job? Q.
- 22 Α. Every day. Well, Monday through Friday.
- 23 Now, while you were doing that, did you work with anyone
- 24 else associated with HOPE FCU?
- 25 Yes, Trevon. Α.

- Hill Direct
- 1 How often did you deal with him in connection with that
- 2 work?
- 3 On a daily basis, or Monday through Friday.
- What kinds of interactions did you have with Gross on a 4 Q.
- day-to-day basis for work? 5
- He would give me instructions and directions on how to do 6
- 7 certain tasks, and he would also doublecheck on any work that
- I've done or any tasks I completed. 8
- 9 Did he ever give you assignments of things to do?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 0. I believe you testified that he checked on your work?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Did he ever correct mistakes that you had made? 0.
- Or showed me how to do so. 14 Α.
- 15 Q. So would you say that you worked closely with him --
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 -- on a day-to-day basis? Q.
- 18 Α. Correct.
- 19 Now, while working with Gross, did you ever talk about
- 20 Coin.mx?
- 21 I'm sure that I told him what I did for Collectables Club.
- 22 I'm sorry. Would you mind repeating that answer? Q.
- 23 I'm sure I told Trevon what I did for Collectables Club and
- 24 Coin.mx.
- 25 I'm using Coin.mx differently from Collectables Club in my

- Hill Direct
- 1 question. Did you ever mention Coin.mx?
- 2 That's the platform that I worked on before working Α. Yes.
- 3 on HOPE's back end.
- 4 Could you just describe how this came up? Q.
- 5 Trevon noticed that I was catching on to the back end
- 6 pretty quickly from CU Base, the CU Base training, and just me
- 7 picking it up in general, and he had asked if I worked on any
- backend software before, and I would mention that, yes, I run 8
- 9 the back end on Coin.mx, and the back end of Coin.mx is a lot
- 10 harder than the CU Base back end was.
- 11 Q. How did Trevon Gross react when you said that you were
- 12 doing work for Coin.mx?
- A. He didn't. He didn't seem like he was surprised or he 13
- 14 didn't ask any questions about it.
- 15 Q. Did you try to hide the fact that you were associated with
- Coin.mx from Trevon Gross? 16
- 17 No, not at all. Α.
- 18 Q. Now, where was the credit union's operations when you
- 19 joined the board and started working for HOPE FCU?
- 20 It was located in Jackson, New Jersey.
- 21 Did there come a time when another location was opened? Q.
- 22 Yes, in Tallahassee, Florida. Α.
- 23 And when was that? 0.
- 24 Α. In July of 2014.
- 25 Now, you testified earlier today that Coin.mx opened a

- location in Tallahassee in July of 2014. Was there any 1 relationship between those two things? 2
- 3 It was the same office.
- 4 Why did HOPE FCU open an office in Tallahassee, Florida? Q.
- 5 That's where I was located, and that's where the branch was
- 6 going to be located as I was doing the day-to-day operations
- 7 there.
- 8 Q. When you say "that's where the branch was going to be
- 9 located", what was actually going to be located at the office
- 10 in Tallahassee?
- 11 A. A workstation -- a complete workstation with a desktop, a
- 12 tower, a secure router and a GUAPPLE.
- 13 I'm sorry. What was a GUAPPLE? 0.
- 14 A GUAPPLE is similar to a router. It securely allows a
- 15 desktop to connect to CU South via CU Base.
- So it would connect your computer? 16
- 17 To the back end of the credit union.
- 18 Who was going to work for the credit union at that location
- in Tallahassee? 19
- 20 Α. I was.
- 21 Anyone else? Q.
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could put up 51, please,
- 24 already in evidence?
- 25 What is this that we're looking at here?

- Hill Direct
- This is the outside of the office in Tallahassee, Florida. 1 Α.
 - Who is that individual on the lower left-hand corner? Q.
- 3 Jose Freundt. Α.
- 4 MR. SHIN: If we could go to 52, please?
- 5 What are we looking at here, Mr. Hill? Ο.
- The signage in front of the building, particularly that 6 Α. 7 sign HOPE FCU and Collectables Club.
- We note here it says "Collectables Club". Was there any 8
- 9 memorabilia sold out of this location?
- 10 Α. No.
- 11 Ο. Or stored at this location?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Were there meetings of collectibles enthusiasts at this Ο.
- 14 location?
- 15 Α. No.
- 16 MR. SHIN: If we could go to 53, please?
- 17 What's in this photo here, Mr. Hill?
- 18 The signage in front of the entrance to our office. Α.
- 19 MR. SHIN: Could we take a look at that?
- 20 So the sign here says it's the offices of HOPE FCU and
- 21 Coin.mx; is that correct?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Could we look at 54, please? Thank you.
- 24 What are we looking at here? 0.
- 25 The inside of the office in Tallahassee, Florida. Α.

- Hill Direct
- 1 This was for both HOPE FCU and Coin.mx, correct?
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 MR. SHIN: If we could move on to the next photo,
- 4 please?
- 5 Q. So the sign here says "HOPE FCU management office". What
- was the management office? 6
- 7 That's where the workstation was located, the desktop --
- the desktop, monitor, and the tower. 8
- 9 And that's where you worked? 0.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Could we look at the next photo, please?
- 12 What office is this?
- 13 Α. That's inside the management office of HOPE FCU at
- Tallahassee, Florida. 14
- 15 MR. SHIN: Next photo, please.
- 16 What is this, Mr. Hill? 0.
- 17 The next door over is Coin.mx member support.
- What was done out of that office? 18
- Jen worked inside that office and on the back end of 19
- 20 She also managed the back end of Coin.mx with me.
- 21 MR. SHIN: Could we take a look at 61, actually,
- 22 Ms. Grant? Thank you.
- 23 Ο. What's this?
- 24 That's inside the router room where the GUAPPLE, the secure
- 25 servers, our internet system, our internet router, and the

- 1 printers were set up. It's across the hall from the two 2 offices.
- 3 You called this a router room?
- Α. 4 It's -- the secure server and router has to be behind
- a lock and key, and this is that room. 5
- How big was this room? 6 0.
- 7 I'm not good with feet or whatever, but it's very small,
- 8 just enough to hold the items we see there.
- 9 I guess another way to put it, is there much more to this
- 10 room than what we're looking at in this photo?
- 11 Α. Oh, no.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Could we take a look at 62?
- 13 What's that? 0.
- 14 A. That's the HOPE router -- I'm sorry -- yeah, the HOPE
- 15 router connected to the workstation, the desktop workstation in
- the other office. 16
- 17 MR. SHIN: Could we look at Exhibit 63, please?
- What is that? 18 Q.
- 19 Α. The GUAPPLE.
- 20 This is the GUAPPLE that you described earlier? Ο.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 MR. SHIN: If we could look at 58, please?
- 23 We looked at two different rooms that were used for HOPE
- 24 FCU business?
- 25 Uh-huh. Α.

- Could you just describe where they are in this photo? 1
- The two different rooms? The door down the hall on the 2 Α.
- 3 left is where the GUAPPLE and secure router is located, and
- 4 directly across the hall is the two offices we saw; one being
- 5 the HOPE management office and the other the Coin.mx customer
- 6 support office.
- 7 Is there something on the floor there between those two
- offices, those two rooms? 8
- 9 A. Yes, there's a cable connecting the GUAPPLE to the
- 10 workstation.
- 11 So that was the HOPE FCU branch in Tallahassee, Florida?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was there anything more to the branch than what we've
- 14 looked at?
- 15 A. No.
- THE COURT: Mr. Shin, what's your time estimate for 16
- 17 the witness?
- 18 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, there's significantly more to
- 19 do. I think there was at least a couple more hours of
- 20 testimony.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. We'll break for lunch.
- 22 It's 12:45, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, so we'll
- 23 return at 1:45. Enjoy your lunch. Thank you.
- 24 (Continued on next page)
- 25

```
1
               (Jury not present)
               THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Hill.
 2
 3
               Matters to take up, counsel?
 4
               MR. SHIN: Nothing from the government, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: So several hours, maybe?
 6
               MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: We'll see if during lunch you can shorten.
               MR. SHIN: Believe it or not, I actually cut some
 8
9
      stuff on the fly during my presentation this morning, but I
10
      have further evidence --
11
               THE COURT: Let's really use lunch for more of that.
12
      I mean, just for example, you're going back over at some length
13
      photos that we've been walked through already by
14
     Ms. Wotherspoon. And I can see why some of it, but some of it
15
      feels duplicative. Let's move it along.
16
               Matters to take up? Anything?
17
               MR. SHIN: We'll work on it, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Nothing?
19
               MR. SHIN: Nothing else, your Honor.
20
               MS. SANTILLO: One matter I'll confer with the
      government about, but it may be an issue.
21
22
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll meet back in 40 minutes.
23
      Thank you.
24
               (Luncheon recess)
```

H2NKLEB5

AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 1:38 PM 3 (In open court; jury not present) 4 THE COURT: Thank you for being here on time. I'll 5 wait for the government. MR. KLINGEMAN: Your Honor, I'm going through the 6 7 exhibits that the government has told me they're planning to use this afternoon. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 MR. CREIZMAN: Off the record. (Discussion off the record) 11 12 MR. NOBLE: Apologies, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Matters to take up? 14 MR. NOBLE: Not from the government. MS. SANTILLO: Yes, I do have one issue. 15 16 THE COURT: We can't hear you. 17 (Pause) 18 MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, there are going to be some rather long calls today, and I just wanted to confer with the 19 20 government to make sure we had no completeness issues with 21 respect to that. So I'm just reviewing a transcript that you 22 just saw. 23 THE COURT: Great. 24 MR. SHIN: So during --25 THE COURT: Microphone.

MR. SHIN: Thank you for the reminder, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm a bad lip reader, so I have to remind you.

MR. SHIN: Appreciate that.

So during Mr. Hill's testimony, in addition to some more emails and the like, I'm planning to play during his testimony recordings of certain calls that he participated in. They include a call with Mr. Gross, and Mr. Murgio, and representatives of Kapcharge. That is the one that Ms. Santillo is currently looking at because I'm planning to chop off part of the beginning of the call.

We are also planning to play a call with Mr. Hill, Mr. Murgio, and Mr. Gross, a discussion of Alloya and their services being cut off. That's a relatively short call, it's about seven minutes long, so we're planning to play that in the entirety.

The call that Ms. Santillo is reviewing, it's 30-plus minutes long. We're planning to cut off -- we're proposing to cut off about six minutes up front, about a 20 percent cut of the time. And the last call that we're proposing to play -- sorry, not a call, a meeting -- a recording of a meeting, it's this big falling-out meeting that we've heard so much about during these proceedings in November. It includes Mr. Hill, Mr. Gross, Mr. Lebedev, Mr. Murgio, others on the board. The call in total is about an hour long. We have been in

discussions -- we actually just discussed it with counsel, and I think we're in agreement that it makes sense, given how critical that call is, to play the entire call.

THE COURT: It's not a call, right?

MR. SHIN: My apologies. The recording of a meeting. So I wanted to just note that for your Honor. That's going to take up a substantial amount of time, but we're all agreed that it's a critical piece of evidence in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Was that included in your several-hour estimation?

MR. SHIN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Makes me feel slightly better.

(Pause)

THE COURT: May I ask: Are the recordings clear? Are there going to be transcripts running? I know you had said you had come to basic agreement on -- how technologically will it be presented?

MR. SHIN: The recordings, we've tested, and they're audible, relatively clear. Our proposal had been to have them running and have Ms. Grant run the transcript kind of following along, so that the jury can follow along. It occurs to me -- I believe the defense has signed the transcript stipulation?

We reached agreement in principle overnight, so I believe they're executing it now.

(Pause)

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SHIN: Just one other note is that we are planning to go through some of the WhatsApp messages with Mr. Hill as well — he was on some of those messages — and we're not planning on reading through entire portions of it, but select excerpts that I'll have Mr. Hill likely read his part, and I will read other parts just to get that in.

THE COURT: So that's not repetition of chats already read, right?

MR. SHIN: I don't believe so, your Honor. I don't believe any WhatsApps have been read to this point. Oh, right, there was some Wotherspoon read, and there were some Google Chats read, but these are different chats.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHIN: Thank you.

MR. KLINGEMAN: We consent to everything the government has described.

I also want to alert the government and the Court that over the lunch break, I reviewed the -- I reviewed the exhibits that the government emailed to us, in terms of the numerical list, late last night on behalf of Mr. Gross, and on behalf of Mr. Gross, I do not anticipate any objections.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Anything else?

We have our jury? All right. We'll bring in the

jury.

MR. NOBLE: Judge, we may have one more just brief issue.

THE COURT: Okay. Hang on.

MR. NOBLE: That will, hopefully, speed things up.

(Pause)

MR. SHIN: We're --

THE COURT: Work it out.

MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Folks?

MR. SHIN: Counsel has identified one document among the emails that we're planning to use. I'll describe the document. It's an email from this company called Magic Wrighter that HOPE FCU was using in connection with ACH processing. It's Exhibit 2229.

So just the context again: This company is writing first to Mr. Gross, and then there is — a copy of that email was sent to Mr. Hill essentially cutting off services to them in light of risks. The government is offering this document not for the truth of its contents, but for the state of mind, particularly Mr. Gross' state of mind, its effect on the listener. So, again, not for the truth. It helps complete the story of the sequence of Alloya cutting them off, and then Magic Wrighter cutting them off, and then that precipitated the

big — this was one of the events that precipitated the big meeting at the end of November. So, one, it's necessary to complete the story of the conspiracy; and, two, in any event, it's not offered for the truth, but for state of mind of Mr. Gross.

THE COURT: What is it supposed to tell the jury about the state of mind of Mr. Gross?

MR. SHIN: When Mr. Gross is told about the risks that are posed by this volume of ACH transactions, his knowledge of that risk in connection with processing all of these ACH transactions that he had been doing to date and continued to after this email even, it goes to his corrupt intent and the intent to be influenced. So he was making these decisions on behalf of the credit union knowing about the risks that were stated to him by these outside vendors that HOPE FCU was using.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, we have strong objections to this email.

THE COURT: Can you pull up the microphone?

MS. SANTILLO: Sorry. We have strong objections to this email, and we have already indicated this to the government, that this is somebody who is speaking about his years of experience in the industry, they're not planning to call this person as a witness, we have no opportunity to cross-examine this person, he's basically purporting to offer his expert opinion about the credit union industry, and it's

highly prejudicial to Mr. Gross.

It's a 403 argument, and it's also an expert lay opinion about a witness we're not even going to have an opportunity to examine.

THE COURT: Can you blow up --

(Pause)

THE COURT: All right. Sustained.

Bring in the jury.

Oh, yes. Can we have Mr. Hill.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, just so we understand the basis of your ruling?

THE COURT: Yes. There's a lot of substance that — you say you're not offering it for the truth. I think there is a hearsay problem. I'll say it's hearsay, it's 403, and I think it's also right to say that it's offering highly evaluative opinions without any opportunity to cross—examine them. The suggestion that we can know something regardless of what the truth value is that's interposed in it about what Mr. Gross thought or responded, I just don't find plausible. And in light of the significance of the content of the email to the underlying issues, it's a 403 problem.

MS. CHOI: So may I just ask for guidance with regard to that? Tomorrow we're going to have Alloya witnesses discuss the reasons why they decided to cut off HOPE FCU in their ACH processing. There will be two live witnesses. I presume

you're not going to have similar problems with regard to various items that they have recognized, they will lay the foundation for being business records, but they're internal deliberations on the risk it poses to Alloya, so long as they're available for cross-examination?

THE COURT: If there's a particular document you want to use as an example, and then I can hear if there is some additional objection.

MS. CHOI: I'm just saying I think we may have to air this out tonight because I have to meet with these Alloya witnesses. We have disclosed the 3500 on them, they understood what their testimony would be with regard to Alloya's reasons for cutting off the ACH transactions, which is they pose an incredible risk that was unprecedented at Alloya in terms of what other credit union — other small credit unions were doing, and that there weren't any controls in place. That's critical evidence, it's material evidence for the government. I think we need to air this out because if it's the case that — I just don't think there's a basis to exclude their conclusions. It's not something the defense has asked to exclude. They were on notice about this. It wasn't a subject of a motion in limine. So I do think that we may have to deal with this issue tonight.

THE COURT: Agreed.

MS. CHOI: Similarly, I would just like to place -- so

long as the rulings are consistent, I don't think your Honor has in principle any problem with our calling, if we'd like to get this piece of evidence in, the Magic Wrighter individual to explain the bases for that.

THE COURT: If you can lay a foundation, and they're here for cross-examination, and the conclusions that are reached are based on nonspecialized technical, but factual inferences --

MS. CHOI: Right.

THE COURT: -- that were available based on personal experience, then unless they articulate some separate basis for the objection -- but that's very different.

MS. CHOI: No, I understand.

THE COURT: Very different.

MS. CHOI: I understand. I just wanted to understand the scope. And just to highlight, one of the issues is, these are people who work in credit unions, so they do have some knowledge about how their own credit unions work. I presume your Honor doesn't have an issue with regard to their talking about their own experiences, what they see at Alloya, what they do at Alloya or at Magic Wrighter, in turn. I just think these are things we may need to flesh out tonight, unfortunately.

THE COURT: I have invited -- this is now the ninth time. I'm sure you're well aware of the pertinent evidentiary rules and Second Circuit decisions that define the boundaries.

H2NKLEB5

```
If you want to tee up a particular issue, I'm all ears.
 1
 2
               MS. CHOI: I think we'll just do that after the --
 3
               THE COURT: We'll bring in the jury.
 4
               You may come forward, Mr. Hill.
 5
                (Continued on next page)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, I hope you had a pleasant lunch on this balmy February day. We will continue with the government's direct examination of Mr. Hill.

Mr. Hill, I do remind you that you are under oath.

Mr. Shin, you may proceed when you're ready.

MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor.

Ms. Grant, could you display, for the Court, Government Exhibit 4009.

Your Honor, we've marked for identification Government Exhibit 4009, which is a stipulation among the parties regarding certain recordings and transcriptions. The government would offer this stipulation into evidence.

THE COURT: Without objection?

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

4009 is admitted on stipulation.

(Government's Exhibit 4009 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish this for the jury, please.

Paragraph 4 reads: "The first page of the transcripts accurately reflects the following information for each recorded conversation: The original file name and the date modified information associated with the corresponding audio file, if

available; the date that the audio recording was made, if available; the date that the file was sent, if available; the length of the file; the participants in the conversation; and certain abbreviations used in the transcription."

Paragraph 5 reads: "The right column of each transcript contains true and accurate transcriptions of the corresponding audio file and descriptions as to events that are heard on the audio file."

Paragraph 6: "The left column of each transcript accurately identifies the speaker of the transcribed text and contains accurate timestamps noting the portion of the recording at which the event that was transcribed occurred."

And turning back to -- the exhibits covered by the stipulation are 1418-B, 2502, 2504, 2505 and 2506, and the government exhibits with the same numbers, with the letter T added as a suffix, are the corresponding transcriptions, collectively the transcripts.

So, at this time, based on the stipulation, the government offers into evidence Government Exhibits 1418-B, 2502, 2504, 2505, 2506, as well as Exhibits 1418-B-T, 2502-T, 2504-T, 2505-T, and 2506-T.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Those set of exhibits just indicated by Mr. Shin are

admitted. 1

2 (Government's Exhibits 1418-B, 2502, 2504, 2505, 2506,

3 1418-B-T, 2502-T, 2504-T, 2505-T, and 2506-T received in

evidence)

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

20

22

25

MR. SHIN: At this time, the government also would move, pursuant to Mr. Priest's foundational testimony, for

7 admission into evidence of the following numbered WhatsApp

transcriptions: 4500, 4501, 4502, 4504, 4505, 4506, 4507,

4508, and 4510.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

They're admitted.

14 (Government's Exhibits 4500, 4501, 4502, 4504, 4505,

4506, 4507, 4508, and 4510 received in evidence)

RICARDO HILL, resumed. 16

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. SHIN: 18

Q. Mr. Hill --19

> Α. Yes.

21 Q. -- you testified earlier about a company called Kapcharge

paying for part of the consulting fees that were paid to Trevon

23 Gross, correct?

24 A. Yes.

Are you generally familiar with that company called

- Kapcharge?
- 2 Yes, I am. Α.
- 3 How are you familiar with it?
- I worked with them on a daily basis as I received files 4 Α.
- 5 from them to process the ACH transactions on their account at
- 6 HOPE.

- 7 What kind of a business is Kapcharge?
- They are a payment gateway. 8
- 9 What does that mean? Ο.
- 10 That means they process debits and credits to individuals
- 11 and businesses.
- 12 Do you know who those individuals and businesses are?
- 13 Α. No, I don't.
- 14 Now, we've seen some names of individuals associated with
- 15 Kapcharge. Could you just tell the jury who are the people
- associated with Kapcharge that you're aware of? 16
- 17 Kevin Pepe, Mark Francis, Shoula Cohen, and a few others, I
- don't know their last names, Christine, Kirk, and Sam. 18
- 19 What, if any, relationship was there between Anthony Murgio
- 20 and individuals from Kapcharge?
- 21 They were previously colleagues or friends before we joined
- 22 the credit union.
- 23 How do you know that?
- 24 Just from being on conversations with them when they talked
- 25 about the past, college years, past parties, just general stuff

like that.

- Q. Did there come a time when Kapcharge became a member of 2
- HOPE Federal Credit Union? 3
- 4 Α. Yes.
- 5 When was that? Ο.
- 6 Shortly after we had taken over the board. So, it was
- 7 after June. I'm not sure the specific date.
- This is June of 2014? 8
- 9 Α. That's correct.
- Where was Kapcharge located? 10 Q.
- 11 Α. In Montreal, Canada.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could show the witness 12
- 13 2264, please.
- 14 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- Yes. It's an email chain from Anthony Murgio to Trevon, 15 Α.
- with myself copied on it. It has an attachment. 16
- 17 The date on this email is also August 27th of 2014?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. SHIN: Now, the government offers 2264 into
- 20 evidence.
- 21 THE COURT: Without objection?
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 23 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 2264 is admitted.

- (Government's Exhibit 2264 received in evidence) 1
- 2 MR. SHIN: May we publish?
- THE COURT: You may. 3
- 4 BY MR. SHIN:
- 5 Q. Could you please read for the jury -- under the August 27,
- 6 2014, 2:56 email by Trevon Gross, could you read the line
- 7 related to Kap?
- "Kap signed document. No corporate docs yet." 8
- 9 Q. Above that, could you please read Anthony Murgio's
- 10 response?
- 11 "Here it is, just in case."
- 12 MR. SHIN: Sorry, Ms. Grant. Could you cover the next
- 13 email as well.
- 14 So what did Mr. Murgio initially respond?
- 15 Α. "Corporate docs were attached to Kap's email."
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we look at the first 16
- 17 attachment, please, or look at the first page of the
- attachment. 18
- 19 Q. Mr. Hill, could you please read the address listed under
- 20 Kapcharge USA Inc.?
- 21 1 Westmount Square, Suite 1800, Montreal, Quebec.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Can we look at the next page, please,
- 23 Ms. Grant.
- 24 What is this document, Mr. Hill? Ο.
- 25 This is an EIN number for a company, Kapcharge.

- Q. Specifically, is the company Kapcharge USA Inc.?
- 2 | A. Yes.

- 3 | Q. What is the address listed there?
- 4 A. 759 Square Victoria, Suite 200, Montreal, Quebec.
- 5 | Q. Now, Mr. Hill, to your knowledge, did Kapcharge have an
- 6 office in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Did Kapcharge have any employees in Lakewood, New Jersey?
- 9 | A. No.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, you can take down the exhibit,
- 11 please. Thank you.
- 12 | Q. What, if any, services did HOPE FCU provide to Kapcharge?
- 13 A. ACH processing.
- 14 Q. What is ACH?
- 15 A. I just know ACH to stand for all clearinghouse.
- 16 Q. Do you know of any examples of ACH transactions?
- 17 A. Yes. Direct deposit from an employer to an employee.
- 18 | Q. Do you know any other examples?
- 19 | A. No, I don't.
- 20 | Q. Do you recall testifying earlier, when we were looking at
- 21 an email, regarding some early training, including ACH
- 22 processing?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Apart from that training, did you receive any other formal
- 25 | trainings about ACH while you were working at HOPE FCU?

- Α. No.

- Do you know what kinds of ACH transactions HOPE FCU was 2 Q.
- 3 processing for Kapcharge?
- Debits and credits. 4 Α.
- 5 Do you know what those debits and credits were for?
- No, I don't. 6 Α.
- 7 To your knowledge, over what period of time did HOPE FCU
- process ACH transactions for Kapcharge? 8
- 9 From the summer of 2014 to November of 2014.
- 10 Do you know, one way or another, whether HOPE FCU continued
- 11 to process ACH transactions for Kapcharge after November 2014?
- 12 Α. No, I don't.
- 13 Did HOPE FCU process ACH transactions for any other
- 14 companies?
- 15 Α. No.
- Now, what, if any, role did you have in helping HOPE FCU 16
- 17 process ACH transactions for Kapcharge?
- 18 I manually entered the ACH transactions to the HOPE account
- via the back end of CU Base. 19
- 20 Did you do anything else in connection with ACH processing?
- 21 I also posted -- I compared the previous day's originations
- 22 to make sure that they were correct.
- 23 Where were you doing all this from? 0.
- 24 From the office in Tallahassee, Florida.
- 25 Your Honor, may I approach? MR. SHIN:

1 THE COURT: You may.

- 2 | Q. Mr. Hill, I've handed you what has been marked for
- 3 | identification as Government Exhibits 2141 and 2142. Do you
- 4 see those in front of you?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | O. Let's start with 2141.
- 7 Do you recognize that item?
- 8 | A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Just if you could describe for the jury, what physically is
- 10 | that item?
- 11 A. It's a disk with daily ACH reports on it.
- 12 | Q. So it's a disk?
- 13 | A. It's a disk.
- 14 | Q. That has some data on it?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Do you recognize that disk?
- 17 | A. Yes, I do.
- 18 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 19 \parallel A. My initials are on it.
- 20 | Q. Did you review the contents of that disk?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And when did you do that?
- 23 A. When did I do it?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. During my meetings with the government.

- 1 | Q. Looking at 2142 now, do you recognize that disk?
- 2 | A. Yes, I do.
- 3 | Q. How do you recognize that?
- 4 A. My initials are on it.
- 5 Q. Also, is there a label on that disk?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Could you read that label?
- 8 A. It's the previous day originations and incoming wires.
- 9 Q. Did you review the contents of that disk?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And when was that?
- 12 A. Also during my meetings with the government.
- 13 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, the government offers Exhibits
- 14 | 2141 and 2142 into evidence.
- MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Thank you.
- They're admitted.
- 19 (Government's Exhibits 2141 and 2142 received in
- 20 | evidence)
- 21 MR. SHIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 22 One moment, your Honor?
- 23 (Pause)
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish -- we'll
- 25 | just publish -- we'll flip through several files that are

- contained first on 2141. If we could publish -- let's put up 1 2 1, so 2141-1.
- 3 THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 If you could display the attachment. MR. SHIN:
- 5 THE COURT: I don't think we have it published. There
- 6 Thank you. we go.
- 7 Actually, before we flip off of this: MR. SHIN:
- BY MR. SHIN: 8
- 9 Mr. Hill, what is this?
- 10 This is an email from one of my email addresses to another 11 of my email addresses, with attachments.
- 12 What are these attachments?
- 13 These attachments are ACH -- they're spreadsheets Α.
- 14 containing ACH transactions. These are the transactions that I
- 15 posted on Kapcharge's account.
- You're emailing these from one of your addresses to another 16
- 17 one of your own addresses?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Where did you get these attached files from?
- 20 From the email I received from Kapcharge. Α.
- 21 Just curious: Why are you writing that note to yourself in
- 22 that email?
- 23 I'm sorry? Α.
- 24 This is an email from yourself to yourself. Why are you
- 25 writing that little note to yourself?

- 1 I don't know. Just to make sure they all were saying the 2 same thing, to make sure I know that these has attachments on
- it. 3
- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you display -- we'll just 5 flip through each of the attachments, please.
- Q. Now, if you could just generally describe for the jury, 6
- 7 what is this file?
- 8 A. This is a spreadsheet with individual transactions on them.
- 9 This is what I use to -- this is what I use to get the name,
- 10 the date, and the transaction amount to post to the Kapcharge
- 11 account via CU Base.
- 12 What's the date on the spreadsheet?
- 13 August 20th, 2014. Α.
- 14 Now, can you point out for the jury, what's the total Q.
- 15 amount of these transactions here?
- Α. 16 \$3,896.
- 17 What's the total of credits?
- 18 Α. Zero.
- That total amount, less than \$4,000, is that representative 19
- 20 of the ACH transactions that you were processing during your
- 21 time at HOPE FCU?
- 22 A. I mean, in the beginning, it was smaller, but it got much
- 23 bigger.
- 24 Q. When you received this file from Kapcharge, what did you
- 25 actually do with it?

- I would open it up on my computer, so I can see the data 1
- all laid out. I would then log into CU Base, open Kapcharge's 2
- 3 account, go to the section that I would enter the ACH
- 4 transactions, and then following the code, the amount, and
- 5 name, I would enter the name, the individual's name, the
- 6 transaction code, and the amount, and the date.
- 7 And you would do that for each transaction?
- 8 Α. Yes.
 - And you couldn't just copy and paste this in? Ο.
- 10 Α. No.

- 11 0. You had to manually enter it?
- 12 You had to manually enter in the name, transaction code,
- 13 amount, and the date.
- 14 How often were you doing this? Q.
- 15 Α. Every day, Monday through Friday.
- How much time did that take up for you? 16 0.
- 17 In the beginning, they were short like this -- this
- 18 wouldn't take long -- but it began to take up hours and hours
- 19 of the day.
- 20 Did you encounter any difficulties in doing that task?
- 21 I'm manually entering tons and tons of transactions,
- 22 so there have been a lot of mistakes entering the wrong number,
- 23 you know, just going too fast, misspelling a name, putting in
- 24 the wrong transaction code. It could be a number of things.
- 25 Just so we can get one more example for the MR. SHIN:

- jury, Ms. Grant, if you could put up number 31 out of this set. 1
- 2 If you close out this spreadsheet. Let's look at 31.
- 3 BY MR. SHIN:
- 4 Is this another one of those emails? 0.
- 5 Yes, it is, with an attachment of an ACH spreadsheet. Α.
- And what's the date here? 6 0.
- 7 October 17, 2014. Α.
- So here you're sending it from one of your addresses to one 8 Q.
- 9 of your other addresses, but also to Kevin Pepe?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 0. At Kapital Inc.?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 He's from Kapcharge; is that right? 0.
- 14 Yes, he works at Kapcharge. Α.
- 15 Q. Why were you sending this to him?
- He probably requested a copy of it if he wasn't there that 16
- 17 day. I usually receive them from Kevin on a day-to-day basis,
- 18 but if Kevin missed a day or wasn't at work, he will also like
- 19 to have a copy of the transaction -- I mean the spreadsheet.
- 20 So I was just sending them to him if he missed it. I could
- 21 have gotten it from Sam or Christine if Kevin wasn't in.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you open up the
- 23 spreadsheet, please. If you could scroll down, please.
- 24 Ο. So, what's the totals here?
- 25 The total debits? \$1,043.75. Total credits: \$7,611.46. Α.

- Over the course of the time you were doing this, is this a 1
- lot of transactions? Is this on the high end or the lower end 2
- 3 of transactions that you were doing on a daily basis?
- This is on the lower end. 4 Α.
 - So still on the lower end?
- 6 Α. Yes.

- 7 All right. So this was the -- we talked now about your
- 8 entering the transactions on a daily basis. What was the other
- 9 piece that you were doing regularly?
- 10 Just double-checking the previous day's originations to
- 11 make sure that I entered the numbers correctly and also posting
- 12 any incoming wires that were sent to me.
- 13 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please publish, for
- 14 the jury, 2142, number 2, subnumber 2.
- 15 0. Why don't you just walk us through this email. First, what
- is this email, Mr. Hill? 16
- 17 This is an email from Christine at Kapcharge to myself with
- others attached. 18
- I would get these daily. It's the previous day 19
- 20 origination totals for posting to the Kapcharge account, which
- 21 is the 6527000. And, also, it will reflect the incoming wire
- 22 to be posted to the account, and I would have a wire
- 23 confirmation attached to this email.
- 24 First, who are the recipients of this email?
- 25 Myself, Trevon, Anthony Murgio, and copied would be

- Hill Direct
- Kapcharge employees, Shoula, Kevin, Kirk. 1
- So just to make sure we understand, what does it mean, 2 Q.
- 3 "previous day origination totals"?
- 4 These were debit and credit originations from the day
- 5 before.
- 6 So these are the total amounts --
- 7 Yes, debits and total amounts for credits.
- So what you had entered the prior day --8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 -- using the spreadsheet; is that right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what are the totals here for credit posting and debit
- 13 posting?
- 14 A. For credit posting is \$22,499.69, and for debit posting,
- \$1,074,557.71. 15
- There's a reference -- below that little chart in the 16
- 17 email --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 -- there's a reference to a wire today? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 What's the total there? Q.
- 22 The total for the wire is \$869,701.
- 23 What, if anything, did you do with that wire that was sent
- 24 to you, the wire information that was provided to you?
- 25 I would first check if there was an attached wire

BB5 Hill - Direct

- confirmation, and, if so, I would post that wire to the 6527 account, which is Kapcharge's account with HOPE.
- 3 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we look at the next page 4 of this exhibit, please.
 - 0. What is this?
- 6 A. This is a wire confirmation.
 - Q. So this was the attachment to the email we were just
- 8 looking at, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.

5

- 10 | Q. Looking at this, do you know where the money was going from
- 11 and where it was going to, where the money was from and where
- 12 | it was going?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, can you ask that again?
- 14 | Q. Do you know where the money was being sent from, what
- 15 || entity or what -- who was the sender of this wire?
- 16 A. Kapcharge.
- 17 | Q. Who was the beneficiary?
- 18 A. HOPE FCU.
- 19 MR. SHIN: Could we look at the next page, Ms. Grant.
- 20 | Q. Do you see at the top there? Could you read that section
- 21 | there starting with the upper left? It seems a little obscured
- 22 by that corner.
- 23 A. That's the intermediary bank.
- 24 | Q. And what is the bank name?
- 25 A. HSBC Bank USA National Association.

- What's the address listed for that bank? 0.
- 452 Fifth Avenue, New York City, New York. Α.
- 3 Let's just look at one more example of this, Mr. Hill. 0.
- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you put up number 21 in 5 this set.
- What is this, Mr. Hill? 6
- 7 This is also a previous day origination and incoming wire
- email from Christine at Kapcharge to myself on October 15, 8
- 9 2014.
- 10 Are you the only recipient?
- 11 No, I'm not. It's to me, Trevon Gross, with others
- 12 attached, other Kapcharge employees, Kevin, Kirk, Shoula.
- 13 And also Anthony Murgio? 0.
- Α. And Anthony Murgio. 14
- 15 Ο. Is the overall content of this email generally similar to
- the one we looked at earlier? 16
- 17 It will have totals for -- credit posting totals for
- 18 debit posting and also the amount of the wire that was sent in
- today with an attachment of the wire confirmation. 19
- 20 Q. What's the total credit origination for debit posting in
- 21 this email?
- 22 The total for debit posting is \$2,616,300.61.
- 23 So just to be clear, what does that number have to do with
- 24 the other category of tasks that you were describing earlier?
- 25 What does that number have to do with what you were doing

otherwise?

- That's the total number of debits posted to that account. 2
- 3 Is that referencing to what you had entered in the day
- before from the spreadsheets? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- So what this means, just to be clear, is that the prior 6
- 7 day, you had entered in \$2.6 million worth of transactions from
- 8 a spreadsheet?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Could we just look at the next page of this
- 11 exhibit, please, Ms. Grant.
- 12 Is this another wire instruction?
- 13 This is another wire confirmation. Α.
- Again, is this similar to what we looked at previously? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could just turn to the 16
- 17 next page, please.
- 18 Q. What's the intermediary bank listed on this wire
- instruction? 19
- 20 A. HSBC Bank USA National Association. The address, 452 Fifth
- 21 Avenue, New York City, New York.
- 22 MR. SHIN: You can take that down, please, Ms. Grant.
- 23 So we've only looked at a couple of examples of each of
- 24 these things. How often were you getting -- let's start with
- 25 the spreadsheets. How often were you getting those?

Monday through Friday, every day.

So Monday through Friday, every day?

- 3 Α. Yes.

Α.

Q.

1

- Every week? 4 Q.
- 5 Every day, every week, Monday through Friday.
- 6 What about the other set, the previous day origination 0.
- 7 emails?
- Every day, Monday through Friday. 8
- 9 Who else was involved at HOPE FCU in dealing with ACH
- 10 transactions for Kapcharge?
- 11 Myself, Trevon, and Yuri Lebedev.
- 12 So what was Yuri Lebedev's role with respect to ACH for
- 13 Kapcharge?
- 14 A. He was just searching for third-party companies to help
- automate what I was doing manually. 15
- Why were you looking to automate what you were doing 16
- 17 manually?
- 18 A. Because it was the worst ever doing individual transactions
- 19 one by one for those totals. It would take hours and hours for
- 20 me to do that.
- 21 Q. What was Trevon Gross' role with respect to ACH for
- 22 Kapcharge?
- 23 To ensure that I entered everything correctly. He just
- double-checked to make sure that they were getting done because 24
- 25 I was -- you know, I would give up some days and not complete

it.

1

7

8

9

- So was he involved with you regularly in connection with 2 3 those tasks?
- A. Yes. They would have to be done on a daily basis, or 4 5 should have been done on a daily basis, so he just made sure that I was completing the task. 6
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could display, for the witness, GX 2164.
 - Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- 10 Yes. This is an email from myself to Trevon in reference Α. 11 to one of the Kapcharge emails about the previous day 12 originations.
 - The government offers 2164 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 14 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 15 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 17 2164 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2164 received in evidence) 18
- 19 If you could please publish that for the MR. SHIN: 20 jury, Ms. Grant.
- 21 Q. Just to orient ourselves, the bottom half of the email, is 22 that one of these previous day origination emails that we were
- 23 talking about earlier?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Just so we know, what's the total that we're talking about

4

5

6

15

Α.

here in this email?

- The total for credit posting is \$35,753.86. For debit 2
- 3 posting, \$1,884,860.87. I can't see if there's a wire.
 - I'm sorry, we'll move on. 0.
 - MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you focus on the top portion, the top half now, of the email.
- 7 What did Trevon Gross write to you in that email?
- 8 "Hey, I thought you said you were all done with posting 9 returns for last week."
- 10 And what did you respond? Ο.
- 11 "Returns for yesterday and the 25th? Sorry, I missed that
- 12 one. And the originations have been posted."
- 13 Just for clarity, what was this -- what was the posting 14 returns that you and Mr. Gross are discussing?
- day's originations, Kapcharge would also list any returns that 16

In addition to sending me spreadsheets and the previous

- needed to be posted for debit or credit, and I was supposed to 17
- check for those and have those posted to the account, also. 18
- 19 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could display, for the
- 20 witness, 2166, please.
- 21 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? Q.
- 22 Α. Yes. This is an email chain from Trevon to myself.
- 23 And it's dated October 1, 2014? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 The government offers 2166 into evidence. MR. SHIN:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

2166 is admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 2166 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Now, if we could just look at the very bottom email first. Sorry, if you could include the sender as well, so we could see who sent that email. Thank you.

BY MR. SHIN:

- So what is Christine Carida from Kapital Inc. writing to you here -- writing to you and others?
- 12 "Hello. We have sent in a wire today for \$2,251,591 to be 13 posted to the 6527 account."

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could just zoom in the entire top half of the email.

- What did Trevon Gross write to you? 0.
- "Did you post the wire transfer?" Α.
- What did you respond? Q.
- "No. You said not to." 19 Α.
- 20 What did he respond? 0.
- 21 "Our balance was still high last night." Α.
- 22 Ο. Do you know what he meant by that?
- 23 I don't remember, but I think, because this is at the end 24 of the month --
- 25 MR. KLINGEMAN: Objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Do you recall, while you were working at HOPE FCU with Trevon Gross, were there issues about balances being high from the night before? Did you ever encounter those issues?
- Only a couple of times.
 - Do you have an understanding of what the issue was those couple of times you encountered it?
- Yes. 8 Α.
- 9 Ο. What was it?
- 10 Towards the end of the month, the balances should 11 reflect -- should only reflect transactions that we were well 12 capitalized for.
 - So what does it mean for a balance to be still high?
- 14 That means that our transactions throughout that month were Α. 15 too high for our capitalization requirements. That means we only had a certain amount of operating capital and, therefore, 16
- 17 should only have a certain amount of transactions, but if our 18 balance was high, our transactions were way higher than they
- should be. 19
- 20 Q. You mentioned capitalization in your answer. In general
- 21 terms, can you describe what that is, capitalization or
- 22 capitalization requirements?
- 23 A. Yes. A credit union, such as ourselves, HOPE, we should
- have at least 10 percent of our transaction volume on our 24
- 25 operating account.

2

5

- How is it that you know about this?
- I learned from Trevon. Α.
- 3 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please display, for
- the witness, 2169. 4
 - Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- This is an email from Trevon to myself on October 2nd 6 Yes. 7 of 2014.
- The government offers 2169 into evidence. 8 MR. SHIN:
- 9 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
 - MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 2169 is admitted.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 2169 received in evidence)
- 14 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please publish that
- 15 for the jury.
- 16 Could you please read the bottom email that you wrote,
- 17 Mr. Hill?
- 18 "Hey there. How's everything? Need me to do anything?
- 19 Any reply about workstation ID? I only have to post returns
- 20 for today."
- 21 Why were you writing "Need me to do anything"?
- 22 I will always check in with Trevon to see if there was
- 23 anything that needs to be done on the back end of the CU.
- 24 How regularly would you check in with him?
- 25 Only when I didn't have any tasks or when I had completed

- any other tasks that he had given me before. 1
- Now, what's this reference here to "workstation ID"? 2 Q.
- 3 The workstation that was sent to the office, to the
- 4 Tallahassee location, it had to be set up. So I was still
- 5 awaiting for my workstation ID to be reset because I locked
- 6 myself out of it trying to set it up and putting in the wrong
- 7 password, so I had to get a new one. I had to get a new
- workstation ID. 8
- 9 Did Mr. Gross provide you any direction here?
- 10 Yes. He just told me to call CU Base and have them walk me
- 11 through how to set it up.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could display, for the
- 13 witness, 2170, please.
- 14 Do you recognize this document? Q.
- This is an email from Trevon to myself on 15 Α.
- October 2nd. 16
- 17 The government offers 2170 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 18 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 19 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 21 2170 is admitted.
- 22 (Government's Exhibit 2170 received in evidence)
- 23 MR. SHIN: Would you please publish that for the jury,
- 24 Ms. Grant.

25

What's the subject line here?

- "Workstation ID." 1 Α.
- 2 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could highlight the lower
- 3 half of the email -- of the document, please.
- 4 Just at the top there, Mr. Hill, what did Trevon Gross
- 5 write?
- 6 "See below. Did Greg call you?" Α.
- 7 Do you know what that's in reference to?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 What is it? Ο.
- 10 They're from CU South, who was going to help me set up the
- 11 workstation.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you highlight the top half
- 13 of the email, please.
- 14 What did you respond? Q.
- 15 Α. "No. I spoke with Keith Kramer. I have workstation ID,
- but have to call helpline to set up the GUAPLLE in the a.m." 16
- Do you know how to spell GUAPLLE? 17 Ο.
- 18 Only from me looking at it here. G-U-A-P-L-L-E. Α.
- 19 And what did Trevon Gross respond? Q.
- 20 "They are still open to 6:00." Α.
- 21 Did you have an understanding of what he meant by telling Q.
- 22 you they're open till 6:00?
- 23 I should call right now, I got nine minutes.
- 24 Ο. So he expected you to call right away?
- 25 Α. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could display, for the witness, 2171, please.

- Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- This is the email from Trevon to myself. Α.
- It's dated October 3rd, 2014?
- Α. Yes.
- 7 The government offers 2171 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- MR. CREIZMAN: No objections. 8
- 9 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objections.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 2171 is admitted.
- 12 (Government's Exhibit 2171 received in evidence)
- 13 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish that for 14 the jury.
- 15 If you could highlight the top half. A little further 16 down. Thank you.
- 17 What does Mr. Gross write at the bottom?
- 18 "Greg is calling for you." Α.
- 19 There's an exclamation point there? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- What do you respond? 21 Q.
- 22 Α. "I'm on with CU answer still setting up the GUAPLLE."
- 23 What does Mr. Gross respond? 0.
- 24 Α. "Let me know when it's resolved."
- 25 Now, we've looked at --

1 MR. SHIN: We can take the exhibit down, Ms. Grant.

- We've looked at several emails on this issue with the 2
- 3 workstation ID. Do you recall this -- in addition to these
- 4 emails, do you recall this issue coming up at the time?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- Do you recall your discussions with Mr. Gross? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you get a sense from your conversations the urgency
- 9 with which he wanted you to deal with this?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Could you describe what that was?
- 12 Well, he wanted to make sure that I stayed on top of it
- 13 because it was -- it had been going on for a couple of weeks
- 14 now, and it was important that I get it set up, so I can start
- doing the work and doing my working tasks on the workstation 15
- that was sent to me. So he just made sure that I stayed on top 16
- 17 of it until I got it done.
- 18 He was directing you? 0.
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could display, for the
- 21 witness, 2176.
- 22 Do you recognize this document?
- 23 It's one of Kapcharge's previous day originations and
- 24 incoming wire forwarded. Trevon is replying to it for me.
- 25 The government offers 2176 into evidence. MR. SHIN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

MR. KLINGEMAN:	No	objection.
----------------	----	------------

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. It's admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 2176 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: If we could publish that for the jury,

Ms. Grant.

- Q. Just generally, is this bottom half another one of those previous day origination emails?
- A. Yes, with a wire attached -- I mean with a wire and a wire confirmation attached.
- Q. What does Trevon Gross write to you at the top of that email?
- 13 "Please confirm receipt and post today." Α.

MR. SHIN: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.

If you could please display, for the witness, 2182.

- Do you recognize this document? 0.
 - Yes. It's also an email from Trevon to myself. Α.
- It's dated October 9, 2014?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 The government offers 2182. MR. SHIN:
- 21 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 22 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 2182 is admitted.
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 2182 received in evidence)
- 25 (Continued on next page)

2

5

6

14

- BY MR. SHIN:
- Now, Mr. Hill, if you could look at the bottom.
- 3 MR. SHIN: Actually, Ms. Grant, if you could flip to
- the second page? 4
 - Q. Is this another one of those previous-day origination emails?
- 7 A. Yes, with also a wire and an attached wire confirmation.
- 8 MR. SHIN: If we could turn to the first page,
- 9 Ms. Grant? Let's begin at the bottom. The bottom half of the 10 page.
- 11 Q. What does Mr. Gross write there? Do you see right in the 12 middle there on Thursday?
- 13 "Has this been handled? Have you asked for A. Yes.

verification? I have not seen any responses."

- 15 MR. SHIN: Then if you could scroll up, Ms. Grant, so 16 we could see the next response? You can just highlight the top 17 half, please. Thank you. Let's capture the entire top half,
- 18 please.
- 19 What was your response?
- 20 "Yes. I'm sorry. I replied to Christine." Α.
- 21 What did you mean by that? Q.
- 22 I did reply to Christine, but I apologized if he didn't see
- 23 any response. I would always let them know that I received it
- 24 and I was on it. I was going to post it.
- 25 All right. And what did Mr. Gross respond?

Hill - Direct

- 1 "Please always copy me so that we can have checks and balances." 2
- 3 So when you replied to Christine, had you copied Mr. Gross?
- 4 No, probably not this time. I probably missed it. But I Α.
- 5 did that at times, I missed it.
- 6 Do you know why he wanted you to copy him?
- 7 Just so he could -- he can always know what was coming
- 8 through, what was being posted. Just another way to keep
- 9 posted on my task.
- 10 So he wanted to keep tabs on you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. KLINGEMAN: I'm sorry. I couldn't catch counsel's 12
- 13 question.
- 14 MR. SHIN: I had said, "So he wanted to keep tabs on
- 15 you."
- 16 MR. KLINGEMAN: Thank you.
- 17 MR. SHIN: You can take that down, Ms. Grant.
- 18 Ms. Grant, could you queue up Government's
- 19 Exhibit 2502, and also the corresponding transcript, 2502-T?
- 20 THE COURT: Just while you're getting that, I'll
- 21 invite the jury to stand and stretch with me.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you publish 2502-T, the
- 25 transcript? Yes.

- BY MR. SHIN:
- Mr. Hill, have you seen this document before? 2
- 3 Α. Yes.

- 4 What is it? Q.
- 5 It's a call between myself, Anthony, Trevon, and
- Kapcharge -- well, Sheila, Mark, and Kevin from Kapcharge. 6
- 7 All right. The recording that corresponds with this, have
- 8 you listened to the recording?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Just to be clear, you were a participant on this call?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 And how do you know that you were a participant on this
- 13 call?
- 14 How do I know that? Α.
- 15 Q. Right. Did you say --
- I heard myself --16 Α.
- 17 Please. Q.
- 18 I heard myself on the call.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could start playing the 19
- 20 recording, please, and have the transcript follow along for the
- 21 jury's benefit?
- 22 (Recording played)
- 23 THE COURT: Let's pause, please. We're going to take
- 24 our midafternoon break. About 10 minutes, members of the jury.
- 25 (Continued on next page)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Jury not present)

THE COURT: How much is left in this audio?

MR. SHIN: I believe this audio only has about four minutes left or so.

THE COURT: And then are we straight into the meeting audio?

MR. SHIN: No, that comes later, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you going to finish your direct today?

It is looking -- I'm more pessimistic than MR. SHIN: I was earlier, your Honor. There are other parts of the story still to remain.

MR. KLINGEMAN: I wanted to excuse the witness.

THE COURT: That's fine. Yes. Thank you.

You may step down.

Thank you, Mr. Klingeman.

MR. SHIN: I'll wait, your Honor.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. SHIN: So just seeing where we are now in my outline, your Honor, it does appear that we won't be able to finish today. We had been hoping to play a portion of that recording. There was some that we were going to chop off, defense counsel wanted the entire record for completeness. Not to completely lay the blame on them, we didn't give them a ton of time to review it, so I think we share that responsibility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, your Honor.

I mentioned that there's a shorter recording that we're planning on playing, and then the large November meeting recording, but there are also some other topics of testimony that I'm planning to cover with the witness, and so at this point, given that it's already almost 3:30, I don't think we're going to finish today, your Honor, the direct. And I apologize for being perhaps overly optimistic earlier slash not very good at estimating my time here.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll return shortly.

Anything to take up before we go?

MR. NOBLE: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Matters to take up?

Nothing from the government, your Honor. MR. SHIN:

THE COURT: Okay. Anything, folks, before we bring the jury back? Let's give them a minute warning. Thank you.

A few minutes left in this, and then about how long until we get to the next audio? I guess my basic question is, will we get to the longer audio today, correct?

MR. SHIN: I don't think we're going to get into that today, no, your Honor. That audio is one of the last areas of the direct, your Honor, and so we'll get through a few more areas of direct that I expect to get to, and I anticipate

Hill - Direct

tomorrow will be that meeting, we'll get into that meeting and including that audio, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's get the jury. I should tell you, just on scheduling, the Tuesday appointment issue seems to have resolved itself. The juror was able to make a morning appointment. We still have the Wednesday leave slightly early issue, which I'll let them know at the end of the day. Let's bring them in.

(Continued on next page)

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Shin, you may proceed.

3 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, may we resume the playing of

the recording?

4

5

6

7

8

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SHIN: Thank you.

(Recording played)

- BY MR. SHIN:
- 9 | O. Mr. Hill.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did there come a time when Trevon Gross raised concerns
- 12 | about ACH processing for Kapcharge?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Generally speaking, what were the concerns?
- 15 A. The volume, the high amount that we were doing on a daily
- 16 | basis.
- 17 | Q. And you had discussions with him about his concerns?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you display for Mr. Hill
- 20 | 1427-D?
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 22 | A. Yes. This is an email from Trevon and myself -- to Anthony
- 23 | with myself copied on September 17th of 2014.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Government offers 1427-D into evidence.
- MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. 1427-D admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 1427-D received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Could you publish for the jury, please?

And could you zoom in on the lower half of the document?

BY MR. SHIN:

- Q. Mr. Hill, so at the bottom there, I'll read what Trevon Gross wrote. "Hey, I got a call from them and they have more questions about our processing. Kapcharge is putting through
- 10 \$1 million per day so they are very nervous." Did I read that
- 11 correctly?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 How did Anthony Murgio respond? 0.
- 14 "So what should we do?" Α.
- 15 Q. Trevon Gross responded, "I'll talk to them. They are just
- We just need to have on record all paperwork. This 16
- 17 week alone we have moved over \$5 million. I don't know too
- many credit unions doing that." Is that accurate? 18
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Top half of the email, please, Ms. Grant. MR. SHIN:
- 21 And how did Anthony Murgio respond?
- 22 Α. "Oh, yeah. That's how we do. Let's go. Ha ha.
- 23 seriously, I want to make sure that we have everything they
- 24 want and you want so all parties will feel comfortable. Do you
- 25 know the fees we made?"

7

- How did Mr. Gross respond, at the very top email?
- 2 "LOL! I will talk with them tomorrow and put them at Α. 3 ease."
- 4 I didn't mention this at the beginning. What is the Q. 5 subject line of this email?
- "Alloya". 6 Α.
 - Could you remind the jurors what Alloya was?
- They're a corporate credit union. They're HOPE FCU's 8 9 corporate credit union.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please display for Mr. Hill 2155? 11
- 12 Do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. Yes, this is an email from Trevon to myself with 14 attachments on September 29th of 2014.
- MR. SHIN: Government offers 2155 into evidence. 15
- 16 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 17 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 2155 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2155 received in evidence) 19
- 20 MR. SHIN: Please publish this for the jury.
- 21 Ms. Grant, could you actually turn to the attachment, the
- BY MR. SHIN: 23

22

- 24 Mr. Hill, in the upper right-hand corner, do you see the
- 25 name next to business consultant?

second page of the attachment?

- Α. Yes.

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- What's the name? 2 Q.
- 3 Sandy Albertson. Α.
- 4 Do you recognize that name? Q.
- 5 Yes. She's from Alloya. Α.
- 6 MR. SHIN: We can zoom out, please, Ms. Grant.
 - So is this an Alloya document, this attachment? Q.
 - Α. Yes.
- 9 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please turn to the 10 prior page?
- 11 Q. Mr. Hill, could you actually read the footnote at the 12 bottom of this page?
- 13 "Capital Solutions wires into HOPE deposits of 1.5 million Α. 14 to be sent via ACH to others."
- 15 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you turn to the email? 16 Zooming in on the email.
 - Q. Could you please read that first paragraph of what Trevon Gross wrote to you and Mr. Murgio?
 - "Hey, guys. It was a brief call. I basically said stop telling us what we can't do and tell us what we need to do to keep going. They were questioning capitalization based on the transactions of Kapcharge. I asked them to just give us a number so they are going to take a couple of days to get back Basically here's what we know. They're going to want to see 10 percent of transactions to enter in the account.

Hill - Direct

- They are using the attached document. The issue is though that 1
- this would have to be revenue to the CU and not in members' 2
- 3 reserves. It basically boils down to having about 150K on the
- 4 books as revenue."
- 5 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you display for the
- witness 2168? 6

7

8

- Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: Could we have the last paragraph of that exhibit read, as well?
- 10 THE COURT: Yes. If you could go back and just show 11 the last paragraph.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Read it?
- 13 MR. KLINGEMAN: If I could have the witness read it, 14 please.
- THE WITNESS: "The truth is." 15
- 16 MR. SHIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: No. You can do it on cross.
- 18 Move on.
- 19 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2168, please.
- 20 BY MR. SHIN:
- 21 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?
- 22 A. Yes. This is an email from Trevon to myself with a couple
- 23 people attached, Jose, Anthony, on October 2nd of 2014.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2168 into evidence.
- 25 No objection. MR. KLINGEMAN:

- MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- THE COURT: Thank you. 2168 is admitted. 2
- (Government's Exhibit 2168 received in evidence) 3
- 4 MR. SHIN: Would you please publish it for the jury,
- 5 Ms. Grant? Actually, could we look at the attachment? If you
- could zoom in to the blue block on the left. 6
- 7 BY MR. SHIN:
- Q. Mr. Hill, what's the total volume of credits and debits in 8
- 9 September of 2014?
- 10 The total credits in September, '14 are \$10,513,960, and
- 11 the total debits for September, 2014 is \$115,717.
- 12 Q. Reading this document, do you understand what the numbers
- 13 next to those dollar amounts are, the 4,029 and the 389?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- What are those? 15 Q.
- Transactions. 16 Α.
- 17 Those are the numbers of transactions?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 So those are the numbers of transactions you entered during
- 20 that month?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. A lot of typing.
- 23 I told you. Ha ha. Α.
- 24 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2178-A for the witness, please?
- 25 Do you recognize this document, Mr. Hill?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Α. Yes. This is an email from Trevon to Anthony with myself attached from October 9th of 2014.

MR. SHIN: Government offers 2178-A into evidence.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you. 2178-A is admitted.

(Government's Exhibit 2178-A received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Could we publish that to the jury, please?

BY MR. SHIN:

- Could you please read this email that Trevon Gross wrote?
- 11 "Hey Anthony. Alloya got back to us and here's what they
- 12 are saying based on our current activity. We would need to
- 13 place permanently with them 160K. They would then give us 50
- 14 times as a line of credit which is \$8 million. Once we give
- 15 them this 160K it is a permanent investment with them. We get
- interest, but we can never, ever, ever get it back. 16
- always ours, but we cannot redeem it. \$2 million in prefunding 17
- 18 for transactions that they will sweep every day and then return
- 19 after settlement, show that we have been trained by the payment
- 20 authority, that we have a dedicated individual to ACH
- 21 transactions."
- 22 Q. Mr. Hill, do you recall this issue with the \$160,000 and
- 23 Alloya?
- 24 Α. Yes.

25

Could you just explain to the jury just in general terms

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

what was going on?

- A. After Alloya noticed the amount of transactions we were doing, they let us know that we needed to have this permanent deposit at their CU, at their corporate CU, but it was a permanent deposit which we earn interest on, but this was the only way they would give us enough line of credit to continue
- 8 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2190 for the witness, please? 9 Do you recognize this document? 0.
 - Α. Yes. This is an email from myself to Trevon about our Alloya origination summary from October 17, 2014.
 - MR. SHIN: Government offers 2190 into evidence.
 - MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
 - MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 2190 is admitted.

making the transactions that we were doing.

- (Government's Exhibit 2190 received in evidence) 16
- 17 MR. SHIN: May we publish that for the jury, please? 18 Ms. Grant, if you could actually turn all the way to the last 19 page of the attachment? First, if we could zoom in on the
- 20 title, the header at the top?
- 21 BY MR. SHIN:
- 22 Mr. Hill, what time period is this document regarding?
- 23 This is between October 1st of 2014 to October 17th of
- 24 2014.

25

And what is the title of this document?

- "ACH release log for HOPE FCU". Α.
- 2 Do you know what that means, "ACH release log"? Q.
- 3 Α. No.

- 4 Is it fair to say it has something to do with ACH at HOPE Q.
- 5 FCU?
- A. Yes. 6
- 7 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we zoom in on the totals
- 8 at the bottom?
- 9 Q. Mr. Hill, what is the total credits in dollars that's
- 10 reflected here?
- 11 Total credits equal \$17,228,866.97.
- And total debits? 12 Q.
- 13 Total debits, \$412,097.53. Damn. Α.
- 14 This was only for part of the month? Q.
- 15 Α. Like 16 days.
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could please display for 16
- 17 the witness 2192?
- 18 Do you recognize this document?
- This is an email from myself. I forwarded it to 19 A. Yes.
- 20 myself to have the fees open up. It has an attachment on it
- 21 about the September fee that HOPE was charging Kapcharge to
- 22 transact.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Government offers 2192 into evidence.
- 24 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 25 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

Hill - Direct

- THE COURT: Thank you. 2192 is admitted. 1
- 2 (Government's Exhibit 2192 received in evidence)
- 3 MR. SHIN: Could we please publish this for the jury,
- Ms. Grant? Let's focus on the bottom email, Ms. Grant. 4
- BY MR. SHIN: 5
- 6 Q. Mr. Hill, who was sending this document and to who was it 7 being sent?
- A. Christine from Kapcharge was sending it to Trevon, myself, 8
- 9 and she's -- she's copied Kapcharge employees, as well as
- 10 Anthony Murgio.
- And the subject here is "September fees"? 11
- September fees, correct. 12
- 13 Could you please read the first line under "Hi"? 0.
- 14 "Please find attached the fees calculation for Α.
- 15 September 1st through the 29th of 2014. Total fees have been
- calculated at \$1,613.25. Please verify the calculation for 16
- 17 both August and September so that the fees can be posted
- accordingly. Thank you." 18
- Q. Mr. Hill, do you have an understanding of what fees are 19
- 20 being discussed here in this email?
- 21 A. Fees that HOPE FCU was charging Kapcharge for the ACH
- 22 transactions.
- 23 Q. Do you recall from a few exhibits ago the volume, the
- 24 approximate volume for September that HOPE FCU processed for
- 25 Kapcharge?

- Hill Direct
- Not exactly the number, but I know it was millions. 1
- Does about 10 million seem about right? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 So from this email, what's the total in fees that HOPE FCU Q.
- 5 received for processing \$10 million of ACH transactions?
- Just over \$1,600. Ha ha. 6 Α.
- 7 How much were you receiving for your position on the board?
- How much were you being paid for your position on the board? 8
- 9 \$417 per month. Α.
- 10 And each of the other board members were receiving that
- 11 amount?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 How much did you earn at Coin.mx? 0.
- 14 About \$2,000 per month. Α.
- 15 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, the government would now like
- to play another recording. This one is much shorter than the 16
- 17 prior one, about seven and a half minutes long.
- THE COURT: Go ahead. 18
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could queue up 19
- 20 Exhibit 2505 and the corresponding transcript 2505-T.
- 21 Before we start playing it, Ms. Grant, could you
- 22 highlight the top portion?
- BY MR. SHIN: 23
- 24 Do you recognize this transcript that's on your screen?
- 25 Α. Yes.

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 486 Filed 04/07/17 Page 200 of 254

H2NOLEB6 Hill - Direct Have you looked at this transcript previously? Α. Yes. Q. And have you also listened to the corresponding recording? A. Yes, I have. MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, why don't we play the recording and follow along in the transcript. (Recording played) (Continued on next page)

- BY MR. SHIN: 1
- Mr. Hill, did you hear during -- or following along on the 2
- 3 transcript of that recording, did you hear or read reference to
- 4 a donation?
- 5 Yes. Α.
- Just to be clear, did you have an understanding of what 6
- 7 Mr. Gross meant by donation in this conversation?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 What was that? Ο.
- 10 Kap donating what would be the permanent deposit that
- 11 Alloya was asking for, which was 160,000.
- At the very beginning of your testimony, you talked about 12
- 13 how there was 150,000 to 200,000 dollars of payments that would
- 14 be made to Mr. Gross and his church in exchange for control of
- the credit union? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Have you ever heard that being described as a donation?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 So, just to be clear, this donation and that donation, are
- 20 they the same or different?
- 21 No, they're completely different. Α.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2207 for the witness, please.
- 24 Do you recognize this document? 0.
- 25 This is an email from Trevon to our contacts at Α. Yes.

5

Hill - direct

- Alloya and with myself attached. 1
- 2 The government offers 2207 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 3 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
 - MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
 - THE COURT: Thank you.
- 2207 is admitted. 6
- 7 (Government's Exhibit 2207 received in evidence)
- Q. Mr. Hill, could you read the subject line and then the body 8 9 of the email?
- Subject line is "ACH Processing." It reads: "Sandy, our 10
- 11 board has agreed to make a capital deposit. Please inform us
- how this can be done." 12
- 13 Q. Mr. Hill, do you have an understanding of what Mr. Gross is
- 14 agreeing to do here?
- 15 A. To make the permanent deposit of 160K that Alloya requested
- for us to process at the rate we were. 16
- 17 Q. Do you see the reference in Mr. Gross' email about the
- 18 board having agreed to make the capital deposit?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Was there a board meeting at which this was discussed? Ο.
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Do you recall there being an October board meeting?
- 23 No, I don't remember, but this was done on a call with
- 24 myself, Anthony, and Trevon.
- 25 So, the three of you decided to make the deposit?

Yes.

- Were any other board members involved? Q.
- 3 Α. No.

Α.

1

2

7

8

9

10

- 4 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2215, please. Just for the witness, please. Thank you. 5
- 6 Do you recognize this document?
 - This is an email from Trevon to myself about our daily limit increase request -- I'm sorry, from Trevon to our -- one of our third-party ACH processors, which was Magic Wrighter, and I am attached.
 - MR. SHIN: The government offers 2215 into evidence.
- 12 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 13 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 15 2215 is admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2215 received in evidence) 16
- 17 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please publish that 18 for the jury.
- 19 Q. You mentioned Magic Wrighter as being another entity that 20 you worked with on ACH?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 What did Magic Wrighter do?
- 23 Magic Wrighter was able to automate the process that I was 24 doing manually, and basically they can do it a hundred times
- 25 faster than me. So instead of sending me an individual file

- Hill direct
- that I will -- instead of sending me an individual spreadsheet 1
- 2 that I will then enter the transactions manually, with Magic
- 3 Wrighter, you can send a batch of spreadsheets that they can
- 4 process just as quickly.
- 5 Q. Was Magic Wrighter -- were they a service provider in
- 6 addition to Alloya, or was it a replacement for Alloya? What
- 7 was the relative situation there?
- In addition to Alloya. 8 Α.
- 9 So HOPE FCU worked with both? Ο.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Could you please read what Trevon Gross wrote in this email
- 12 to Magic Wrighter?
- 13 Α. "Hi, Brooke. This is my formal request to increase the
- 14 daily and batch risk limits" --
- 15 Q. Even if it's ungrammatical, you can read it.
- -- "or increase to \$5 million. Thank vou." 16 Α.
- 17 Do you know what that means, "daily and batch risk limits"? Q.
- 18 We want to increase our daily limit to \$5 million per day. Α.
- 19 And this was with respect to the ACH for Kapcharge? Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2217, please, for the witness.
- 22 Do you recognize this document? Q.
- 23 This is an email from Trevon to myself on Α. Yes.
- 24 November 4th of 2014. It has attachments about our ACH
- 25 transaction volume report.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

The government offers 2217 into evidence.

MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2217 is admitted.

MR. SHIN:

(Government's Exhibit 2217 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish for the jury, please.

- Q. Mr. Hill, is Mr. Gross receiving the report in the bottom email?
- 11 A. Yes, from the payment authority.

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could turn to the attachment, please. And if you could zoom in on the blue box on the left.

- Q. Mr. Hill, what was -- we saw the September number in an earlier exhibit; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- And what's the October volume of ACH? 18
- A. The October total credits? The total credit for October is 19 20 \$32,933,210, and the total debits for October, \$1,099,705.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- 22 MR. SHIN: You can take that exhibit down, Ms. Grant.
- 23 Q. Mr. Hill, do you remember we looked at that earlier email
- 24 in which Mr. Gross approved an increase to \$5 million per day?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Hill direct
- 1 Do you know whether that meant business day, versus seven
- 2 days a week, versus five business days a week?
- 3 I don't know.

- Would you be able to approximate what a \$5 million per day 4 Q.
- 5 limit would mean in a month, if you just counted business days?
 - Yes. Approximately five times 25 or five times 20. Α.
- 7 Okay. Which is what? Q.
- At millions? 8 Α. 100.
- 9 \$100 million? Ο.
- 10 \$100 million in a month. Α.
- 11 In a month if we just count business days?
- 12 Α. If we just count business days.
- 13 MR. SHIN: 2277 for the witness, please.
- Do you recognize this document? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. Yes. This is an email from Trevon to Anthony, with myself
- 16 attached, from November 4th of 2014 about our daily limit
- 17 increase request.
- 18 The government offers 2277 into evidence, MR. SHIN:
- 19 please.
- 20 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 21 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 23 It's admitted.
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 2277 received in evidence)
- 25 Mr. Hill, if you could review the email until you have a

Hill - direct

- sense of what this email is about. It's several pages long, 1
- and we can ask Ms. Grant to page through it to the extent you 2
- 3 need more context.
- Yes, I remember this. 4 Α.
- 5 Generally speaking, what's this about? Ο.
- This is when we found Magic Wrighter with the help from one 6
- 7 of our contacts at the FRB.
 - If you look at the Anthony Murgio email there --
- 9 Α. Uh-huh.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Zoom in.
- 11 -- could you read that last paragraph of Mr. Murgio's
- email? 12

- 13 From "we then"? Α.
- 14 Yes, "we then." Q.
- "We then got with them Monday morning and figured out this 15 Α.
- solution in one day. They're setup timeline is two weeks. 16
- 17 Ricardo really helped in grinding things out, and we are back
- up." 18
- Q. Do you know what this is referring to about figuring out a 19
- 20 solution, and your helping in grinding things out, and being
- 21 back up?
- 22 MR. CREIZMAN: Objection.
- 23 MR. SHIN: Your Honor, I asked if he knew.
- 24 THE COURT: You're asking if he had an understanding?
- 25 Yes, your Honor. MR. SHIN:

2

THE COURT: All right, I'll allow it.

- THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
- 3 And what is that understanding?
- 4 A lawyer had cut us off, and we weren't able to process, we Α.
- 5 were down for a few days. So we were frantically trying to
- 6 find a solution to process ACH for Kap, and we found Magic
- 7 Wrighter and figured it out. And this is what this is about.
- 8 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could zoom in on the top
- 9 email.
- 10 And what is Mr. Gross' response there?
- "Awesome." 11 Α.
- 12 Q. Now, you referred --
- 13 MR. SHIN: We can take down the exhibit, please.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 You referred to Alloya cutting you off? Q.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 What do you mean by that? Ο.
- They weren't --18 Α.
- What does cutting you off mean? 19 Q.
- 20 They cut us off from originating our ACH transactions. Α.
- 21 Just to be clear, we're talking about HOPE FCU here, right? Q.
- 22 Α. HOPE FCU, correct.
- 23 Were there discussions between you and other people at HOPE
- 24 FCU and Alloya regarding this potential termination?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Who was involved in those discussions?
- 2 Myself, Anthony, Trevon, and our contacts at Alloya that Α.
- 3 basically gave us a week to ten-day window to find another
- 4 account because they was closing ours down.
- 5 They cut you off even though Trevon Gross had approved the
- 6 \$160,000 deposit?
- 7 A. Yes, but we didn't -- Kapcharge never made the donation of
- 8 our 160K. He just simply told them that we would do so, but
- 9 Anthony and I couldn't give a confirmation from Kapcharge to
- 10 say that they were going to make a permanent donation of 160K.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2228 for the witness, please.
- 12 Do you recognize this document?
- 13 This is the email from Sandra Albertson from Alloya Α. Yes.
- 14 to Trevon and myself attached. This is about the termination
- 15 of ACH services.
- The government offers 2228 into evidence, 16 MR. SHIN:
- 17 please.
- 18 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 2228 is admitted without objection.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 2228 received in evidence)
- 22 MR. SHIN: If we could publish this for the jury,
- 23 please.
- 24 0. What's being conveyed on this email?
- 25 That this is an official letter terminating all of our ACH Α.

abilities with Alloya.

- 2 MR. SHIN: If we could flip to the attached letter, 3 please, Ms. Grant.
- Who is this letter from? 4 Ο.
- 5 Α. Alloya.
- And who is it addressed to? 6
- 7 Α. Trevon Gross.
- If you could just generally describe what this letter is 8 9 saying?
- 10 This is the official letter terminating ACH origination 11 processing through Alloya. It was ending at the end of 12 October, but I mean, it gave us, I think, like seven to ten 13 days, and then we got the official letter. And that's what
- 14 this is.
- Q. Okay. 15
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you please publish, for 16 the jury, Exhibit 4507, which is the WhatsApp chat Rico 101. 17
- Q. Mr. Hill --18
- Yes. 19 Α.
- 20 -- do you have this on your screen? Ο.
- 21 THE COURT: Did this come in before?
- 22 MR. SHIN: This came in at the beginning of our 23 resumption. We put all of the WhatsApp chats in, save one, at 24 the same time.
- 25 Right. Go ahead. Thank you. THE COURT:

- BY MR. SHIN: 1
- 2 Do you recognize this? Q.
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 What is this? Q.
- 5 A WhatsApp chat between myself and Anthony Murgio.
- 6 So, just to be clear, when it's in the from column, Rico 0.
- 7 101 is whom?
- That's me. That's me. 8 Α.
- 9 Ο. And "me" is whom?
- 10 Α. Anthony Murgio.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could we scroll down to line
- 12 644, please.
- 13 Q. Now, Mr. Hill, I'm going to ask you to read -- we're just
- 14 going to read a few lines here, but I'm going to ask you to
- read your chats, and I'll read Anthony Murgio's chats. So, 15
- let's start with line 644, please. 16
- 17 "Kapcharge is now requesting Magic Wrighter to increase
- 30-day limit to 50 million. Trevon will need to approve before 18
- I send request." 19
- 20 "Wow, this is what that we're doing." 0.
- 21 Α. "What?"
- 22 "They're doing about 1.5 million a day before, so if you Q.
- 23 multiply 1.5 times 30, you get about 50 million. So it's not
- 24 increasing what they were doing. Is that what I'm say cut
- 25 off?"

- "How is this not already done? I thought we raised it to 1 Α.
- 2 100 million already. Like, never mind, I'll figure it out."
- 3 "Right, I did as well." 0.
- "Trevon would need to approve whatever it is anyway. 4 Α. Ι
- 5 won't be pulling the trigger just because Kap says so. Speak
- up, please." 6
- 7 "Sounds good." Q.
- So we'll stop there. 8
- 9 In this discussion of increasing Magic Wrighter
- 10 limits --
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 -- you told Mr. Murgio that Trevon will need to approve --
- 13 Α. Correct.
- 14 -- whatever it is anyway; is that correct? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And that you wouldn't be pulling the trigger? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Right.
- 18 Why is it that you needed Trevon to approve?
- 19 I will always go to Trevon first before I do anything.
- 20 mean, there was an instance where I made a request on my own,
- 21 and Trevon chewed me out because I raised the limits without
- 22 asking him first, without getting him to approve it first, and
- 23 it was a request from Kapcharge, and I sent the request to
- 24 Magic Wrighter, and once Trevon found out, he chewed me out,
- 25 telling me I need to always run it past him.

- So you followed that instruction?
- Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for these decisions."

MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could put up Government Exhibit 4502, which is the HOPE CU WhatsApp chat. And if you could publish that for the jury as well.

Ms. Grant, if you could scroll down to line 3332.

Starting there, Mr. Hill, let's just -- I would propose that we just take turns reading, just so we can get through this, because there are multiple participants in this chart.

- I'll start, and then we'll just take turns, okay?
- 11 Α. Okay.
 - So Trevon Gross writes: "To all: Please be advised that as of this morning, the CU has ceased to be able to function as a financial institution. Because of overly aggressive decisions made over the past three months, our correspondent bank terminated our settlement ability. This was completely avoidable. Traveling to New Jersey is a waste of time and money because unless we can get a correspondent bank, we can't function. I have been making calls all day trying to get someone to take us on, but word has spread that we are risky. You should know this because we, as board members, are liable
 - "What was voted on? What was voted on as a board that invited risk?"
 - Just for the record, that was Tim Ellrich. MR. SHIN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- So, going forward, Mr. Hill, if you could define the person.
- Α. Say so.
- So then Kevin Tomasso writes: "This is obviously very Ο. serious, and I am sure the main officers will be scrambling today, but can we figure out a forum or meeting where we can discuss the implications of this?"
 - A. Rico replies: "Trevon, we were under the impression that we were operating within our parameters. We know Alloya had issues with certain merchants, which we provided all documentation to negate any suspicion." As following an aggressive -- "As far as an aggressive approach, we" waited --

"we awaited the go-ahead to open the floodgates."

Q. Trevon Gross writes: "The board made no decisions, but allowed this to happen. I'd like to, because this is serious, if a member has a car payment that comes through, it will be returned. Who would trust a bank after something like this happens?"

And, Mr. Hill, I'll read the next one as well just because it's the same person.

Trevon Gross: "Ricardo, the current approach was risky, and this flag was raised and ignored. It was the volume and the capitalization. In October, we had over \$30 million pass through the CU with no buffer or capitalization."

Rico: "Yes, I see. Can you please get on the call and get

- Hill direct
- updated about moving forward, or what's next, or email a 1
- 3 Just pausing there, Mr. Hill, do you see in line 3363 --
- 4 Α. Yes.

recap?"

- 5 Q. -- do you see that Mr. Gross wrote, "The board made no
- 6 decisions, but allowed this to happen"?
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Did Trevon Gross raise concerns about ACH transaction 8
- 9 volume during board meetings?
- 10 Not during board meetings. Α.
- 11 0. He raised them outside of the context of board meetings?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 In discussions with you? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- And with who else? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. And Anthony.
- 17 Was Anthony Murgio on the board? Q.
- 18 Α. No.
- So during proper board meetings of the HOPE FCU board of 19
- 20 directors, did Mr. Gross raise these concerns?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Can we scroll down, Ms. Grant. So let's
- 23 pick up on 3375.
- 24 Q. I'll pick up there.
- 25 Mr. Murgio writes: "But we did discuss this, and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

under quidance, were told that all is fine as long as our 1 capitalization was brought in line by the end of the quarter. 2

I wrote an email saying I don't think we should wait for the donation, and we should get it ASAP. I was told it's not urgent. Please confirm."

Mr. Murgio continues: "These things don't sit well I was also told to tell Kap to stop until we get with with me. the Fed. I did that as well."

Mr. Murgio continues: "Please confirm."

And Mr. Hill?

- Trevon replies: "Capitalization is an internal matter that we need to be concerned about. Alloya's issue and Magic Wrighter was the amount of transactions, which I have consistently said were risky. You can't go from 30K in ACH to 30 million in one month without raising red flags. Red flags are waving all through the industry. You told Kapcharge too late. Our ACH processing ability was terminated when you finally told them. Now our settlement ability has been stopped."
- Q. So pausing there, Mr. Hill, do you recall -- in that chat by Mr. Gross, there is a reference of going from 30K to 30 million?
- 23 A. Yes.
 - Do you recall the earlier email we saw in which Mr. Gross approved the \$5 million a day limit?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- And we did some rough math. Approximately what did that 2 Q. 3 correspond to per month?
- A hundred million. 4 Α.
- 5 So, continuing at 3384, Anthony Murgio writes: "Right.
- 6 But did you tell Kap everything was fine after Alloya pulled
- 7 their stunt, and that we can use our account there and Magic
- Wrighter to transmit?" 8
- 9 A. Rico: "This and our point to the above comment is what I 10 was sure we were comfortable doing."
- 11 Q. Mr. Gross writes: "Anthony, you know I have consistently
- 12 told you this was too aggressive. I have the documentation to
- 13 prove it. You plowed ahead, and we here we are. CU reputation
- 14 is damaged, and I am scrambling to get the CU functioning."
- 15 A. Anthony Murgio replies: "Okay."
- And, again, Anthony Murgio: "See you in a bit." 16
- Jose Freundt writes: "It is not constructive or productive 17
- 18 to start playing the blame game. What needs to happen in the
- short-term is find a solution to the issue at hand, and in the 19
- 20 long-term, find a way to do what's best for the credit union
- 21 and all parties involved. If we have people in place in
- 22 certain positions, let them form their position."
- 23 Α. Rico replies: "Agreed."
- 24 Jose Freundt writes: "And, personally speaking, unless we Ο.
- 25 find a way to have unified criteria on how things are to be

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- done, the direction of the CU and processing procedures, we 1 will not succeed"? 2
- 3 A. Trevon replies: "Accountability is not blame. A problem 4 cannot be corrected until the root is uncovered. The only 5 party involved is the CU. This has to be agreed upon before
 - Jose Freundt writes: "I agree with that statement, but I don't think looking for the accountable party will lead to solving the immediate issue. Let's find how to resolve this and use this incident as a tool for learning and not as a tool for dividing. Let's use this as an example of what will happen if we don't share one common goal and path."
 - Trevon replies: "Agreed." Α.

anything else is done."

- Tim Ellrich writes: "So how were we getting this increase Q. of transactions? Maybe this was discussed as a board while during meeting that I was absent, but how did we add two zeros to our transactions? How do these red flags get fixed?"
- Trevon replies: "We have regained our ability to settle transactions. Red flags are overcome by being squeaky clean and above reproach."
- 21 Anthony Murgio writes: "Squeaky misspelled. Q.
- Jose: "Great news." 22 Α.
- 23 Ellrich writes -- Tim Ellrich continues: "Still I'm 24 confused how one day we have a code-red shutdown that escalates 25 to top of liability, and the next day, all is well. I don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

21

22

want to be on the bench if I'm liable for anything. I'm sure the rest of the board agrees. Beyond that, it looks like you've been busy rebuilding trust with the Fed. Thank you for that. So, we are still on this weekend, I assume? forward to discussing in person what squeaky clean looks like in the eyes of the Fed. I look forward to in-depth dialogue

- Jose Freundt: "My flight is booked." 8
 - that the ability to actually conduct business and settle member transactions has been restored. There's a whole bunch that still needs to be done to clear the red flags. Prolonged red

Q. Trevon Gross writes: "I did not say all was well, I said

- 13 flags means liability. As I said earlier, I'm not available
- 14 this Saturday, so I will not be involved in any discussions."
- 15 A. Tim Ellrich: "Okay. When is your next availability? I
- would like to see if we can find a weekend after this meeting 16
- 17 that works mutually."

this weekend."

- 18 Q. Kevin Tomasso writes: "Are we restored with Alloya, or did another bank pick us up?" 19
- 20 A. Anthony Murgio: "Another. Another."
 - Anthony Murgio: "Trevon was able to get a credit union of the Northwest to settle for us."
- 23 Ο. Kevin Tomasso: "Wonderful."
- 24 Yuri: "Thank you, Pastor." Α.
- 25 Let's stop that there. Q.

Hill - direct

- 1 MR. SHIN: And we can take that down, please,
- Ms. Grant. 2
- 3 Q. Mr. Hill, while you were involved with HOPE FCU, did Trevon
- Gross ever tell you to stop processing ACH transactions for 4
- 5 Kapcharge?
- Α. No. 6
- 7 Did he ever actually take any action to stop you from
- processing ACH transactions for Kapcharge? 8
- 9 Α. No.
- 10 Did he have the ability to stop you from processing ACH for
- 11 Kapcharge?
- 12 Α. Absolutely.
- 13 And what was that ability? Ο.
- 14 Α. He had the master log-in, he can close any account. He can
- 15 lock out any back-end user. So, yes, he had the power to do
- 16 SO.
- 17 Mr. Hill, you worked at HOPE FCU for a number of months?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- We've heard about a lot of what you did there. 19
- 20 In your view, based on your experience and your
- 21 working with people, who was in charge of the credit union?
- 22 I answered to Murgio, he was my boss. However, Trevon had
- 23 the master password and master access, so he had control of the
- 24 credit union.
- So let's just break that down. You said you answered to 25

- 1 | Anthony Murgio?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And what do you mean by that?
- 4 A. If there was a request from Anthony and one from Trevon, I
- 5 | would do what Anthony said first.
- 6 Q. Now, did Anthony Murgio have access to the HOPE Credit
- 7 Union back-end system?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 | Q. Was he a board member?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Or any kind of officer of the credit union?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Was he actually on-site at the credit union?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. Either in New Jersey or in Tallahassee?
- 16 A. Only when he visited our office, but he wasn't there in
- 17 | Tallahassee, Florida, and not in New Jersey either.
- 18 Q. Did you work more frequently with Mr. Gross or Mr. Murgio
- 19 | in connection with your activities at HOPE FCU?
- 20 A. With Trevon.
- 21 | Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Gross supervised your
- 22 | activities?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. In fact, we've seen some examples of this during your
- 25 | testimony; is that correct?

- 1 Α. Yes.
- So, ultimately, as between Mr. Gross and Mr. Murgio, who 2 Q.
- 3 had the actual ability to stop what was going on?
- 4 Only Trevon. Α.
- 5 Q. Mr. Hill, were you aware, during your work at HOPE FCU, of
- 6 examinations by the National Credit Union Administration, also
- 7 known as the NCUA?
- 8 Α. Yes.

- Now, were you involved in any NCUA examinations?
- 10 Α. Yes, I was.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, Government Exhibit 2266 for the
- 12 witness, please.
- 13 Do you recognize this? Ο.
- 14 A. Yes. This is me replying to Anthony about a trip to
- 15 New Jersey slated for September. The email is from
- 16 August 29th.
- 17 The government offers 2266 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 18 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 19 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you.
- It's admitted. 21
- 22 (Government's Exhibit 2266 received in evidence)
- 23 MR. SHIN: If we could zoom in, Ms. Grant.
- 24 If you could read the body of Anthony Murgio's email. 0.
- 25 "Can you go up to New Jersey around the 8th or 9th to be Α.

Hill - direct

- there for the inspection on the 10th? I also want you to make 1 sure shit is on point." 2
- 3 "And you agreed?" 0.
- "Sure. Would love to." 4 Α.
- 5 And so just to be clear, this is an inspection by the NCUA?
- 6 Yes. Α.

- And the date here is August 29th, correct?
- That's correct. 8 Α.
- 9 So, when was the inspection slated to happen? Q.
- 10 September 10th or 11th, but I was going up there a couple 11 of days prior to it.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, you can take down the exhibit, 13 please.
- 14 Did you actually go up to New Jersey for this examination?
- 15 Α. Yes, I did.
- And what did you do there? 16
- 17 I went up maybe a day or two prior to the examiners coming
- 18 in. I helped get our files together, meaning the new board
- 19 members' files, to make sure everyone had a complete folder,
- 20 with their resume, copy of their ID, and membership
- 21 application, and I also helped gather other documents that was
- 22 needed that Trevon instructed us to do.
- 23 When you were up there helping to prepare for the
- 24 examination, who else were you working with?
- 25 Trevon Gross. And while Trevon was there, and Anthony was

- 1 on the phone.
- 2 Anthony Murgio? Q.
- 3 Yes. Α.
- What was he doing on the phone? 4 Q.
- 5 Helping me gather different documents or getting others to
- fill out necessary paperwork that they hadn't done before. 6
- 7 Q. Was anyone else involved in this preparation process from
- 8 HOPE FCU?
- 9 I think Bernard was there, but he was doing his
- 10 portion.
- 11 Ο. Who's Bernard?
- 12 He's one of the original board members at HOPE FCU.
- 13 Q. Now, you described the work that you were doing -- I
- apologize. Did you give any examples of what you were helping 14
- 15 to organize?
- The files for the new board members. 16
- 17 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you display 1252-A for the
- witness. 18
- 19 Do you recognize this document?
- 20 A. Yes. This is an email from Anthony to all of us, meaning
- 21 Kim -- Kim -- Tim, Kevin, Kendra, Jose, Yuri, myself, Chad,
- 22 Kevin.
- 23 The government offers 1252-A into evidence.
- 24 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 25 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.

- 1 THE COURT: Thank you.
- It's admitted. 2
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 1252-A received in evidence)
- 4 MR. SHIN: If you could publish for the jury.
- BY MR. SHIN: 5
- 6 Q. What is Anthony conveying to you and the others in this 7 email?
- A. That -- this is a list of people who are missing some 8
- 9 documents and maybe a picture ID or a signed form -- a signed
- 10 membership agreement, but he says, "I don't know what is going
- 11 on with the organization over there. Rico will be taking
- 12 over, " but the following people needed either a clean picture
- 13 of their ID or their membership application signed.
- 14 Q. So, is this the time when you were up in New Jersey
- handling that? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 By the way -- you testified to, and I believe we saw some
- 18 documents -- back when you were about to be placed on the
- board --19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 -- weren't you sending these same documents in? Q.
- 22 Α. Yeah.
- 23 So why were you doing it again?
- 24 I don't know. All of us should have done this in June. Α.
- 25 don't know why -- when I got there, I couldn't find the ones

Hill - direct

- for these members, the following: Kendra, Jose, Yuri, and 1 2 Kevin.
- 3 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, 2268 for the witness, please.
 - Do you recognize this document?
- 5 This is an email forwarded to me from Anthony. has an attachment. It's a membership package from one of the 6
- 7 board members. I think this is from Yuri.
- The government offers 2268 into evidence. 8 MR. SHIN:
- 9 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 10 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 12 It's admitted.
- 13 (Government's Exhibit 2268 received in evidence)
- 14 MR. SHIN: If we could look at the attachment, please.
- What's that document? 15 Q.
- 16 It's the HOPE membership application. Α.
- 17 Who is it completed by? Q.
- 18 Yuri Lebedev. Α.
- 19 So was this in connection with your preparing the files for
- 20 the NCUA examination?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, you can take that down, please.
- 23 In September, when you were there for the NCUA exam, did
- 24 you actually meet with anyone from the NCUA?
- 25 I was there when the examiners showed up. Yes.

- Do you remember who you met with from the NCUA?
- 2 Yes, I remember. I don't know their full names, but I Α.
- 3 remember them.
- 4 What do you remember? What names do you remember? Q.
- Two guys, one named Keith, the other named Mark. 5
- Who was present from the HOPE FCU side during this meeting 6
- 7 with the NCUA?
- 8 Α. Trevon and Bernard.
- 9 In addition to you? Q.
- 10 And myself, yes. Α.
- 11 Now, during the examination, during the meeting between
- 12 HOPE FCU and the NCUA, were the new board members that had been
- 13 elected in June discussed?
- 14 Yes, briefly. Α.
- 15 What, if anything, did Trevon Gross say to the NCUA
- examiners about the new board members? 16
- 17 Well, I was introduced as a new board member, and Trevon
- let them know that I was one of the board members that would be 18
- 19 moving to New Jersey soon.
- 20 So he identified you as someone who would be moving to
- 21 New Jersey?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Any other board members -- did Mr. Gross identify any other
- 24 board members as moving to New Jersey?
- 25 Yes. Tim and Jose. Α.

- Could you give the full names for those two people?
- Oh, sorry. Jose Freundt and Tim Ellrich. 2 Α.
- 3 Mr. Hill, were you, in fact, planning to move to Lakewood,
- 4 New Jersey?
- 5 Α. No.

- Did you have any desire to move to Lakewood, New Jersey? 6 0.
- 7 Α. No.
- 8 Q. Why not?
- 9 I'm from Florida. Why would I leave Florida? Α.
- 10 Q. Fair enough.
- 11 Mr. Hill, did you tell Trevon Gross that you were, in
- 12 fact, planning to move to New Jersey?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could put up 1249 for the
- 15 witness, please.
- THE COURT: Just while doing that, we have about 12 16
- minutes to go, so I'll invite everybody for our last stretch. 17
- 18 (Pause)
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 20 Mr. Hill, do you recognize this document? Ο.
- 21 Yes. This is an email from myself to Anthony Murgio. Α.
- 22 MR. SHIN: The government offers 1249 into evidence,
- 23 please.
- 24 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Without objection, 1249 is admitted.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

(Government's Exhibit 1249 received in evidence)

MR. SHIN: May this be published for the jury, please.

- BY MR. SHIN:
- 5 Q. This email is from you to Anthony Murgio, September 9th,
- "Subject: Items they will need for the review." What is this, 6
- 7 Mr. Hill?
- 8 A. This is a list of items that we needed to gather for the
- 9 NCUA.
- 10 For purposes of the examination?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could show 2267, for the
- 13 witness.
- 14 Do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. Yes. This is an email from myself to Anthony Murgio, with 16 an attachment.
- 17 The government offers 2267 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 18 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 19 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you. It's admitted.
- 21 (Government's Exhibit 2267 received in evidence)
- 22 MR. SHIN: Could you please publish the email for the
- 23 jury, Ms. Grant.
- 24 The subject line here is "Certified Reso in PDF," correct?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- And the attachment is Certified Resolution.pdf?
- 2 Α. Yes.

- 3 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you turn to the
- 4 attachment, please.
- 5 Q. Mr. Hill, if I could direct your attention immediately
- above the -- below the "resolved." 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
 - Q. Do you see the line there that begins, "Governor"?
- 9 Α. I do.
- 10 Could you just list the entities that are listed there?
- 11 Governor, The Connecticut Department of Public Works, the
- 12 Connecticut State Properties Review Board, and the Office Of
- 13 Attorney General -- of the Attorney General Associated With
- 14 Such Contracts And Amendments.
- Mr. Hill, what is this? 15 Q.
- This is a certified resolution, but this is a template of a 16
- 17 certified resolution that we were going to use after rewording
- 18 it, of course.
- Just if you could elaborate a little further, Mr. Hill, why 19
- 20 were you sending this document to Mr. Murgio?
- 21 Trevon let us know that we needed a certified resolution,
- 22 and it was up to me to get one. I didn't know how to write
- 23 one, so I went on Google to find a template. I found this,
- 24 sent it to Anthony to see if this is something that can be
- 25 edited to fit what we needed.

Hill - direct

- You said Mr. Gross told you that you needed a certified 1
- resolution? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 The certified resolution for what? Q.
- 5 A. A certified resolution gives signing authority to one board
- 6 member, and we didn't have one on file and we needed one on
- 7 file for the NCUA.
- So you found this document on the Internet? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, could you put up for the witness
- 2269. 11
- 12 Do you recognize this document?
- 13 It's an email from myself to Anthony on A. Yes.
- 14 September 9th.
- 15 The government offers 2269 into evidence. MR. SHIN:
- 16 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 17 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you. It's admitted.
- (Government's Exhibit 2269 received in evidence) 19
- 20 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could publish it for the
- 21 jury, please.
- 22 Q. Mr. Hill, the subject here is still "Certified Reso In
- PDF," correct? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 That's the email we just saw?

- Α. Right.

7

8

- 2 So what is Mr. Murgio's response to you? Q.
- 3 He says, "Good." Α.
- 4 And then? Q.
- 5 "Okay, cool. Pass it on to Trevon for final touches." Α.
- 6 What did you do after sending this email to Mr. Murgio? 0.
 - Sent it to Trevon so he can edit it. Α.
 - Sorry, you sent what to Trevon?
- 9 I sent the template of the certified resolution to Trevon Α. 10 so he can make it fit for what we needed, by editing it.
- 11 MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could put up 2613,
- 12 please.
- 13 Do you recognize this document? 0.
- 14 This is the edited version of the certified Α. Yes. resolution template that I found. 15
- The government offers 2613 into evidence. 16 MR. SHIN:
- 17 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection.
- 18 MR. KLINGEMAN: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you. It is admitted.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 2613 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. SHIN: Could you please publish that for the jury,
- 22 Ms. Grant.

- 23 Now that the jury has the benefit of having it on the
- 24 screen, what is this document?
 - This is the edited version of the certified resolution,

from the template that I started with.

- So, is that HOPE FCU's letterhead on the stop? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes. Α.

- 4 And who created or edited this document from the template 0.
- 5 that you had found?
- 6 Α. Trevon.
- 7 Mr. Hill, could you read -- this might be somewhat awkward
- because of the blanks but please do your best -- could you read 8
- 9 starting with "I"?
- 10 Okay. "I, secretary of...a corporation organized and
- 11 existing under the laws of the State of...do hereby certify
- 12 that the following is a true and correct copy of a resolution
- 13 duly adopted at a meeting of the board of directors of the
- 14 company duly held and convened on" blank date, blank year "at
- 15 which meeting a duly constituted quorum of the board of
- directors was present and acting throughout, and that such 16
- 17 resolution has not been modified, rescinded or revoked, and is
- at present in full force and effect." 18
- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could zoom down into the 19
- 20 remainder of the document.
- 21 And if you could please continue, Mr. Hill.
- 22 "Resolved that," blank name and title, "of" blank
- 23 corporation "is empowered and authorized on behalf of the
- 24 company, open/closed as necessary depositor accounts and
- 25 execute all financial transactions."

Hill - direct

- MR. SHIN: Ms. Grant, if you could show the very 1
- bottom of the signature block. 2
- 3 So there's a space there? 0.
- Yes. 4 Α.
- 5 Sorry, Mr. Hill, who was supposed to sign this document?
- I was. 6 Α.
 - Is that because you were the secretary of the board? Q.
- 8 Α. Yes.

- 9 You can zoom out, please, Ms. Grant. MR. SHIN:
- 10 So after this document was prepared, what was done with it? 0.
- 11 After this blank edited document on HOPE FCU letterhead was
- 12 prepared, what was done with it?
- 13 It was filled out and signed by myself. Α.
- 14 Did you do that at anyone's direction? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes. Trevon and Anthony.
- Did you, Mr. Gross, and others actually convene a board 16
- 17 meeting for this to be signed and resolved?
- 18 No. We did it on the fly because we needed it. Α.
- 19 Who was present when you did that? Q.
- 20 Me and Trevon, with Anthony on the phone. Α.
- 21 So, after you signed this document, what was done with the
- 22 signed document?
- 23 It was put in the folder as where our certified resolutions
- 24 should have been kept.
- 25 When you say it was put in the folder --

- A folder that was prepared for the NCUA examiners.
- 2 So, is it fair to say it was put into HOPE FCU's files? Q.
- 3 Α. Yes.

- 4 And those files were available to the examiners who were Q.
- 5 conducting their examination?
- Yes. 6 Α.
- 7 Mr. Hill, just to be clear, what was the purpose of this
- 8 document?
- 9 The purpose of the certified resolution? To give one board
- 10 member signing authority.
- On behalf of the credit union? 11
- On behalf of the credit union board of directors. 12 Α.
- 13 And that one person was whom? Ο.
- 14 Myself. Α.
- 15 Q. So, this gave you signing authority?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Shin, it's two minutes
- 18 before 5:00, so we'll stop there for the day.
- Members of the jury, just to turn to the schedule 19
- 20 issue that I touched on -- gosh, I can't remember if that was
- 21 yesterday or this morning -- I know that one of the appointment
- 22 issues resolved, so, as of now, I think our only expected
- 23 alteration to the schedule that I've given you is that on
- 24 Wednesday of next week we'll end ten minutes early so someone
- 25 can make an appointment, and we'll try to make up the time at

Case 1:15-cr-00769-AJN Document 486 Filed 04/07/17 Page 236 of 254 1456 H2NKLEB7 Hill - direct lunch. We'll just shave about ten minutes off lunch, to do that. Otherwise, I wish you a very good night. And I will see you in the morning at 9:30. Please keep all my instructions in mind. Have a good night. Thank you. (Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Jury not present) THE COURT: Mr. Hill, you may step down. Thank you. 2 3 (Witness temporarily excused) 4 THE COURT: Everyone may be seated. 5 Matters to take up, Counsel? 6 MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I think just to give the Court 7 and defense counsel a heads-up, the next few witnesses that we had lined up were two individuals from Alloya, Michelle 8 9 McDowell and Neil Kumar. Obviously, I think none of us 10 anticipated that Rico Hill would last as long as he did, and we 11 haven't even gotten to sort of the meat of that sort of end 12 part of his testimony, nor to the cross. 13 We are going to go talk to them and figure out what 14 their schedules are like and whether or not they have a 15 preference to go tomorrow and interrupt the direct of Ricardo Hill -- they're out-of-town witnesses -- if defense counsel has 16 no objection to that, but we'll know that later tonight. 17 So I think that's the next sort of sequence of 18 19

individuals and then, after that, we anticipate calling Jose Freundt as the next government witness.

THE COURT: Any concerns, Counsel?

MR. CREIZMAN: All I know is that I just have to run out to see Judge Engelmayer and argue something in his court.

THE COURT: Now?

MR. CREIZMAN: What's that? No, I think it's either

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hill - direct

between 3:30 and 4:30 or -- it's at 4:00, it's at 4:00. I don't want to miss that. During Judge Rakoff's trial, a couple weeks ago, I accidentally stood Judge Engelmayer up for an in camera hearing, and he issued a bench warrant. So I think I I'll be there --

THE COURT: I concur.

You being away, do you then have -- a serious question -- do you have any concerns with taking witnesses out of order?

MR. CREIZMAN: The only concern that I have is that I am set to cross-examine Mr. Hill, and Ms. Madrigal is set to cross-examine the Alloya witnesses.

THE COURT: So it sounds like it's --

MR. CREIZMAN: It could be a problem.

THE COURT: -- an issue.

MS. CHOI: Well, I think --

THE COURT: Sounds like we have to finish Hill.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Maybe if we had a sense of what's left with Hill on direct, we could plan around this.

MR. SHIN: Your Honor, I don't have much left on this topic, of dealings with the NCUA, and then the immediate next topic is the final meeting. There will be some other documents around that meeting, but the bulk of that is going to be the playing of the audio. And then after that topic, there's going to be some short testimony regarding the eCommerce PMA, which

was the subject of some motions in limine, and your Honor permitted that evidence.

So that's what's remaining, just so that I don't disappoint your Honor again.

THE COURT: It's too late.

MR. SHIN: That's why I said again, that's why I said again, your Honor.

I would say maybe there is an hour and a half to two hours left tomorrow, including the hour recording.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Do we know how long the Alloya folks are going to be on direct?

MS. CHOI: I think they would be medium witnesses, so I would say about Clayton Curry length. So it seems to me that, given that circumstance. Because if we were to put them on before Mr. Hill, there is a possibility that Mr. Creizman would miss some portion of the Hill cross, I think we're going to have to put them on after Mr. Hill has been crossed. I just need to double-check with their schedules. I think that would probably be fine, although they may be disappointed; c'est la vie. So we'll work with defense counsel and make sure that we can sort that out.

But, yes, I think that both of them will be about

Clayton Curry -- one will be maybe Clayton Curry level and the

other may be a little less than Clayton Curry. Not height;

length. That sounded really wrong. I meant length of time as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opposed to how tall he was. I was trying to be funny but it didn't work.

MS. SANTILLO: Speaking of Clayton Curry and the Alloya people, we have a similar expert issue that was raised in both the Magic Wrighter and in some documents that I flagged that we object to with respect to the Alloya production. are some very summary documents that were created by the people at Alloya who were kind of analyzing the business of HOPE Credit Union, and we believe that all those are hearsay and, also, these people weren't qualified as experts, and so we have objections. And I have listed all of the exhibits that we have objections to. It's 723A, 723B, 724, 724-1, 724-2 and 725.

THE COURT: And these are documents prepared by folks other than the Alloya witnesses?

MS. SANTILLO: Well, some of them are -- I don't know. They are summary documents and it's not clear exactly who the author is. But they also are incorporating various sources.

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, Ms. Santillo gave us this list -- I'm just saying, we're going to talk about it, I think we can resolve some of these. I'm not complaining about the timing. I just mean, we haven't had an ability to talk to you about the list -- you've given us the list, we're going to talk about it -- but I think there is one thing that I want to make clear for the Court, which is: My understanding of a lot of your Honor's rulings with regard to the NCUA and the original

NCUA documents coming in are the prejudice to the defendants because of the nature of the charges involving obstruction of an examination and the imprimatur that the NCUA's name on these documents may lend to certain of these conclusions.

I think the distinction here is: Alloya serves as the corporate credit union for HOPE. They had reasons, that we need to get into, for why they decided, I think validly, that the type of transactions that HOPE was engaging in, both as to process and to the volume size, were causing problems for Alloya to function, ultimately led to the conclusion that they could no longer function, no longer function as the settling institution for HOPE.

A lot of these documents are actually prepared by the two witnesses that we're going to call, so we do not have the cross-examination concern that Ms. Santillo had raised previously, but I think it's fair game for someone who, as their job, for example, makes sure that they manage risk at Alloya, to say, I did research, given the files that we had, what we saw coming in as the corporate credit union for HOPE FCU, the types of transactions, the volume of transactions, and when we went to follow up with HOPE FCU about who these people were or who these entities were for which they were doing these transactions, we didn't have comfort with the answers that we were given because they didn't comport with what we understood to be true and what we had determined to be true, given what

we, as Alloya individuals and as people who run the corporate credit union, could see from their own records.

So, as background, a lot of these documents, even if Ms. Santillo claims that they're hearsay, were (a) created by these particular individuals at issue; (b) can be certified as business records because they're records that were kept in the ordinary course of Alloya making these business decisions and contain conclusions and the rationales reached for those particular decisions; and (c) I think it would be highly prejudicial if the Court were to exclude our ability to ask Alloya witnesses based on what they did in their day-in-and-day-out jobs in Alloya to manage their own risk and to deal with their own businesses, any conclusions they reached about the nature of how HOPE was operating, since HOPE had to use Alloya in order to do these ACH transactions.

THE COURT: Maybe I'm confused. It seems to me that you've not addressed what was the basis for the objection.

MS. CHOI: Which is that it's expert?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. CHOI: They're percipient witnesses. They are explaining their opinions and the conclusions that Alloya reached based on their observations at Alloya. I don't think that they have specialized knowledge in the traditional sense of an expert, because these were things that they saw at the time and they were making those determinations.

Now, I don't know what the alternative would be. I suppose that we could go through the process of having some sort of Daubert test of them, but I think, given that they've had the 3500 on these people for months, we have marked these exhibits and told them that this is the type of --

THE COURT: You're confusing me. Now you're addressing the issue and now there's a 701 objection that's been made and I need to hear the specifics. I think, as you describe it, as you started describing the anticipated testimony, assuming a sufficient foundation can be laid, et cetera, what you described sounded fine.

I'm not prejudging -- the objection still may be available -- but you described testimony that was different than the objection which went to some specific documents, which Ms. Santillo said she wasn't sure whether or not these individuals created them or not, and that they drew on information from other sources and the like. So there could be an issue with respect to those documents.

But then just with respect to the testimony, you switched gears to say, well, we could go through a qualification process --

MS. CHOI: I don't think it's necessary.

THE COURT: You haven't offered them.

MS. CHOI: No.

THE COURT: You haven't offered it as expert

1 testimony? 2 MS. CHOI: No. 3 THE COURT: You're saying it doesn't rely on? 4 MS. CHOI: No. THE COURT: It's within Rule 701. You have United 5 States versus Garcia in mind, you have Bank of China in mind, 6 7 the Second Circuit cases --MS. CHOI: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: -- that I have in mind when I think about 10 where the line is? 11 So I'm not sure it helps to shift gears at this point 12 and say, we could go though --13 MS. CHOI: Right, no, let's not do that. Withdrawn, 14 your Honor. I think there are two separate questions, then. 15 One is whether or not a proper foundation can be laid for these particular documents for which defense counsel has 16 17 objected. We may just decide not to offer some of them. But I think, for the ones that we do offer, so long as we can 18 establish that they were valid business records that were made 19 20 at the time, by people who were knowledgeable about the 21 information and were kept in the ordinary course of Alloya's 22 business --23 THE COURT: That sounds like that would potentially 24 resolve a hearsay problem.

MS. CHOI: Correct, your Honor. That's what I'm

1 thinking.

THE COURT: It doesn't necessarily mean it would resolve a 701 objection.

MS. CHOI: Well, yes. And I think the 701 objection, again, I don't expect them to start opining writ large about the Bank Secrecy Act except to give general background about what OFAC is, what BSA is and how it relates to their jobs at Alloya. They will opine — not opine but give statements about what Alloya's position was when Mr. Gross made representations about the nature of these transactions and why they did not ultimately decide that it was safe and sound for Alloya or, from their perspective, from their business perspective, to allow for these transactions to continue.

So it gives background to that November 11th letter that has now been received into evidence, that shows that Alloya stopped doing this. But I don't think that any of those opinions really cross the line between 701 to 702.

MS. SANTILLO: Your Honor, just to be clear, I have a strong objection also to the qualification of these as business records, particularly in light of the way the government talks about it. This isn't a usual-course-of-business kind of thing. I stipulated to a lot of Alloya business records, and these are situations where they are trying to sort of analyze the situation and cover a situation in a very evaluative way. It's not a routine document that is kept in the ordinary course.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I think there are also kind --

Is the suggestion that -- there THE COURT: Wait. might be a separate problem -- that business records can't include evaluative documents?

MS. SANTILLO: Well, I think they were sort of trying to cover themselves a little bit, in the sense of -- I think if you read the documents, it's going to be clear what my problem is, because they're very robust reports that have charts and graphs about the lines of HOPE's business, and it has summaries of interviews, and it's a report that they're drafting. And I have an objection to that.

I may have some lines to draw in terms of the Bank Secrecy Act and things like that, but in terms of the way that she described them, just walking through what their reasoning was for not doing business, I didn't see a problem with that. What I'm objecting to is these reports that are in the documents that I've identified. They're hearsay, and I think they do not fall within the business records exception. That's why I stipulated to lots of Alloya records under business records but not this. I told them long ago that I had this objection.

MS. CHOI: We understand that. And that's we only stipulated as to authenticity with regard to certain of these. But I do think -- and I think we articulated this to Ms. Santillo at the time -- that so that long as we lay a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proper foundation for these being business records, meaning how was this document created, was it kept in its ordinary course -- and most of these documents were created during their assessment of exactly what was happening with regard to HOPE FCU's ACH transactions, as part ever their jobs, as part of their managing risk and setting up ACH and oversight for ACH transactions within their credit union. I think it's hard to say that any document that relates to that, that may contain conclusions, can no longer be a business record, so long as the foundation is appropriately laid out.

I think what would be useful, I think it's THE COURT: helpful to identify the exactly documents. And I do think folks need to think through and look at law, evidentiary law, on the questions.

So the objections that are anticipated to the documents that Ms. Santillo said, 723A, 723B, 724-1 and 724-2 and 724-5 -- do I have those right, Ms. Santillo?

MS. SANTILLO: 723A, 723B, 724, 724-1, 724-2 and 725.

THE COURT: Okay. I think a short written briefing this evening on these documents, after you've looked at it and discussed it, would be useful. I'm going to look at them but I'll give you -- and this is on the hearsay and the business records rule -- a Second Circuit case called Abascal, A-b-a-s-c-a-1, 820 F.3d 561 (2d Cir. 2016) -- this is a parenthetical description that I have, I need to look at it --

finding that a prison-monitoring report by a private nonprofit corporation, created on the basis of questionnaires, interviews and personal observations, could not be admitted as a business record, in part, because the creation of the report required interpreting survey results and inmate interviews and then creating a summary of the findings, a process that the Circuit here concluded was a far cry from the simple act of recording observable information, the type of regularly conducted activity envisioned by the business records exception.

So you'll have to address that. Again, I have by no means come to a conclusion; I'm just thinking through as I hear it.

So it sounds like there are potential hearsay objections that the government will need to address. And then there may be 701 based objections. And, again, I have in mind the United States versus Garcia and Bank of China case --

MS. CHOI: Your Honor, could we get the cites for that, just to make sure we have the right ones? If they're obvious, we can get them but I didn't know if you had them handy. We have Garcia. The law people are telling me they have them.

THE COURT: You don't want me to be your law clerk?

MS. CHOI: Well, I don't think I'll ever get a law

clerk but --

THE COURT: Well, I happen them here. I was reading

cases.

them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wonder if you had them handy. MS. CHOI:

THE COURT: United States versus Garcia is 413 F.3d 201 (2d Cir. 2005). Bank of China is 359 F.3d 171, (2d Cir. 2004). It's discouraging to me that you don't know those

MS. CHOI: I don't know a lot of things, unfortunately.

THE COURT: You may see you have some difficulties with some of this evidence.

MS. CHOI: Okay. Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: My clerk wants me to give you more citations.

I haven't read these today. United States versus Cuti C-u-t-i, 720 F.3d 453, which is a 2013 -- I recall this one now -- 2013, a Second Circuit case, and United States versus Rigas, 490 F.3d 208, 2007.

MS. CHOI: Those I have heard, your Honor. I'll look into it.

THE COURT: I think the way to do this is: It's the defendants' objection, so you'll do a short letter brief and then the government can respond to it.

We've got the specific documents in mind, which is very helpful, so I can look at these as I consider your arguments. And there will be, I think, what's been discussed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as potential 701 issues around anticipated testimony but, again, as you've described it, I think we're within the realm of the possible, but you will need to have your relevant Second Circuit law in mind and, of course, the text of the rule in mind as we consider where that line should be drawn.

Other matters to take up, Counsel?

MR. KLINGEMAN: Can I just ask a question about this last matter: Does this mean the Alloya folks are not coming tomorrow?

MS. CHOI: No, I think the Alloya folks are coming.

THE COURT: It sounds like they'll be after?

MS. CHOI: They will after Mr. Hill, I think, given Mr. Creizman's scheduling.

MR. KLINGEMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And we may not get through both of them in their entirety, it sounds like, depending on Mr. Shin's ability to not disappoint me tomorrow.

Anything else I can take up?

Do we need to talk about timing? When will we see a letter, Ms. Santillo? No time like the present.

MS. SANTILLO: I'll try to do it fast. 8:30.

THE COURT: And then a couple hours after that I'll hear from the government.

MS. CHOI: I think we can get that to happen, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's that? MS. CHOI: I think we can get to that happen, your Honor. THE COURT: Terrific. I'll be up, I'll be reading. If you would, cc Mr. Rosen. As we discussed, do ECF filing unless there's some reason not to, but send a courtesy PDF to chambers with cc to Mr. Rosen so that it's easy for him to get to me. Anything else, folks? All right, see you at 9:00. Have a good night. (Adjourned to February 24, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.) * * *

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION					
2	Examination of: Page					
3	ANDREW LEVY					
4	Direct By Mr. Shin					
5	Cross By Mr. Klingeman					
6	Redirect By Mr. Shin					
7	RICARDO HILL					
8	Direct By Mr. Shin					
9	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS					
10	Exhibit No. Received					
11	864					
12	2144					
13	2265					
14	2153					
15	1354-A					
16	1354-в					
17	2158					
18	1322					
19	1658					
20	2175					
21	2177					
22	2183					
23	1324-C					
24	2180					
25	2189					

		J
1	2280	.338
2	2227	.339
3	1326-C	340
4	1326-В	342
5	1330-A	344
6	2143	346
7	4009	373
8	1418-В, 2502, 2504, 2505, 2506,	.375
9	1418-B-T, 2502-T, 2504-T,	
10	2505-T, and 2506-T	
11	4500, 4501, 4502, 4504, 4505, 4506,	375
12	4507, 4508, and 4510	
13	2264	.378
14	2141 and 2142	382
15	2164	.393
16	2166	.395
17	2169	397
18	2170	.398
19	2171	400
20	2176	402
21	2182	402
22	1427-D	410
23	2155	411
24	2168	414
25	2178-A	415
	II	

1	2190	 5
2	2192	 3
3	2207	 2
4	2215	 3
5	2217	 5
6	2277	 5
7	2228	 9
8	2266	 2
9	1252-A	 5
10	2268	 5
11	1249	 9
12	2267	 9
13	2269	 1
14	2613	 2
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		